



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.3389/fnsys.2018.00070](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2018.00070)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Hartopp, N., Wright, P., Ray, N., Evans, T., Metzler, C., Aggleton, J. P., & O'Sullivan, M. (2019). A key role for subiculum-fornix connectivity in recollection in older age. *Frontiers in systems neuroscience*, 12, Article 70. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2018.00070>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# 1 **A key role for subiculum-fornix connectivity in recollection in older age**

## 2 **Running title: Subiculum-fornix connectivity in memory**

3  
4  
5 Naomi Hartopp<sup>1</sup>, Paul Wright<sup>1</sup>, Nicola J Ray<sup>2</sup>, Tavia E Evans<sup>1,3</sup>, Claudia Metzler-Baddeley<sup>4</sup>,  
6 John P Aggleton<sup>4</sup>, Michael J O'Sullivan<sup>1,4,5,6\*</sup>

7  
8  
9 <sup>1</sup>Division of Neuroscience, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's  
10 College London, UK

11  
12 <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

13  
14 <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Centre, 3015 CN, Rotterdam, Netherlands

15  
16 <sup>4</sup>School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

17  
18 <sup>5</sup>University of Queensland Centre for Clinical Research, University of Queensland, Brisbane,  
19 Australia

20  
21 <sup>6</sup>Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

### 22 23 24 **Address for correspondence:**

25  
26  
27 Professor Michael O'Sullivan, UQ Centre for Clinical Research, Faculty of Medicine,  
28 University of Queensland, Building 71/918, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital Campus,  
29 Herston, QLD 4029

30 [m.osullivan1@uq.edu.au](mailto:m.osullivan1@uq.edu.au)

31  
32  
33 Number of pages: 29  
34 Number of tables: 3  
35 Number of figures: 2  
36 Abstract words: 203  
37 Introduction words: 522  
38 Discussion words: 2144

41 **ABSTRACT**

42

43 Individual differences in memory during ageing are associated with the microstructure of the  
44 fornix, a bidirectional tract connecting the hippocampus with the diencephalon, basal forebrain  
45 and cortex. To investigate the origin of alterations in fornix microstructure, measurement of  
46 hippocampal subfield volumes was combined with diffusion MRI and cognitive evaluation in  
47 a new sample of 31 healthy human participants aged 50-89 years. The fornix, uncinate and  
48 parahippocampal cingulum were reconstructed using diffusion MRI tractography. Episodic  
49 memory was assessed with free and cued verbal recall, visual recognition and paired associate  
50 learning tests. Recall performance was associated with fornix microstructure and hippocampal  
51 subfield volumes. Subiculum and CA1 volumes remained positively associated with fornix  
52 microstructure when controlling for other volumes. Subiculum volume was also associated  
53 with fornix microstructure independent of age. Regression analyses showed that subiculum-  
54 fornix associations explained more variation in recall than that of CA1-fornix associations. In  
55 a multivariable regression model, age and subiculum volume were independent predictors of  
56 free recall whilst fornix microstructure and CA1 volume were not. These results suggest that  
57 age-related changes in a network that includes the subiculum and fornix are important in  
58 cognitive change in healthy ageing. These results match anatomical predictions concerning the  
59 importance of hippocampal – diencephalic projections for memory.

60

61 **Keywords**

62 Aging, Memory, Fornix, Subiculum, Hippocampus, Microstructure, Subfields, MRI

63

64

65 **Abbreviations**

66 MRI; Magnetic Resonance Imaging, CA; cornu ammonis, FCSRT; Free and Cued Selective  
67 Reminding Test, PAL; Paired Associate Learning, DG; dentate gyrus, ROI; Regions of Interest,  
68 UF; uncinate fasciculus, PHC; parahippocampal cingulum, FA; fractional anisotropy, MD;  
69 mean diffusivity,  $f$ ; tissue volume fraction, AD; Alzheimer's disease

70

71

## 72 1. Introduction

73 The decline of episodic memory is a common but variable accompaniment of aging. The  
74 underlying causes of inter-individual differences remain poorly understood. In a previous  
75 diffusion MRI study, we demonstrated that microstructure of the fornix – a bidirectional tract  
76 that links the hippocampal formation with the diencephalon, basal forebrain and cortex – was  
77 the main correlate of recollection in healthy older adults, as measured by tests of free recall  
78 (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011). This association is lost, however, when the fornix is damaged  
79 in incipient Alzheimer’s disease (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2012b). At the same time, fornix  
80 microstructure accounts for variation in memory performance not associated with  
81 chronological age. As an example, fornix microstructure correlated with episodic recollection  
82 in a group of young adults in a narrow age range (Rudebeck et al. 2009).

83  
84 The origin and histological basis of the fornix alterations that associate with recollection remain  
85 unknown. One possibility is that microstructural alterations arise from loss of whole neurons  
86 that project via the fornix. If so, one might expect to find structural abnormalities in grey matter  
87 regions harboring the relevant cell bodies. The hippocampus has an intimate structural  
88 relationship with the fornix: the large majority of fornix fibers either arises from or innervates  
89 the hippocampus (including the subiculum and presubiculum). Few hippocampal efferents, to  
90 targets beyond the temporal lobe, use alternative routes, with inputs to the retrosplenial cortex  
91 providing an exception (Aggleton 2012; Saunders et al. 2005).

92  
93 In the previous sample of older adults, the relationship between fornix microstructure and recall  
94 was little altered by controlling for hippocampal volume (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011).  
95 However, measurements were limited to the whole hippocampus. Given that the fornix is  
96 composed of topographically organized projections from specific hippocampal subfields,  
97 important relationships may not be represented by treating the hippocampus as a single  
98 structure. Furthermore, the pattern of associations with hippocampal subfields could provide  
99 insights into underlying pathophysiology of age-related variations in memory. Histological  
100 studies have shown qualitatively different patterns of neuronal density change in AD and  
101 disease-free aging, with selective involvement of CA1 in AD, in contrast to a linear loss of  
102 neuronal density in the subiculum in those free of symptoms (Csernansky et al. 2005; Li et al.  
103 2013; Mueller et al. 2009, 2011; West et al. 1994).

104  
105 With recent advances in methodology, hippocampal subfields can now be investigated in more  
106 detail. Atlas-based registration – derived from manual labeling of hippocampal structures in an  
107 *ex vivo* sample imaged at 0.13mm resolution (Iglesias et al. 2015) – provides an opportunity to  
108 address such questions directly *in vivo*. The intended starting point of this study was to test the  
109 robustness of the earlier finding, in an independent sample, by replicating the association of  
110 the fornix with age-related decline in recollection. The novel objective was then to investigate  
111 the basis of this finding by parallel evaluation of hippocampal subfields. The relationships  
112 between subfield volumes and both memory performance and white matter tract microstructure  
113 were assessed. Regression models were used to determine whether specific subfields were  
114 independently associated with fornix microstructure and memory, and to establish which  
115 relationships between grey matter volume and white matter microstructure were most relevant  
116 to cognitive performance.

117

## 118 2. Methods

### 119 2.1 Participants

120 A cohort of 31 healthy human participants was recruited. Participant age ranged from 50 to 89  
121 years (mean±SD, 72.4±10.7 years). Twenty were female. The participants had spent a mean of  
122 14.3±0.5 years in full-time education. The selection criteria were similar to the previous study  
123 (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011) but the samples were drawn from non-overlapping geographical  
124 populations. There was no overlap between samples. Participants were identified from the  
125 Clinical Age Research Unit database at King's College Hospital and from respondents to  
126 advertisements placed in general practices in South London. Exclusion criteria were:  
127 neurological or major psychiatric diagnosis; previous moderate to severe head injury; a prior  
128 diagnosis of a cognitive disorder or previous self-referral for cognitive symptoms; first  
129 language other than English; contraindications to MRI (e.g. pacemaker, penetrating eye injury).  
130 Recruitment and study procedures were approved by the London-Bromley Research Ethics  
131 Committee and all participants gave informed, written consent. Participants attended for a  
132 single MRI scan session and cognitive evaluation was completed over two testing sessions,  
133 taking approximately 90 minutes to 2 hours.

### 134 2.2 Cognitive test procedures

136 Episodic memory was assessed with three tasks. The Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test  
137 (Grober et al. 1988) was used as previously (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011). Visual recognition  
138 memory was tested using a visual recognition task displayed on a computer display screen. In  
139 the encoding phase, participants were presented with 40 faces and asked to judge whether the  
140 face was pleasant or unpleasant. In the test phase, participants were presented simultaneously  
141 with one face that they had previously seen and a novel face and asked to select the old face  
142 (forced-choice recognition). The face pictures were taken from the CAL/PAL Face Database  
143 (Minear and Park 2004) and modified to remove variations in background and clothing (Ebner  
144 2008).

145  
146 To test associative memory, we developed and implemented a Paired Associate Learning  
147 (PAL) task, in which participants learned object-location associations. Objects consisted of  
148 colored and shaded line drawings (Snodgrass and Vanderwart 'Like' Objects, retrieved from  
149 <http://wiki.cnbc.cmu.edu/Objects>; (Rossion and Pourtois 2004)). Examples include drawings of a  
150 colored ball, a whistle and a carrot. Spatial locations were provided by a grid of 10 identical  
151 square boxes. The grid comprised columns of two, three, three and two boxes, contiguous but  
152 not overlapping and centered horizontally and vertically. For the task, there was a set of 10  
153 unique objects, each allocated to a unique location or box.

154  
155 Stimuli were presented using the Psychology Experiment Building Language (PEBL) (Mueller  
156 and Piper 2014) running on a Macbook Pro (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA). Each box measured  
157 250x250 pixels (56 x 56mm on the screen). Objects filled the majority of the area of a box but  
158 with an outer margin of approximately 5mm to the box edge. Participants were shown the same  
159 object in two separate locations, one correct and one incorrect, and asked to indicate the correct  
160 location using a cursor controlled by a touch pad mouse. The pair of objects was shown  
161 continuously until one was selected. Once an object had been selected, feedback was then given

Hartopp *et al.* Subiculum, fornix and recollection in older age

162 after a delay of 2s. Following a correct choice, a green smiling face was shown in the selected  
163 location, accompanied by a pleasant sound. After an incorrect choice, a red unhappy face and  
164 unpleasant tone were presented. Following an inter-trial interval of 1s a new object was shown  
165 in a new pair of locations (boxes).

166

167 In the initial learning phase of 10 object-location associations, the choice of correct location  
168 was a guess. Following this initial learning phase, the set of 10 object-location pairs was  
169 presented three further times. The order of objects was pseudorandomized within each set so  
170 that each object appeared once before the next repetition. The initial set and three repetitions  
171 were presented consecutively with no indication of set completion. The mean±SD durations of  
172 sets 1-4 were 105.2±39.9s, 85.3±23.0s, 72.1±14.7s and 66.9±21.9s. The mean±SD trial  
173 duration was 6.9±3.2s, range 4.2-44.2s.

174

175 Immediately following the four choice-feedback sets, participants were asked to recall the  
176 object in each box. The boxes were highlighted one at a time in pseudorandom order and  
177 participants gave verbal responses. The recall test was self-paced. Recognition was scored by  
178 summing the correct responses from the three repeated sets after the learning phase, giving a  
179 maximum score of 30 for forced choice of locations based on object recognition. Recall of  
180 object identity (naming) based on location was scored out of 10.

181

## 182 **2.3 Magnetic resonance image acquisition**

183 Magnetic resonance imaging was carried out using a 3T General Electric MR750 scanner (GE  
184 Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) at the Clinical Research  
185 Facility, King's College Hospital. T1-weighted images were acquired using an MPRAGE  
186 sequence and comprised 192 sagittal slices with a thickness of 1.2mm, field of view of 270mm,  
187 in-plane resolution of 1mm and an acquisition matrix of 256 x 256.

188

189 Diffusion weighted images were acquired using an echo planar imaging sequence with double  
190 refocused spin echo. Diffusion-encoding gradients were applied in 60 evenly distributed spatial  
191 directions at a b-value of 1500 s/mm<sup>2</sup> with an additional six non-weighted images. Image  
192 geometry covered the whole brain using 2.0mm axial slices with matrix size 128x128 and field  
193 of view 256x256mm, giving isotropic voxels of 2 x 2 x 2mm. The participant's head was  
194 aligned such that the intercommissural line was as close to the axial plane as possible.  
195 Acquisition was peripherally gated to the cardiac cycle, giving a sequence duration of 11-20  
196 min, a repetition time of 10000-14118ms and an echo time of 66-78ms. The flip angle was 90°  
197 and parallel imaging was used with ASSET factor 2.

198

## 199 **2.4 Hippocampal subfield volumes**

200 Volumetric T1-weighted images were analyzed with *FreeSurfer* (version 6.0, beta release)  
201 (Iglesias et al. 2015; Pereira et al. 2014). This version of *FreeSurfer* implements an algorithm  
202 for hippocampal segmentation which uses a generative model and Bayesian inference to attach  
203 labels derived from an *ex vivo* atlas of hippocampal subfields. The *ex vivo* atlas was derived  
204 from a training set of post-mortem images acquired at 0.13mm resolution and labeled  
205 manually. One advantage with generative models is that they can be applied to images with  
206 different contrast characteristics; the original validation was performed on both T2 and T1-

Hartopp *et al.* Subiculum, fornix and recollection in older age

207 weighted datasets, including Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative acquisition  
208 standards, as used in this study. Each hippocampal segmentation was checked visually for  
209 concordance with hippocampal boundaries by a single rater (NH) who reviewed coronal slices  
210 through the hippocampus with and without superimposed label boundaries. Volumes were  
211 normalized to intracranial volume also extracted from *FreeSurfer*. Cornus ammonis (CA) CA1,  
212 CA2/3 (CA2 and CA3 are difficult to distinguish so were measured in combination), CA4,  
213 dentate gyrus (DG), and subiculum were selected for analysis (Fig. 1). The subiculum  
214 delineation includes both dorsal and ventral subicular regions. The prosubiculum, which is at  
215 the border between CA1 and the subiculum, was not included in the segmentation algorithm.  
216 Presubiculum and parasubiculum regions were delineated by the segmentation algorithm but  
217 are sometimes considered parahippocampal regions (Agster and Burwell 2013) and were not  
218 included in analysis. A set of subfield volumes was generated by the *FreeSurfer* labeling  
219 algorithm in each hemisphere in each individual. Left and right hemisphere volumes were  
220 combined for analysis.

221

## 222 **2.5 Reconstruction of temporal tracts**

223 White matter tracts were reconstructed using deterministic tractography with the ExploreDTI  
224 version 4.8.5 (Leemans et al. 2009). Data were preprocessed using steps developed in previous  
225 studies (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011; Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2012b). Data were first  
226 corrected for subject motion and eddy current artifact with reorientation of the gradient  
227 encoding vectors. Free water elimination (Pasternak et al. 2009) was used to correct for  
228 cerebrospinal fluid contamination, shown previously to be important in studies involving the  
229 fornix (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2012a). Free water elimination is based on a dual tensor model  
230 with an isotropic tensor modeling the contribution of free water (cerebrospinal fluid) and a  
231 second tensor modeling tissue.

232

233 Whole brain tractography was performed using the constrained spherical deconvolution (CSD)  
234 algorithm (Jeurissen et al. 2011) as implemented in ExploreDTI with recursive calibration of  
235 the response function. This method achieves better resolution of crossing fibers than tensor-  
236 based tracking, for example at the crossing of the fornix and the anterior commissure.  
237 Streamlines were traced from  $2\text{mm}^3$  seed points in 0.5mm steps along the peaks of the fiber  
238 orientation density function (fODF) as estimated by CSD. After each step the direction of  
239 streamline tracking was reoriented to the fODF peaks at the new location. Tracking terminated  
240 when the fiber orientation density fell below 0.1, the change in angle exceeded  $45^\circ$  or the length  
241 of the streamlines exceeded 500mm. Streamlines shorter than 50mm were discarded. Tracts  
242 reconstructions were then extracted from whole brain tractograms based on anatomically  
243 defined regions of interest (ROIs) as described previously (supplementary methods in Metzler-  
244 Baddeley et al. (2012b)).

245

246 The fornix was defined using a seed ROI enclosing the body of the fornix in a coronal plane  
247 positioned just caudal to where the body begins to curve ventrally. A second ROI (“AND”  
248 ROI) was drawn around the crus of the fornix in the axial plane at the inferior edge of the  
249 corpus callosum to limit selection of streamlines to those that run through both the seed and  
250 AND ROIs. Further ROIs (“NOT” ROIs) were drawn in planes anterior, posterior, superior  
251 and inferior to the limits of the fornix to exclude streamlines that run through these areas.

252 Additional NOT ROIs were placed to remove streamlines that were obviously inconsistent with  
253 known anatomy. The fornix was reconstructed as a single tract including both hemispheres.

254

255 The uncinate fasciculus (UF) was defined with a seed ROI drawn in a coronal plane at the  
256 anterior edge of the corpus callosum where the uncinate is easily visible. An AND ROI was  
257 drawn around the fibers of the curve of the UF where they pass superior to inferior in an axial  
258 plane near the superior border of the pons. NOT ROIs were drawn in the midsagittal plane and  
259 in the coronal plane at the anterior border of the pons (i.e. the posterior limit of the UF).  
260 Additional NOT ROIs removed anatomically inconsistent streamlines. The left and right UF  
261 were reconstructed separately.

262

263 The parahippocampal cingulum (PHC) refers to the ventral continuation of the cingulum  
264 bundle from the the posterior cingulate region into the temporal lobe. By definition in this  
265 study, the dorsal limit of the PHC is marked by the point where the cingulum begins to curve  
266 rostrally towards the temporal lobe. This definition was applied using a seed ROI around the  
267 interior part of the cingulum in an axial plane at the superior edge of the pontine white matter.  
268 The dorsal part of the cingulum was excluded with a NOT ROI in a coronal plane two slices  
269 anterior to the vertical bend of the cingulum. Additional NOT ROIs were placed in the  
270 midsagittal plane and around any anatomically inconsistent streamlines (usually those  
271 extending posteriorly into the occipital lobe).

272

273 Once tract reconstruction was complete, we calculated the mean of fractional anisotropy (FA)  
274 and of mean diffusivity (MD) for each tract by averaging the values at each point along each  
275 streamline. In addition, mean tract tissue volume fraction ( $f$ ) was derived from the Free Water  
276 Elimination procedure, as described previously. Intra-rater reliability was evaluated in a  
277 randomly chosen subset of participants ( $n=10$ ). Intraclass correlation coefficients for all  
278 measures of all tracts were greater than 0.89.

279

## 280 **2.6 Statistical analysis**

281 Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences,  
282 IBM corporation, versions 20 to 24). Hippocampal subfield volumes were strongly correlated  
283 between homologous regions in left and right hemispheres (Pearson's correlation coefficients  
284 all  $> 0.75$ ). Therefore, the means of left and right were used in analysis. All volumes and tract  
285 measures (FA/MD/ $f$ ) were checked against the normal distribution both by visual inspection  
286 of histograms and the Shapiro-Wilk test (in all cases,  $p > 0.09$ ). For one individual, the  
287 generated volumes of total hippocampus and all subfields were markedly greater than for all  
288 other individuals (more than 2 standard deviations from the mean). This single outlier was  
289 excluded from all analyses. Raw cognitive scores (unadjusted to age-relevant normative  
290 values) were used as variables for the statistical analyses since this study aimed to investigate  
291 correlations between inter-individual variations in cognitive performance and age.

292

293 Associations between subfields, tract microstructure and memory were evaluated with multiple  
294 linear regression. Sex and years of education were included as covariates in regression models.  
295 A set of models with age as an additional covariate were also generated to allow age-correlated  
296 and age-independent associations to be evaluated separately. To test the specificity of  
297 associations between fornix microstructure and individual subfields, an additional set of



298 regression models that included the volume of the subfield in question and the total volume of  
299 the other subfields were tested.

300

301 Regression was also used to test whether individual subfield-tract associations could account  
302 for the variance in cognitive data, indicating relevance of these associations to memory  
303 performance. Residual values were extracted from the regression of tract microstructure on the  
304 volume of a subfield of interest (having first established that the microstructure-volume  
305 association was significant), which represent the variation of tract microstructure, which is  
306 independent of the volume of subfield of interest. Associations between these residuals and  
307 performance would suggest that variation in the tract that is not shared with the subfield in  
308 question is relevant to task performance.

309

310 This approach can be extended to a direct comparison of the cognitive relevance of associations  
311 between a tract and two subfields. For example, in a situation where tract microstructure  
312 correlates with both subfield A and subfield B, the residuals of regression between subfield  
313 and tract can be used to determine whether variation occurring in parallel with subfield A or B  
314 is most relevant to change in cognition. If there were an association between memory  
315 performance and the residuals from the regression with subfield A, it would suggest that an  
316 association between tract microstructure and memory would be found even if the relationship  
317 between tract microstructure and subfield A were constant, suggesting that subfield A is not  
318 solely driving the association between the tract and performance. If, on the other hand,  
319 residuals from the regression with subfield B were uncorrelated with performance, this would  
320 be consistent with the relationship between tract microstructure and subfield B primarily  
321 accounting for variation in memory performance. To compare between correlation coefficients,  
322 Fisher's  $r$ -to- $z$  transformation was used, creating a  $z$ -statistic and a one-tailed  $p$  value (the  
323 purpose was simply to determine whether one effect was bigger than another with no  
324 hypothesis about direction of effect).

325

326 No correction for multiple statistical comparisons was applied for analyses that aimed to  
327 replicate previous findings. The novel part of the study related to hippocampal subfields and  
328 Bonferroni correction was applied for the number of hippocampal subfields: five subfields  
329 were included in the analyses so that uncorrected  $p < 0.01$  ( $0.05/5$ ) was considered significant.

330

### 331 **3. Results**

#### 332 **3.1 White matter tract microstructure and memory performance**

333 Fornix microstructure was associated with free recall and visual recognition memory in an age-  
334 dependent manner (Table 1). There was also evidence of association between microstructure  
335 of the parahippocampal cingulum and memory. For tracts that could be reconstructed in both  
336 hemispheres, there was some evidence of left-right asymmetry. For verbal free recall (based  
337 on FCSRT) the association with mean diffusivity was greater for the left PHC than the  
338 counterpart tract on the right (Table 1), while associations between PHC and visual recognition  
339 memory were more symmetrical.

340

### 341 **3.2 Hippocampal subfields and memory**

342 Total volume of the hippocampus was associated with free recall ( $\beta = 0.496$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ), visual  
 343 recognition memory ( $\beta = 0.413$ ,  $p = 0.028$ ) and verbal recall of objects from the object-location  
 344 PAL task ( $\beta = 0.45$ ,  $p = 0.014$ ) but not with recognition of object location. Table 2 shows the  
 345 association of individual subfields with performance on memory tasks. Subiculum volume was  
 346 the strongest correlate of both verbal free recall (Fig. 2A) and visual recognition memory. In  
 347 contrast, correct recognition of object locations in PAL was not associated with the volume of  
 348 hippocampal subfields.

### 350 **3.3 Hippocampal subfield volumes and fornix microstructure**

351 The volumes of all subfields were associated with measures of fornix microstructure, with the  
 352 subiculum being most strongly associated (Table 3, Fig. 2B). The pattern of association was  
 353 consistent across the major microstructural measures (FA, MD,  $f$ ), though for all subfields the  
 354 highest coefficients were those with FA. (For subiculum and fornix: for FA,  $\beta = 0.82$ ,  $p <$   
 355  $0.001$ ; for MD,  $\beta = -0.64$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; for  $f$ ,  $\beta = 0.52$ ,  $p = 0.002$ .) Analyses based on FA are  
 356 therefore presented, though the overall pattern of results, for associations and subsequent  
 357 models, were similar with MD and  $f$ . As subfield volumes were inter-related, regression was  
 358 repeated for each individual subfield with the combined volume of the other subfields as a  
 359 covariate. This analysis showed a positive association for the subiculum and CA1 (the latter  
 360 not significant) after adjusting for other subfields (Table 3). Subiculum volume was associated  
 361 with fornix FA independent of the volume of other subfields and, additionally, independent of  
 362 age.

### 365 **3.4 Subfield-fornix associations and their contribution to memory**

366 The analyses above show that the fornix is the principal correlate of recall performance and  
 367 that hippocampal subfields – notably subiculum and CA1 – correlate with fornix  
 368 microstructure. To assess the behavioral significance of these grey matter-white matter  
 369 associations for memory performance, we generated measures of the variation in fornix FA  
 370 that were: i) independent of CA1 volume; and ii) independent of subiculum volume, based on  
 371 the residuals from linear regression. For verbal free recall (FCSRT Recall), the respective  
 372 correlation coefficients were  $r = 0.31$  ( $p = 0.012$ ) for fornix variation independent of CA1, and  
 373  $r = 0.07$  ( $p = 0.75$ ) for variation independent of the subiculum. The difference in correlation  
 374 coefficients for FCSRT Recall was significant: Fisher's  $r$ -to- $z$  transformation,  $z = 1.68$ ,  $p =$   
 375  $0.046$ . The implication is that the association between subiculum and fornix FA is of  
 376 significantly greater functional relevance than that between CA1 and fornix FA. For Visual  
 377 Recognition Total, the respective correlation coefficients were  $r = 0.38$  ( $p = 0.032$ ) for fornix  
 378 variation independent of CA1, and  $r = 0.20$  ( $p = 0.29$ ) for variation independent of the  
 379 subiculum (a difference in correlation coefficients that was not significant).

### 381 **3.5 Multiple regression analysis of structural correlates of memory**

382 To further evaluate the relative contributions of subiculum and CA1 to performance, multiple  
 383 regression was performed with FCSRT Free Recall as the dependent variable and age, fornix  
 Hartopp *et al.* Subiculum, fornix and recollection in older age

384 FA and volumes of the subiculum and CA1 as predictors. Collectively, these measures  
385 accounted for 54% of the variance in free recall. Age and subiculum volume were independent  
386 predictors of free recall (age,  $\beta = -0.64$ ,  $p = 0.008$ ; subiculum volume,  $\beta = 0.65$ ,  $p = 0.025$ ).  
387 CA1 volume and fornix microstructure were not (CA1 volume,  $\beta = -0.17$ ,  $p = 0.52$ ; fornix,  $\beta =$   
388  $-0.38$ ,  $p = 0.22$ ). There was no evidence of significant interaction terms, either between CA1  
389 and subiculum volumes, or between subfield volumes and age (models not shown).

390

### 391 **3.6 Subfields and other (non-fornical) tracts**

392 Measurements from the three tracts (fornix, uncinata fasciculus and PHC) displayed a degree  
393 of covariance, which was stronger for some microstructural metrics than others. For FA, there  
394 was an association between fornix and PHC but not between the fornix and uncinata fasciculus.  
395 Consistent with this, PHC FA correlated with subfield volumes but the association was  
396 significantly weaker than that between the fornix and hippocampal subfields (fornix FA versus  
397 PHC FA for subiculum,  $z = 2.25$ ,  $p = 0.012$ , based on Fisher's *r*-to-*z* transformation). Further,  
398 FA of the fornix was the only variable independently associated with subiculum volume when  
399 FAs of all tracts were included in a multivariable regression model (model not shown).

400

401

402

## 403 **4. Discussion**

404 This study replicates, in an independent cohort, our previous finding that the fornix is a major  
405 white matter correlate of verbal recall in older adults. By implementing a new segmentation  
406 method, based on a high-resolution *ex vivo* atlas, investigation was extended to include parallel  
407 evaluation of subfields of the hippocampus. Hippocampal subfield volumes were associated  
408 with both verbal recall and visual recognition. There was a close relationship between  
409 subiculum volume and microstructure of the fornix and this relationship was relevant to  
410 memory performance, significantly more so than the relationship between CA1 and fornix  
411 microstructure. It is possible that in older individuals, alterations in subiculum structure drive  
412 downstream degradation of fornix microstructure, which is associated with episodic memory  
413 performance. This account would fit with the cell bodies for many of the axons that project via  
414 the fornix residing in the subiculum as described in rodent and non-human primates  
415 (Christiansen *et al.* 2016). Further, this account is able to explain not only the decline that occurs  
416 as a corollary of aging but also inter-individual differences that are independent of  
417 chronological (if not biological) age. Subiculum volume correlated with fornix microstructure  
418 independent of age and, in a multiple regression model, both age and subiculum volume were  
419 independent predictors of verbal free recall.

420

421 The current data demonstrate the robustness of results revealing the role of the fornix in  
422 episodic memory. In an independent cohort of healthy volunteers, drawn from a different  
423 geographical population and imaged with a different MRI scanner, the current results show a  
424 similar effect size for the association of the fornix with verbal recall to previous findings  
425 (Metzler-Baddeley *et al.* 2011). The basis of the alterations in fornix microstructure  
426 underpinning the association with age was unclear following that original study. Although the  
427 fornix carries many hippocampal efferents, no evidence was found in the previous study of  
428 alterations in the hippocampus that were present in parallel with those in the fornix. However,

Hartopp *et al.* Subiculum, fornix and recollection in older age

429 that part of the analysis was limited by the techniques available at the time, to measurements  
430 of the whole hippocampus. The current results show that alterations of fornix microstructure  
431 are strongly associated with *regional* alterations within the hippocampus. When other subfields  
432 were taken into account, only the subiculum and CA1 remained positively associated with  
433 fornix FA (Table 3).

434  
435 The strong and specific association with subiculum volume is highly consistent with tract-  
436 tracing studies in the macaque which show that many fornix fibers originate in the subiculum  
437 (Saunders and Aggleton 2007) and that these subiculum fibers project to sites in the  
438 diencephalon vital for recollection (Aggleton 2012; Carlesimo et al. 2011; Vann et al. 2009).  
439 The observed correlation is, therefore, consistent with a process that targets projections arising  
440 in the subiculum, rather than a process that directly affects white matter, as has been posited to  
441 explain alterations in white matter microstructure in other regions of the aging brain (Bartzokis  
442 2004). While the possibility remains that fornix fiber loss causes retrograde cell atrophy in the  
443 subiculum, studies examining the status of the hippocampus after fornix transection in  
444 nonhuman primates have failed to find evidence of cell loss (Aggleton et al. 1986; Daitz and  
445 Powell 1954).

446  
447 Neuropathological studies have shown that neuronal density in the subiculum declines linearly  
448 with age (West et al. 1994). In contrast, neuronal density in CA1 shows little age-related  
449 variation but marked reduction in Alzheimer's disease. These observations contradicted an  
450 earlier view that AD was a form of 'accelerated aging' by demonstrating qualitative differences  
451 between AD and disease-free aging. Conversely, subiculum volume has been reported to  
452 distinguish between AD patients and those with mild cognitive impairment in a study in which  
453 CA1 volume did not (Carlesimo et al 2015), a discrepancy which may be solved by combining  
454 imaging with pathology studies. Amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles are increasingly  
455 prevalent with increasing age in the hippocampus of asymptomatic individuals (Braak and  
456 Braak 1991), so that undetected AD pathology is one possible explanation of inter-individual  
457 variation in cognitive changes during aging. If underlying AD pathology is responsible for  
458 cognitive variation in healthy aging, it would be expected that alterations in subfield structure  
459 similar to those reported in AD, i.e. alterations in CA1, would be associated with cognitive  
460 decline in healthy aging. However, we found that associations between CA1 and fornix  
461 microstructure were less relevant to performance than those between subiculum and fornix.  
462 The regression analyses suggested that even if CA1 volume were constant, a relationship  
463 between fornix microstructure and recall would be present. Furthermore, subiculum volume  
464 was an independent predictor of verbal recall in a multiple regression model that included CA1.  
465 The pattern of results, therefore, implies mechanisms other than early neurodegeneration in  
466 CA1 in variation of recall performance by providing evidence that processes other than cell  
467 loss in CA1 are at play during aging. Instead, this pattern suggests that altered memory  
468 performance in older age is mediated by processes centered on the subiculum-fornix pathway,  
469 or alterations in hippocampal subfields that are not accompanied by volume loss. The strength  
470 of this association, between the subiculum-fornix pathway and episodic memory, may in part  
471 be explained by the convergence of input processed via the subiculum. There is evidence that  
472 the subiculum and CA1 regions are responsible for memory retrieval whilst the CA2/3 regions  
473 are involved in encoding of new memories (Suthana et al. 2015). The subiculum receives input  
474 from both the CA regions and the entorhinal cortex via CA1 as well as innervating the  
475 entorhinal cortex (Amaral and Witter 1989, O'Mara 2005).

Hartopp *et al.* Subiculum, fornix and recollection in older age

476  
477

478 The histological basis of alterations in subiculum volume in those people free of overt  
479 neurodegenerative disease is not clear. Reductions in subfield volumes do not necessarily  
480 imply neuronal cell death (Morrison and Hof 1997). The loss of glial cells has been found to  
481 be associated with reduced grey matter volume of the hippocampus in previous studies (Willard  
482 et al. 2013) and may also contribute to alterations in white matter; for example because  
483 oligodendrocytes surround neuronal axons. In general, there is evidence that neuronal loss  
484 accompanies volume loss in the hippocampus in ageing (Schuff et al. 1999). However, recent  
485 pathology studies reveal little evidence of amyloid or tau pathology, or neuronal loss, in the  
486 subiculum in disease-free ageing (Wegiel et al. 2017). Mechanisms for age-related alterations  
487 independent of AD neuropathology are, however, emerging, such as accumulation of TDP43  
488 (Yang et al. 2018), although this has not been evaluated in the subiculum specifically. Indeed,  
489 interpretation of volume changes in the subiculum is constrained by the fact that many  
490 quantitative pathology studies have failed to include or to report measurements from the  
491 subiculum (Gemmell et al. 2014). One limitation of this cross-sectional study is that the  
492 direction of effect cannot be assumed: the fornix is a bidirectional tract and carries afferents,  
493 notably from the cholinergic basal forebrain (Aggleton 2012), which in turn could have  
494 synaptic or neurotrophic effects within the hippocampus (Knipper et al. 1994). The origin and  
495 cellular correlates of the concomitant changes that occur in subiculum and fornix in older age  
496 therefore remain unclear.

497

498 Several previous studies, using various methods, have examined hippocampal subfield-specific  
499 alterations associated in healthy aging (for review see (de Flores et al. 2015)). Studies of  
500 hippocampal shape change show deformations in the medial part of the hippocampal formation  
501 (Wang et al. 2003) while voxel-based morphometry studies have shown maximal changes in  
502 the superficial, inferomedial hippocampus, which also corresponds to the subiculum (Chetelat  
503 et al. 2008). One large study has shown a near linear relationship of subiculum volume with  
504 age, recapitulating the classical studies of neuronal density (West et al. 1994), while alterations  
505 in other subfields have been shown to accelerate as individuals get older (Ziegler et al. 2012).  
506 Previous imaging studies are not entirely consistent; an investigation using an earlier version  
507 of *FreeSurfer* found a pattern different to that observed here, with age effects in CA2/3 and  
508 CA4/dentate gyrus (Pereira et al. 2014). The accuracy of this earlier subfield segmentation  
509 algorithm has since been questioned (de Flores et al. 2015). The use of an *ex vivo* atlas on raw  
510 data with the spatial resolution utilised in this study carries the potential for error but allowed  
511 as much anatomical information as possible to be extracted from the small hippocampal  
512 structure. An additional advantage of the new method implemented in this study is that it is  
513 based on *ex vivo* images from 15 individuals aged 60 to 91 years, so is well adapted to studies  
514 of aging. It is likely that delineation of subfield boundaries, particularly in the cornu ammonis,  
515 is more accurate than in previous methods, but the distinction between subiculum, CA1, CA2  
516 and CA3 remains difficult because of the lack of image contrast between them and some  
517 misclassification of voxels remains a potential limitation.

518

519 Correlations with white matter microstructure and hippocampal subfield volumes were present  
520 for verbal and visual memory and, to a lesser extent, cued recall of objects from a task based  
521 on learning object-place associations (PAL). Recognition of object location in the PAL test did  
522 not correlate with the volume of any subfield. This result is likely to be explained by a ceiling

523 effect in performance of this task by healthy volunteers. However, there is also evidence that  
524 links neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus to contextual recall (Aimone et al 2011, Ikrar et al  
525 2013). Therefore, another possible factor is that performance in this task is related to cellular  
526 mechanisms, which are not represented by subiculum volume.. Correct responses on the Visual  
527 Recognition task could arise from either recollection or familiarity-based mechanisms, as this  
528 task was based on a forced choice of two faces. Free recall, on the other hand, is likely to  
529 depend primarily on recollective memory. Therefore, the pattern of association overall is  
530 consistent with a general relationship with recollection. Recollection is supported by a network  
531 that includes projections carried by the fornix from the subiculum and presubiculum to  
532 diencephalic targets (Aggleton and Brown 2006; Tsivilis et al. 2008). Critical diencephalic  
533 targets reside in the thalamus and mammillary bodies. Projections from the hippocampus to  
534 the anterior thalamic nuclei are found exclusively within the fornix. Furthermore, tracer studies  
535 in the macaque show that projections from the subicular complex to the lateral dorsal thalamic  
536 nucleus use the fornix (in contrast to entorhinal cortex projections to the lateral dorsal nucleus,  
537 which use alternative routes, Saunders and Aggleton 2007). Therefore, there are multiple  
538 projections, to distinct thalamic nuclei that arise from the subiculum. The caudal subiculum  
539 and prosubiculum are also the major source of hippocampal projections to the mammillary  
540 body (Aggleton et al. 2005). These projections target the medial mammillary nucleus and again  
541 are routed via the fornix. In both the rat and the macaque, projections to the anterior thalamic  
542 and medial mammillary nuclei are separated along the anterior-posterior axis of the subiculum  
543 (Christiansen et al. 2016). Therefore, there are multiple parallel projection pathways between  
544 the subicular complex and distinct diencephalic targets – the medial mammillary nucleus,  
545 anterior thalamic nuclei and lateral dorsal nucleus of the thalamus, among others – all of which  
546 utilise the fornix. This convergence of multiple pathways on subiculum-fornix connectivity  
547 may explain our finding that the interdependency of structural variation in these structures is a  
548 critical factor in memory performance. This interpretation is strengthened by evidence that  
549 degeneration of the subiculum drives degeneration in connected structures such as the  
550 mammillary body and retrosplenial cortex (George et al. 2017), and that, within the thalamus,  
551 the anterior thalamic nuclei show particularly pronounced changes with aging, as does the  
552 fornix (Fama and Sullivan 2015, Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011).

553  
554 One contrast from our previous study was the finding of associations with the parahippocampal  
555 cingulum in healthy individuals. No consistent associations were found for the uncinate  
556 fasciculus, which at first sight appears inconsistent with other studies of associative memory  
557 (Metzler-Baddeley et al. 2011; Alm et al. 2016). However, only total recall and recognition  
558 scores were assessed. In Alm et al, (2016) uncinate microstructure correlated with learning rate  
559 but not final accuracy (recall). Furthermore, uncinate lesions in monkeys disrupt associations  
560 between recognition and action selection (Eacott and Gaffan 1992) but not simple object  
561 recognition.

562  
563 Further investigation of alterations in subiculum structure that occur with age has the potential  
564 to highlight underlying mechanisms that might be amenable to treatment to promote cognitive  
565 health in older age. Of particular interest are those subiculum cells that project to the  
566 mammillary bodies and the anterior thalamic nuclei, as well as the neurons from both the  
567 subiculum and CA1 that reach prefrontal cortex, projections that are heavily reliant on the  
568 fornix (Aggleton 2012; Aggleton et al. 2015) and are closely linked with episodic memory  
569 (Aggleton and Brown 2006; Carlesimo et al. 2011). Such investigations could reveal novel

570 treatment targets, independent of amyloid or tau accumulation in the ageing brain, to ameliorate  
 571 age-related cognitive decline. Identification of the critical neuronal, synaptic and glial changes  
 572 that lead to subiculum atrophy, with careful correlation of quantitative imaging and  
 573 neuropathology, is essential to identify new treatment targets.

574

#### 575 **Acknowledgements**

576 This work was supported by the Medical Research Council, UK (grant reference  
 577 MR/K022113/1). This work was (in part) funded by the CoSTREAM project. CoSTREAM has  
 578 received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme  
 579 under grant agreement No 667375. Guy's and St Thomas' Charity, UK (registered charity  
 580 no.1160316) provided funding to NH.

581

#### 582 **Author contributions**

583 Research was conceptualized and designed by MO. Data were acquired by PW and NR. Data  
 584 were analysed and manuscript drafted by NH and MO. All authors revised the manuscript for  
 585 intellectual content.

586

#### 587 **Data availability statement**

588 Raw data will be made available upon request to qualified investigators, in anonymized form,  
 589 in accordance with the terms of the ethical approvals of this study.

590

#### 591 **Conflict of interest**

592 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

593

#### 594 **References**

595 Aggleton JP. 2012. Multiple anatomical systems embedded within the primate medial temporal  
 596 lobe: Implications for hippocampal function. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 36:1579-1596.

597 Aggleton JP, Brown MW. 2006. Interleaving brain systems for episodic and recognition  
 598 memory. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 10:455-463.

599 Aggleton JP, Vann SD, Saunders RC. 2005. Projections from the hippocampal region to the  
 600 mammillary bodies in macaque monkeys. *Eur J Neurosci.* 22:2519-2530.

601 Aggleton JP, Desimone R, Mishkin M. 1986. The origin, course, and termination of the  
 602 hippocampothalamic projections in the macaque. *J Comp Neurol.* 243:409-21.

603 Aggleton JP, Wright NF, Rosene DL, Saunders RC. 2015. Complementary patterns of direct  
 604 amygdala and hippocampal projections to the macaque prefrontal cortex. *Cerebral Cortex.*  
 605 25:4351-73.

606 Agster KL, Burwell RD. 2013. Hippocampal and subicular efferents and afferents of the  
 607 perirhinal, postrhinal, and entorhinal cortices of the rat. *Behav Brain Res.* 254:50-64.

608 Aimone JB, Deng W, Gage FH. 2011. Resolving New Memories: A Critical Look at the  
 609 Dentate Gyrus, Adult Neurogenesis, and Pattern Separation. *Neuron.* 70:589-96.

- 610 Alm KH, Rolheiser T, Olson IR. 2016. Inter-individual variation in fronto-temporal  
611 connectivity predicts the ability to learn different types of associations. *Neuroimage*. 132:213-  
612 224.
- 613 Amaral DG, Witter MP. 1989. The three-dimensional organisation of the hippocampal  
614 formation: a review of anatomical data. *Neuroscience*. 31:571-591.
- 615 Bartzokis G. 2004. Age-related myelin breakdown: a developmental model of cognitive  
616 decline and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 25:5-18.
- 617 Braak H, Braak E. 1991. Neuropathological staging of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta*  
618 *Neuropath*. 82:239-259.
- 619 Carlesimo GA, Lombardi MG, Caltagirone C. 2011. Vascular thalamic amnesia: a reappraisal.  
620 *Neuropsychologia*. 49:777-89.
- 621 Chetelat G, Fouquet M, Kalpouzos G, Denghien I, De la Sayette V, Viader F, Mezenge F,  
622 Landeau B, Baron JC, Eustache F, Desgranges B. 2008. Three-dimensional surface mapping  
623 of hippocampal atrophy progression from MCI to AD and over normal aging as assessed using  
624 voxel-based morphometry. *Neuropsychologia*. 46:1721-1731.
- 625 Christiansen K, Dillingham CM, Wright NF, Saunders RC, Vann SD, Aggleton JP. 2016.  
626 Complementary subicular pathways to the anterior thalamic nuclei and mammillary bodies in  
627 the rat and macaque monkey brain. *Eur J Neurosci*. 43:1044-61.
- 628 Csernansky JG, Wang L, Swank J, Miller JP, Gado M, McKeel D, Miller MI, Morris. 2005.  
629 Preclinical detection of Alzheimer's disease: hippocampal shape and volume predict dementia  
630 onset in the elderly. *Neuroimage* 25:783-792
- 631 Ikrar T, Guo N, He K, Besnard A, Levinson S, Hill A, Lee H, Hen R, Xu X, Sahay A. 2013.  
632 Adult neurogenesis modifies excitability of the dentate gyrus. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*. 7:  
633 1-15
- 634 Daitz HM, Powell TP. 1954. Studies of the connexions of the fornix system. *J Neurol*  
635 *Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 17:75-82.
- 636 de Flores R, La Joie R, Chetelat G. 2015. Structural imaging of hippocampal subfields in  
637 healthy aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience*. 309:29-50.
- 638 Eacott MJ, Gaffan D. 1992. Inferotemporal-frontal Disconnection: The Uncinate Fascicle and  
639 Visual Associative Learning in Monkeys. *Eur J Neurosci*. 4:1320-1332.
- 640 Ebner NC. 2008. Age of face matters: age-group differences in ratings of young and old faces.  
641 *Behavior Res Methods*. 40:130-136.
- 642 Fama R, Sullivan EV. 2015. Thalamic structures and associated cognitive functions: relations  
643 with age and aging. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 54:29-37.



- 644 Gemmell E, Tam E, Allan L, Hall R, Khundakar A, Oakley AE, Thomas A, Deramecourt V,  
645 Kalaria RN. 2014. Neuron volumes in hippocampal subfields in delayed poststroke and aging-  
646 related dementias. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 73:305-311.
- 647 George S, Ronnback A, Gouras GK, Petit GH, Grueninger F, Winblad B, Graff C, Brundin P.  
648 2014. Lesion of the subiculum reduces the spread of amyloid beta pathology to interconnected  
649 brain regions in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathologica*  
650 *Communications.* 2:17.
- 651 Grober E, Buschke H, Crystal H, Bang S, Dresner R. 1988. Screening for dementia by memory  
652 testing. *Neurology.* 38:900-903.
- 653 Iglesias JE, Augustinack JC, Nguyen K, Player CM, Player A, Wright M, Roy N, Frosch MP,  
654 McKee AC, Wald LL, Fischl B, Van Leemput K. 2015. A computational atlas of the  
655 hippocampal formation using ex vivo, ultra-high resolution MRI: Application to adaptive  
656 segmentation of in vivo MRI. *Neuroimage.* 115:117-137.
- 657 Jeurissen B, Leemans A, Jones DK, Tournier JD, Sijbers J. 2011. Probabilistic fiber tracking  
658 using the residual bootstrap with constrained spherical deconvolution. *Hum Brain Mapp.*  
659 32:461-479.
- 660 Knipper M, da Penha Berzaghi M, Blochl A, Breer H, Thoenen H, Lindholm D. 1994. Positive  
661 feedback between acetylcholine and the neurotrophins nerve growth factor and brain-derived  
662 neurotrophic factor in the rat hippocampus. *Eur J Neurosci.* 6:668-671.
- 663 Li YD, Dong HB, Xie GM, Zhang LJ. 2013. Discriminative analysis of mild Alzheimer's  
664 disease and normal aging using volume of hippocampal subfields and hippocampal mean  
665 diffusivity: an in vivo magnetic resonance imaging study. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen.*  
666 28:627-33.
- 667 Metzler-Baddeley C, Jones DK, Belaroussi B, Aggleton JP, O'Sullivan MJ. 2011.  
668 Frontotemporal connections in episodic memory and aging: a diffusion MRI tractography  
669 study. *J Neurosci.* 31:13236-13245.
- 670 Metzler-Baddeley C, O'Sullivan MJ, Bells S, Pasternak O, Jones DK. 2012a. How and how  
671 not to correct for CSF-contamination in diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage.* 59:1394-1403.
- 672 Metzler-Baddeley C, Hunt S, Jones DK, Leemans A, Aggleton JP, O'Sullivan MJ. 2012b.  
673 Temporal association tracts and the breakdown of episodic memory in mild cognitive  
674 impairment. *Neurology.* 79:2233-2240.
- 675 Minear M, Park DC. 2004. A lifespan database of adult facial stimuli. *Behav Res Methods*  
676 *Instrum Comput.* 36:630-633.
- 677 Morrison JH, Hof PR. 1997. Life and death of neurons in the aging brain. *Science.* 278:412-  
678 419.
- 679 Mueller ST, Piper BJ. 2014. The Psychology Experiment Building Language (PEBL) and  
680 PEBL Test Battery. *J Neurosci Methods.* 222:250-259.
- Hartopp *et al.* Subiculum, fornix and recollection in older age

- 681 O'Mara S. 2005. The subiculum: what it does, what it might do, and what neuroanatomy has  
682 yet to tell us. *J Anat.* 207:271-282.
- 683 Pasternak O, Sochen N, Gur Y, Intrator N, Assaf Y. 2009. Free water elimination and mapping  
684 from diffusion MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 62:717-730.
- 685 Pereira JB, Valls-Pedret C, Ros E, Palacios E, Falcon C, Bargallo N, Bartres-Faz D, Wahlund  
686 LO, Westman E, Junque C. 2014. Regional vulnerability of hippocampal subfields to aging  
687 measured by structural and diffusion MRI. *Hippocampus.* 24:403-414.
- 688 Rossion B, Pourtois G. 2004. Revisiting Snodgrass and Vanderwart's object pictorial set: the  
689 role of surface detail in basic-level object recognition. *Perception.* 33:217-236.
- 690 Rudebeck SR, Scholz J, Millington R, Rohenkohl G, Johansen-Berg H, Lee AC. 2009. Fornix  
691 microstructure correlates with recollection but not familiarity memory. *J Neurosci.* 29:14987-  
692 14992.
- 693 Saunders RC, Aggleton JP. 2007. Origin and topography of fibers contributing to the fornix in  
694 macaque monkeys. *Hippocampus.* 17:396-411.
- 695 Saunders RC, Mishkin M, Aggleton JP. 2005. Projections from the entorhinal cortex, perirhinal  
696 cortex, presubiculum, and parasubiculum to the medial thalamus in macaque monkeys:  
697 identifying different pathways using disconnection techniques. *Exp Brain Res.* 167:1-16.
- 698 Schuff N, Amend DL, Knowlton R, Norman D, Fein G, Weiner MW. 1999. Age-related  
699 metabolite changes and volume loss in the hippocampus by magnetic resonance spectroscopy  
700 and imaging. *Neurobiol of Aging.* 20:279 - 285
- 701 Suthana NA, Parikshak NN, Ekstrom AD, Ison MJ, Knowlton BJ, Bookheimer SY, Fried I.  
702 2015. Specific responses of human hippocampal neurons are associated with better memory.  
703 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 112:10503-10508.
- 704 Tsivilis D, Vann SD, Denby C, Roberts N, Mayes AR, Montaldi D, Aggleton JP. 2008. A  
705 disproportionate role for the fornix and mammillary bodies in recall versus recognition  
706 memory. *Nat Neurosci.* 11:834-842.
- 707 Vann SD, Tsivilis D, Denby CE, Quamme JR, Yonelinas AP, Aggleton JP, Montaldi D, Mayes  
708 AR. 2009. Impaired recollection but spared familiarity in patients with extended hippocampal  
709 system damage revealed by 3 convergent methods. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 106:5442-7.
- 710 Wang L, Swank JS, Glick IE, Gado MH, Miller MI, Morris JC, Csernansky JG. 2003. Changes  
711 in hippocampal volume and shape across time distinguish dementia of the Alzheimer type from  
712 healthy aging. *Neuroimage.* 20:667-682.
- 713 Wiegel J, Flory M, Kuchna I, Nowicki K, Ma SY, Wegiel J, Badmaev E, Silverman WP, de  
714 Leon M, Resiberg B, Wisniewski T. Multiregional age-associated reduction of brain neuronal  
715 reserve without association with neurofibrillary degeneration or b-amyloidosis. *J Neuropath*  
716 *Exp Neurol.* 76:439-457.

- 717 Willard SL, Riddle DR, Forbes EM, Shively CA. 2013. Cell Number and Neuropil Alterations  
718 in Subregions of the Anterior Hippocampus in a Female Monkey Model of Depression. *Biol*  
719 *Psychiatry*. 74:890-897.
- 720 West MJ, Coleman PD, Flood DG, Troncoso JC. 1994. Differences in the pattern of  
721 hippocampal neuronal loss in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet*. 344:769-772.
- 722 Yang H-S, Yu L, White CC, Chibnik LB, Chhatwal JP, Sperling RA, Bennett DA, Schneider  
723 JA, De Jager PL. 2018. Evaluation of TDP-43 proteinopathy and hippocampal sclerosis in  
724 relation to APOE e4 haplotype status: a community-based cohort study. *Lancet Neurol*. 17:773-  
725 781.
- 726 Ziegler G, Dahnke R, Jancke L, Yotter RA, May A, Gaser C. 2012. Brain structural trajectories  
727 over the adult lifespan. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 33:2377-2389.

**Table 1: Tract microstructure and episodic memory.**

Standardized  $\beta$  coefficients for fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD) and tissue volume fraction ( $f$ ) of the three temporal tracts regressed against performance in tests of episodic memory (dependent variable). All regression models included sex and education as covariates. Regression was repeated with age as a covariate. Covariates are shown in parentheses in the column headings. FCSRT – Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test; PHC – parahippocampal cingulum. \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.005$  (uncorrected for multiple comparisons).

|              | FCSRT Free Recall<br>(sex, education) |        | FCSRT Free Recall<br>(sex, education and age) |        | Visual Recognition Memory<br>(sex, education) |         | Visual Recognition Memory<br>(sex, education and age) |        |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---|--------|---|---------|---|--------|
| Fornix FA    | 0.493*                                |        | 0.173   |        | 0.506*  |         | 0.522*  |        |
| Fornix MD    | -0.477*                               |        | 0.107   |        | -0.424*                                       |         | -0.388  |        |
| Fornix $f$   | 0.363*                                |        | -0.065  |        | 0.172   |         | -0.078  |        |
|              | Left                                  | Right  | Left  | Right  | Left  | Right   | Left  | Right  |
| PHC FA       | 0.439*                                | 0.361  | 0.125   | 0.089  | 0.353   | 0.288   | 0.221   | 0.169  |
| PHC MD       | -0.641**                              | -0.251 | -0.372*                                       | 0.087  | -0.408*                                       | -0.392* | -0.317  | -0.293 |
| PHC $f$      | 0.468*                                | 0.349  | 0.184   | 0.087  | 0.242   | 0.392*  | 0.075   | 0.305  |
| Uncinate FA  | 0.028                                 | 0.096  | -0.045  | -0.007 | -0.303  | 0.104   | -0.352  | 0.029  |
| Uncinate MD  | -0.322                                | -0.287 | 0.015   | 0.042  | -0.080  | -0.132  | 0.144   | 0.057  |
| Uncinate $f$ | 0.097                                 | -0.047 | -0.115  | -0.070 | -0.174  | 0.057   | -0.303  | 0.038  |

**Table 2: Hippocampal Subfield Volumes and Episodic Memory.**

Standardized  $\beta$  coefficients from multiple linear regression. All models included sex and education as covariates. FCSRT – Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test; PAL – Paired Association Learning for object-place associations. \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.005$  (uncorrected). Values that reach Bonferroni-corrected experiment wise significance are indicated in bold.

|               | FCSRT Recall<br>Free | Visual<br>Recognition<br>Memory | PAL<br>Recall | PAL<br>Recognition |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Subiculum     | <b>0.543**</b>       | <b>0.537**</b>                  | 0.316         | 0.054              |
| CA1           | <b>0.389*</b>        | 0.341                           | <b>0.391*</b> | 0.148              |
| Dentate gyrus | <b>0.391*</b>        | <b>0.384*</b>                   | 0.353         | 0.095              |
| CA3           | 0.312                | <b>0.374*</b>                   | 0.337         | 0.038              |
| CA4           | <b>0.376*</b>        | 0.368                           | 0.277         | 0.047              |

**Table 3. Hippocampal subfield volumes and fornix microstructure**

Standardized  $\beta$  coefficients for linear regression of fornix FA on subfield volume. All models are adjusted for sex and education. To adjust for volume of other subfields, the combined volume of the other 4 subfields was included as a covariate (middle column); age was also added (right column). FA – fractional anisotropy. \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.005$ ; \*\*\* $p < 0.0005$  (uncorrected). Values that reach Bonferroni-corrected experiment wise significance are indicated in bold.

|               | Fornix FA       | Fornix<br>covarying for other<br>subfields | FA,<br>Fornix FA covarying<br>for other subfields<br>and age |
|---------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Subiculum     | <b>0.816***</b> | <b>0.701**</b>                             | <b>0.597**</b>   |
| CA1           | <b>0.736***</b> | 0.383                                      | 0.516  |
| Dentate gyrus | <b>0.716***</b> | -0.387                                     | -0.798   |
| CA3           | <b>0.574**</b>  | -0.507                                     | -0.576   |
| CA4           | <b>0.662***</b> | -1.076                                     | -1.190   |

## Figure Legends

**Figure 1: Hippocampal subfield definition.** Hippocampal subfield labeling by *FreeSurfer* in a single, selected participant, illustrating the subfields included for analysis. Labels are shown on representative coronal (bottom panels) and sagittal slices (right side panels). In addition, subfield labels are shown on the surface of the whole hippocampus (top left). The whole hippocampal surface is shown from two perspectives with the three axes labelled as *S* for superior (or dorsal), *A* for anterior (or rostral), *P* for posterior (or caudal), *L* for left and *R* for right.

**Figure 2: Associations of hippocampal subfields with fornix microstructure and free recall performance.** Free recall is presented as the residual values of the regression of free recall and sex and education. A) The volume of the subiculum is the strongest subfield correlate of age-related decline in FCSRT free recall performance. The volume of the CA1 subfield correlates less strongly. B) Fornix FA correlates with the volume of all subfields measured in this study but is most strongly associated with the volume of the subiculum.