



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Newbury, J. B., Arseneault, L., Beevers, S., Kitwiroon, N., Roberts, S., Pariante, C. M., Kelly, F., & Fisher, H. L. (2019). Association of Air Pollution Exposure with Psychotic Experiences during Adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76(6), 614-623. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Association of Air Pollution Exposure With Psychotic Experiences During Adolescence

Joanne B. Newbury, PhD; Louise Arseneault, PhD; Sean Beevers, PhD; Nutthida Kitwiroon, PhD; Susanna Roberts, PhD; Carmine M. Pariante, PhD; Frank J. Kelly, PhD; Helen L. Fisher, PhD

IMPORTANCE Urbanicity is a well-established risk factor for clinical (eg, schizophrenia) and subclinical (eg, hearing voices and paranoia) expressions of psychosis. To our knowledge, no studies have examined the association of air pollution with adolescent psychotic experiences, despite air pollution being a major environmental problem in cities.

OBJECTIVES To examine the association between exposure to air pollution and adolescent psychotic experiences and test whether exposure mediates the association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS The Environmental-Risk Longitudinal Twin Study is a population-based cohort study of 2232 children born during the period from January 1, 1994, through December 4, 1995, in England and Wales and followed up from birth through 18 years of age. The cohort represents the geographic and socioeconomic composition of UK households. Of the original cohort, 2066 (92.6%) participated in assessments at 18 years of age, of whom 2063 (99.9%) provided data on psychotic experiences. Generation of the pollution data was completed on October 4, 2017, and data were analyzed from May 4 to November 21, 2018.

EXPOSURES High-resolution annualized estimates of exposure to 4 air pollutants—nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters of less than 2.5 (PM_{2.5}) and less than 10 μm (PM₁₀)—were modeled for 2012 and linked to the home addresses of the sample plus 2 commonly visited locations when the participants were 18 years old.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES At 18 years of age, participants were privately interviewed regarding adolescent psychotic experiences. Urbanicity was estimated using 2011 census data.

RESULTS Among the 2063 participants who provided data on psychotic experiences, sex was evenly distributed (52.5% female). Six hundred twenty-three participants (30.2%) had at least 1 psychotic experience from 12 to 18 years of age. Psychotic experiences were significantly more common among adolescents with the highest (top quartile) level of annual exposure to NO₂ (odds ratio [OR], 1.71; 95% CI, 1.28-2.28), NO_x (OR, 1.72; 95% CI, 1.30-2.29), and PM_{2.5} (OR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.11-1.90). Together NO₂ and NO_x statistically explained 60% of the association between urbanicity and adolescent psychotic experiences. No evidence of confounding by family socioeconomic status, family psychiatric history, maternal psychosis, childhood psychotic symptoms, adolescent smoking and substance dependence, or neighborhood socioeconomic status, crime, and social conditions occurred.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this study, air pollution exposure—particularly NO₂ and NO_x—was associated with increased odds of adolescent psychotic experiences, which partly explained the association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences. Biological (eg, neuroinflammation) and psychosocial (eg, stress) mechanisms are plausible.

JAMA Psychiatry. 2019;76(6):614-623. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056
Published online March 27, 2019.

← Editorial page 569

+ Author Audio Interview

+ Supplemental content

Author Affiliations: Author affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

Corresponding Author: Helen L. Fisher, PhD, Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, United Kingdom (helen.2.fisher@kcl.ac.uk).

Several decades have passed since Faris and Dunham¹ first documented higher rates of schizophrenia in inner-city Chicago relative to the city outskirts. The body of research since their ecological study suggests that urban upbringing is associated with a 2-fold adulthood risk for psychotic disorder.² Given that 70% of the world's population will be urban by 2050,³ uncovering the mechanisms linking the urban environment to psychosis and developing preventive interventions constitute an urgent health priority.

Epidemiological research to date has mostly examined adverse social features in the urban environment, such as neighborhood deprivation and crime.⁴⁻⁷ However, a key feature of the urban environment remains underresearched. Air pollution is a major worldwide health issue,⁸ particularly in cities, where pollution levels frequently exceed limits set by the World Health Organization (WHO)⁹ and the European Union.¹⁰ Primary air pollutants are typically released through combustion processes; principal sources include road transport, industry, and domestic activity.^{11,12} In addition, some air pollutants are secondarily formed in the atmosphere through a series of photochemical reactions. Pollution has long been implicated in a range of physical health problems, including cardiovascular and respiratory disease.^{13,14} Growing evidence now links air pollution to psychiatric disorders. Associations have been documented between air pollution and anxiety,¹⁵ depression,¹⁶ autism spectrum disorder,¹⁷ and Alzheimer-like disease.¹⁸ A handful of studies have also examined associations between air pollution and adult psychotic disorders,¹⁹⁻²¹ but findings are inconsistent.

Moreover, few studies have used high-resolution measures of air pollution to examine associations with psychosis, and none have examined associations with adolescent psychotic experiences, such as hearing voices and extreme paranoia. These early psychotic phenomena are a developmental risk factor for adult psychotic disorder^{22,23} and other serious mental health problems²⁴ and are thought to lie on an etiological continuum with clinical psychosis.²⁵ Focusing on adolescent psychotic experiences (vs adult disorders) provides several analytic advantages. First, infants and youth are most vulnerable to air pollution owing to the juvenility of the brain and respiratory system.²⁶ Second, early psychotic phenomena are recognized as an important target for early intervention.²⁷ Third, psychotic phenomena are also approximately twice as common among youth raised in cities.²⁸⁻³² Air pollution is a plausible component of this association. Finally, subclinical psychotic experiences are relatively common among children and adolescents,³³ thereby increasing our power to detect associations in the general population.

The present study uses data from a nationally representative cohort of 2232 children, interviewed repeatedly from birth to 18 years of age. A battery of phenotypic, family-, and neighborhood-level measures has been collected for 2 decades. High-resolution estimates of levels of 4 ambient (outdoor) air pollutants, including nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 (PM_{2.5}) and less than 10 μm (PM₁₀), have been linked to the addresses of the sample in 2012, the year before the interviews at 18 years of age. We also incorporated pollution data for 2 additional addresses where adolescents spent their time to create a more comprehensive pic-

Key Points

Question Is exposure to air pollution associated with adolescent psychotic experiences?

Findings In this nationally representative cohort study of 2232 UK-born children, significant associations were found between outdoor exposure to nitrogen dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter and reports of psychotic experiences during adolescence. Moreover, nitrogen dioxide and nitrogen oxides together explained 60% of the association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences.

Meaning The association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences is partly explained by the higher levels of outdoor air pollution in urban settings.

ture of ambient pollution exposure. Using these data, we tested the hypotheses that (1) psychotic experiences are more common among adolescents exposed to higher levels of air pollution, and (2) levels of air pollution partly explain the association between urbanicity and adolescent psychotic experiences. Analyses controlled for a range of potential individual-, family-, and neighborhood-level confounders.

Methods

Study Cohort

Participants were members of the Environmental Risk (E-Risk) Longitudinal Twin Study, which tracks the development of a nationally representative birth cohort of 2232 twin children born from January 1, 1994, through December 4, 1995, across England and Wales and initially assessed at 5 years of age. This sample included 1242 (55.6%) monozygotic and 990 (44.4%) dizygotic twin pairs; sex was evenly distributed within zygosity (1092 male [48.9%]). Follow-up home visits were conducted when participants were aged 7 (98% participation), 10 (96% participation), 12 (96% participation), and 18 (93% participation) years. At 18 years of age, the E-Risk sample included 2066 participants. No differences occurred between those who did and did not participate at 18 years of age in terms of socioeconomic status ($\chi^2 = 0.86$; $P = .65$), IQ scores (2-tailed independent $t = 0.98$; $P = .33$), or internalizing (2-tailed independent $t = 0.40$; $P = .69$) or externalizing (2-tailed independent $t = 0.41$; $P = .68$) behavior problems at 5 years of age. E-Risk participants are representative of UK households across the spectrum of neighborhood socioeconomic conditions: at 18 years of age, 27.0% of E-Risk participants ($n = 489$) lived in wealthy-achiever neighborhoods compared with 25.4% of households nationwide; 7.2% ($n = 131$) vs 11.5% lived in urban-prosperity neighborhoods; 26.8% ($n = 484$) vs 27.4% lived in comfortably off neighborhoods; 13.2% ($n = 239$) vs 13.8% lived in moderate-means neighborhoods; and 25.8% ($n = 468$) vs 21.2% lived in hard-pressed neighborhoods.³⁴ Most of the 2066 participants (1475 [71.4%]) lived at the same address from 12 to 18 years of age. The joint South London and Maudsley and the Institute of Psychiatry research ethics committee approved each phase of the study.

Parents gave written informed consent, and participants gave written assent at 5 to 12 years of age and written informed consent at 18 years of age. **Table 1** displays sociodemographic characteristics of the E-Risk participants at 18 years of age. Further details about the sample are reported elsewhere,³⁵ and in the eMethods in the [Supplement](#).

Measures

Adolescent Psychotic Experiences

At 18 years of age, each E-Risk participant was privately interviewed by a research worker about 13 psychotic experiences occurring since 12 years of age. Data on psychotic experiences are available for 2063 participants (99.9%) of the sample interviewed. Seven items pertained to delusions and hallucinations,²⁸ such as “Have you ever thought you were being watched, followed, or spied on?” and “Do you hear voices that others cannot?” Six items pertained to unusual experiences which drew on item pools since formalized in prodromal psychosis instruments, including the PRIME (Prevention Through Risk Identification, Management, Education) screen and Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes,³⁶ such as “People or places I know seem different” and “My thinking is unusual or frightening.” Further information on this measure is provided in the eMethods in the [Supplement](#). Research workers coded each item 0 for not present, 1 for probably present, or 2 for definitely present. All 13 items were summed (range, 0-18; mean [SD] score, 1.19 [2.58]), and scores were placed into an ordinal scale. Just more than 30% of participants had at least 1 psychotic experience from 12 to 18 years of age; 1440 (69.8%) reported no psychotic experiences (coded 0); 319 (15.5%)

reported 1 or 2 psychotic experiences (coded 1); 166 (8.0%) reported 3 to 5 psychotic experiences (coded 2); and 138 (6.7%) reported 6 or more psychotic experiences (coded 3). This finding is similar to the prevalence of self-reported psychotic experiences in other community samples of teenagers and young adults.^{37,38}

Adolescent Psychotic Symptoms

Adolescent psychotic symptoms were recorded as responses to the 7 hallucination/delusion items assessed at 18 years of age, verified by health care professionals (eMethods in the [Supplement](#)). A conservative approach was taken in designating an adolescent’s report as a symptom. After clinical verification by a team of experts, 59 (2.9%) adolescents reported having at least 1 definite psychotic symptom from 12 to 18 years of age.

Ambient Air Pollution

Pollution exposure estimates were modeled for 2012, when participants were 17 years of age, and linked to the latitude-longitude coordinates of participants’ residential addresses at 18 years of age (or where the participant spent most of their time) plus 2 additional addresses where the participants reported spending their time. The most common locations were home, school, work, and shops. Pollution data for the primary addresses were available for 2014 participants (97.5%) (eTable 1 in the [Supplement](#)). We decided to model pollution data for 2012 to capitalize on recent developments in pollution models³⁹ and create a more comprehensive picture of pollution exposure by incorporating the additional addresses obtained at 18 years of age. Pollution exposure estimates were

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of the E-Risk Longitudinal Twin Study Participants at 18 Years of Age

Variable	No. (%) of Participants			χ^2 Test ^a	P Value
	All	Adolescent Psychotic Experiences	No Adolescent Psychotic Experiences		
Total	2063 (100)	623 (30.2)	1440 (69.8)	NA	NA
Sex					
Male	980 (47.5)	305 (31.1)	675 (68.9)	0.8	.39
Female	1083 (52.5)	318 (29.4)	765 (70.6)		
Zygosity					
MZ	1164 (56.4)	346 (29.7)	818 (70.3)	0.3	.59
DZ	899 (43.6)	277 (30.8)	622 (69.2)		
Family SES					
Low	691 (33.5)	255 (36.9)	436 (63.1)	32.1	<.001
Middle	683 (33.1)	210 (30.7)	473 (69.3)		
High	689 (33.4)	158 (22.9)	531 (77.1)		
Neighborhood SES					
Hard pressed	468 (25.8)	160 (34.2)	308 (65.8)	24.5	<.001
Moderate means	239 (13.2)	83 (34.7)	156 (65.3)		
Comfortably off	484 (26.7)	146 (30.2)	338 (69.8)		
Urban prosperity	131 (7.2)	42 (32.1)	89 (67.9)		
Wealthy achievers	489 (27.0)	104 (21.3)	385 (78.7)		
Urbanicity					
Rural	366 (19.7)	82 (22.4)	284 (77.6)	15.9	<.001
Intermediate	897 (48.4)	262 (29.2)	635 (70.8)		
Urban	592 (31.9)	204 (34.5)	388 (65.5)		

Abbreviations: E-Risk, Environmental Risk; SES, socioeconomic status.

^a Calculated as test of differences in distribution of psychotic experiences by sociodemographic variables.

modeled using the local-scale Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ-urban) Modeling System, which is a coupled regional chemical transport model and street-scale dispersion model. CMAQ-urban uses a new generation of road traffic emissions inventory in the United Kingdom to model air quality down to individual streets, providing hourly estimates of pollutants at 20 × 20-m grid points throughout the United Kingdom (ie, address level). Full details on the creation and validation of this model have been described previously.^{40,41} The pollution estimates achieved good model performance against ground-based measurements (eMethods and eTable 2 in the Supplement). Participants' exposure to several pollutants was estimated by calculating the mean levels of the pollutant across the year at as many as 3 locations where participants reported spending most of their time, and then calculating the mean across the locations (ie, [annual pollution exposure in location 1 + location 2 + location 3]/3). Pollutants include NO₂ (regulated gaseous pollutant), NO_x (regulated gaseous pollutant, composed of NO₂ and nitric oxide), and PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ (regulated pollutants composed of inorganic aerosols, carbonaceous aerosols, and dusts). To index the worst levels of air pollution while retaining statistical power and ensuring parity between the measures, air pollutants were dichotomized at the top quartile of exposure in this sample (eMethods in the Supplement provides further detail on the pollution measure and cutoffs). These quartile cutoffs in micrograms per cubic meter were 26.0 μg/m³ for NO₂, 33.0 μg/m³ for NO_x, 12.4 μg/m³ for PM_{2.5}, and 17.6 μg/m³ for PM₁₀. All air pollutants were highly correlated ($r = 0.56-0.97$; $P < .001$). We examined the individual associations of each pollutant with adolescent psychotic experiences because pollutants may have differential health effects.

Other Variables

Urbanicity⁴² was used in mediation models to test whether air pollutants mediated the association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences. A 3-level urbanicity score was derived from classifications from 2011 census data, which combined residential density, output area, and contextual data (592 of 1858 participants with available data [31.9%] lived in the most urban settings at 18 years of age). Analyses controlled for a range of potential covariates that might confound the association⁴³ between air pollution and adolescent psychotic experiences, including family socioeconomic status,⁴⁴ family psychiatric history,^{45,46} maternal psychosis,^{47,48} childhood psychotic symptoms,^{22,49} adolescent smoking,⁴⁷ cannabis dependence,⁴⁷ alcohol dependence,⁴⁷ neighborhood socioeconomic status,⁵⁰ neighborhood crime, and neighborhood social conditions.⁵¹⁻⁵⁵ All covariates are described in detail in the eMethods in the Supplement.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed from May 4 to November 21, 2018. Statistical analyses used Stata software (version 14.1; StataCorp) and followed 3 main steps. First, we used linear regression to check whether urban neighborhoods were more polluted in this cohort. Second, we used ordinal logistic regression (psychotic experiences were placed on an ordinal scale) to test the associa-

tion of each pollutant with adolescent psychotic experiences. We adjusted in a stepwise manner for potential confounders before controlling for all potential confounders simultaneously. We conducted several sensitivity analyses, using (1) urbanicity as an additional control variable to account comprehensively for urban factors correlated with air pollution; (2) the 71.4% of adolescents who did not move between residences from 12 to 18 years, to keep neighborhood conditions (and therefore air pollution exposure) as consistent over time as possible; (3) pollution variables categorized at different thresholds to check the sensitivity of our top quartile cutoff; (4) adolescent psychotic symptoms as the outcome to check whether associations extended to this clinically verified phenotype; and (5) a 2-pollutant model (NO_x and PM_{2.5}) to investigate copollutant confounding. Third, we used KHB (Karlson, Holm, and Breen) pathway decomposition⁵⁶ to test whether pollution levels mediated the association between urbanicity and adolescent psychotic experiences, again controlling for potential confounders. The level of statistical significance was set at 2-sided $P < .05$. Because the E-Risk Study uses a twin sample, analyses were adjusted for the nonindependence of twin observations using the CLUSTER command in Stata. This procedure is derived from the Huber-White variance estimator and provides robust standard errors adjusted for within-cluster correlated data.⁵⁷ Given the prevalence of psychotic experiences, odds ratios (ORs) are not a good approximation for risk ratios and should be strictly interpreted as an increase in odds.⁵⁸

Results

A total of 2063 participants provided data on psychotic experiences at 18 years of age. Of these, 980 (47.5%) were male and 1083 (52.5%) were female. Characteristics of the study group are shown in Table 1.


Are Urban Neighborhoods More Polluted?

Figure 1 shows that higher mean levels of NO₂, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ were estimated in urban vs rural neighborhoods. Mean levels of NO_x (40.6 μm) and PM_{2.5} (12.9 μm) in urban settings exceeded WHO guidelines (40 μm and 10 μm, respectively). Urbanicity was significantly associated with levels of NO₂ (unstandardized β, 8.68; 95% CI, 8.02-9.35), NO_x (unstandardized β, 13.22; 95% CI, 12.03-14.42), PM_{2.5} (unstandardized β, 1.46; 95% CI 1.30-1.63), and PM₁₀ (unstandardized β, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.78-1.18). Standardized βs (which may be interpreted as correlations and therefore compared across pollutants) were 0.64 for NO₂, 0.58 for NO_x, 0.49 for PM_{2.5}, and 0.26 for PM₁₀.

Is Air Pollution Associated With Adolescent Psychotic Experiences?

Figure 2 and Table 2 show that adolescents exposed to the highest (top quartile) annual levels of air pollution reported higher rates of psychotic experiences than adolescents exposed to lower levels of pollution. Associations among NO₂, NO_x, and PM_{2.5} exposures and adolescent psychotic experiences were slightly attenuated but remained significant after adjusting for family-level factors (model 2), childhood psychotic symp-

Figure 1. Air Pollution Levels in Rural, Intermediate, and Urban Settings



Annualized mean exposure levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters of less than 2.5 µm (PM_{2.5}) and less than 10 µm (PM₁₀) according to level of urbanicity. Clear markers highlight the different World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for these pollutants.

toms (model 3), adolescent substance use (model 4), neighborhood factors (model 5), and after considering all potential confounders simultaneously (model 6). For example, the fully adjusted association between NO_x and adolescent psychotic experiences was an OR of 1.72 (95% CI, 1.30-2.29); for NO₂, an OR of 1.71 (95% CI, 1.28-2.28); and for PM_{2.5}, an OR of 1.45 (95% CI, 1.11-1.90). Particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters of less than 10 µm was no longer significantly associated with adolescent psychotic experiences after adjusting for neighborhood factors (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 0.96-1.61) and all confounders simultaneously (OR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.98-1.65).

Sensitivity Analyses

We repeated analyses using urbanicity as an additional control variable to account comprehensively for urban factors correlated with air pollution. In short, main associations were not substantially changed after controlling for urbanicity (eTable 3 in the Supplement).

We repeated the analyses for participants who lived at the same address from 12 to 18 years of age (1472 [71.4%]). By restricting analyses in this way, we kept neighborhood conditions (including air pollution) as consistent over time as possible, with the caveat that neighborhood conditions tend to gradually change over time. In short, associations (from the regression and mediation models) were similar (albeit slightly stronger) for this subsample of adolescents who lived at the same address from 12 to 18 years of age (eTables 4 and 5 in the Supplement). For example, NO₂ exposure (OR, 1.79; 95% CI, 1.28-2.50), NO_x exposure (OR, 1.76; 95% CI, 1.27-2.46), and PM_{2.5} exposure (OR, 1.60; 95% CI, 1.17-2.18) were significantly associated with adolescent psychotic experiences after considering all confounders.

We repeated the analyses using different thresholds for the pollution variables (full-scale continuous variables, dichotomized using WHO guidelines, and dichotomized at mean, and

a 4-level variable). Odds for psychotic experiences were generally elevated among adolescents with higher vs lower pollution exposure, regardless of the threshold used (eTable 6 in the Supplement). For example, after considering all confounders and when air pollutants were dichotomized at the mean, the association of NO₂ with adolescent psychotic experiences was an OR of 1.27 (95% CI, 0.99-1.62); for NO_x, an OR of 1.32 (95% CI, 1.03-1.68); for PM_{2.5}, an OR of 1.16 (95% CI, 0.92-1.47); and for PM₁₀, an OR of 1.29 (95% CI, 1.02-1.63).

We repeated the analyses with clinically verified adolescent psychotic symptoms as the outcome. Effect sizes were similar to those found for adolescent psychotic experiences, although associations were not statistically significant after simultaneously adjusting for all potential confounders (eTable 7 in the Supplement). For example, after considering all confounders the association of NO₂ with adolescent psychotic symptoms was an OR of 1.76 (95% CI, 0.82-3.79); for NO_x, an OR of 1.79 (95% CI, 0.84-3.83), for PM_{2.5}, an OR of 1.47 (95% CI, 0.68-3.14); and for PM₁₀, an OR of 1.48 (95% CI, 0.69-3.14).

We also repeated the analyses using a 2-pollutant model (NO_x and PM_{2.5}) to examine copollutant confounding. The associations arising from PM_{2.5} were null when simultaneously modeled with NO_x. In contrast, the associations arising from NO_x were largely unchanged (eTable 8 in the Supplement). This finding suggests that associations arising from PM_{2.5} were driven by NO_x/NO₂ or another factor correlated with NO_x/NO₂.

Does Air Pollution Explain the Association Between Urban Residency and Adolescent Psychotic Experiences?

As previously reported,³¹ psychotic experiences were significantly more common among adolescents residing in the most urban vs rural neighborhoods at 18 years of age (OR, 1.93; 95% CI, 1.35-2.75). Table 3 displays mediation models of the association between the most urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences, split into the direct pathway (the part of the association not explained by the specified air pollutant, plus measurement error) and indirect pathway (the part of the association that is statistically mediated via the specified air pollutant). Mediation model 1 shows that NO₂ (45%; OR, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.11-1.61) and NO_x (45%; OR, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.12-1.61) each significantly mediated (significant indirect ORs) the association between urbanicity and adolescent psychotic experiences. After considering potential confounders (mediation model 2), the mediatory pathways of NO₂ (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.07-1.45) and NO_x (OR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.08-1.47) remained statistically significant, with each explaining 55% and 58% of the association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences, respectively. Nitrogen dioxide and NO_x were of course highly correlated ($r = 0.93$; $P < .001$). When NO₂ and NO_x were simultaneously entered as mediators, together they statistically explained 60% of the adjusted association between most urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences. Thus, mediatory pathways via NO₂ and NO_x largely overlapped and cannot be disentangled in this study. Mediation analyses were conducted using the 3-level urbanicity variable. Mediatory pathways arising for the intermediate urban settings are shown in eTable 9 in the Supplement.

Figure 2. Prevalence of Adolescent Psychotic Experiences According to Exposure to Air Pollutants




Table 2. Association Between Top Quartile of Annualized Mean Levels of Air Pollutants and Adolescent Psychotic Experiences^a

Model	Pollutants, OR (95% CI)			
	NO ₂	NO _x	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀
Model 1 (unadjusted)	1.83 (1.42-2.36) ^b	1.84 (1.43-2.36) ^b	1.58 (1.23-2.03) ^b	1.39 (1.08-1.79) ^c
Model 2 (family factors) ^d	1.83 (1.42-2.37) ^b	1.83 (1.42-2.35) ^b	1.59 (1.23-2.05) ^b	1.39 (1.08-1.79) ^c
Model 3 (childhood psychotic symptoms)	1.84 (1.43-2.37) ^b	1.85 (1.44-2.37) ^b	1.61 (1.26-2.07) ^b	1.37 (1.07-1.77) ^c
Model 4 (adolescent substance use) ^e	1.84 (1.42-2.38) ^b	1.84 (1.43-2.37) ^b	1.55 (1.20-1.98) ^f	1.38 (1.08-1.78) ^c
Model 5 (neighborhood factors) ^g	1.62 (1.22-2.14) ^f	1.63 (1.23-2.15) ^f	1.38 (1.06-1.79) ^c	1.24 (0.96-1.61) ^h
Model 6 (all covariates simultaneously)	1.71 (1.28-2.28) ^b	1.72 (1.30-2.29) ^b	1.45 (1.11-1.90) ^f	1.27 (0.98-1.65) ^h

Abbreviations: NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; NO_x, nitrogen oxides; OR, odds ratio; PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 μm; PM₁₀, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of less than 10 μm.

^a Indicates association with the top quartile of the annualized mean of ambient air pollutants across the top 3 locations where participants spend their time. Includes participants with full data in model 6 (n = 1705). Analyses account for the nonindependence of twin observations.

^b P < .001.

^c P < .05.

^d Includes family socioeconomic status, family psychiatric history, and maternal psychosis.

^e Includes adolescent smoking, cannabis dependence, and alcohol dependence.

^f P < .01.

^g Includes neighborhood socioeconomic status, neighborhood crime rates, social cohesion, and neighborhood disorder.

^h P > .05 and P < .10.

Discussion

In this study, adolescents exposed to high levels of outdoor air pollution were more likely to report psychotic experiences. Associations were not explained by a range of potential individual-, family-, and neighborhood-level confounders. Levels of NO₂ and NO_x statistically explained 60% of the association between urban residency and adolescent psychotic experiences.

Several mechanisms might explain the association between air pollution and adolescent psychotic experiences. Air pollutants have potent oxidative effects on lipids and proteins.¹⁴ Biopsy and postmortem studies of children and adolescents have linked air pollution with disruption of the nasal epithelium and blood-brain barrier, as well as neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration in regions including the frontal cortex and olfactory bulb.^{59,60} Although the etiology of psychotic experiences remains equivocal, subtle abnormalities in

brain structure and function have been identified, such as neuroinflammatory markers⁶¹ and aberrant prefrontal activity.^{62,63} Thus, air pollution could increase the risk for psychotic experiences by directly influencing the brain. Such influences are likely to be cumulative. However, in vitro rodent studies have demonstrated widespread neuroinflammation and neurotoxic effects, after even short-term exposure to air pollutants.^{64,65} In addition, higher developmental exposure to air pollution has been linked to lower serum vitamin D levels (potentially through reduced sunlight exposure),^{66,67} which have in turn been associated with increased risk for childhood psychotic experiences.⁶⁸ The association among air pollution, vitamin D, and psychotic experiences warrants research. Furthermore, NO₂ and NO_x are strongly linked to vehicle emissions.¹¹ Findings therefore implicate road traffic, and by extension, noise pollution. Noise pollution has been linked to stress,⁶⁹ sleep disturbance,⁷⁰ and cognitive impairments among children and adolescents,⁷¹ which have in turn been associated with subclinical psychotic phenomena.⁷²⁻⁷⁴

Table 3. Mediation Model of the Association Between Most Urban Residency and Adolescent Psychotic Experiences via Air Pollutant Exposure^a

Air Pollutant Mediator	Mediation Model 1 ^b				Mediation Model 2 ^c			
	OR (95% CI)			% Mediated	OR (95% CI)			% Mediated
	Total	Direct	Indirect		Total	Direct	Indirect	
NO ₂	1.91 (1.34-2.73) ^d	1.43 (0.96-2.12) ^e	1.34 (1.11-1.61) ^f	45 ^g	1.49 (0.94-2.36) ^e	1.19 (0.75-1.91)	1.25 (1.07-1.45) ^f	55 ^g
NO _x	1.91 (1.34-2.72) ^d	1.43 (0.96-2.12) ^e	1.34 (1.12-1.61) ^f	45 ^g	1.49 (0.94-2.36) ^e	1.18 (0.74-1.89)	1.26 (1.08-1.47) ^f	58 ^g
PM _{2.5}	1.93 (1.35-2.75) ^d	1.66 (1.13-2.43) ^h	1.16 (1.00-1.35) ^e	23	1.50 (0.95-2.37) ^e	1.35 (0.85-2.15)	1.11 (0.99-1.23) ^e	25
PM ₁₀	1.92 (1.35-2.74) ^d	1.81 (1.26-2.60) ^f	1.06 (0.99-1.14) ^e	9	1.50 (0.95-2.37) ^e	1.47 (0.93-2.32)	1.02 (0.99-1.06)	5

Abbreviations: NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; NO_x, nitrogen oxides; OR, odds ratio; PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 μm; PM₁₀, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of less than 10 μm.

^a Mediation models were calculated separately for each air pollutant.

This explains the very small differences in total ORs between models. The final mediation model simultaneously estimated the mediatory effects of NO₂ and NO_x. Analyses included participants with full data in model 2 (n = 1705).

Mediatory percentages are rounded to whole numbers. Note that mediation analyses were conducted using the 3-level urbanicity variable. Only the results for most urban settings are reported. Mediatory pathways arising for the intermediate urban settings are shown in eTable 9 in the Supplement.

Analyses account for the nonindependence of twin observations.

^b Indicates the unadjusted association between most urban (vs rural) residency at 18 years of age and adolescent psychotic experiences, split into the total effects

(overall association between urbanicity and adolescent psychotic experiences), direct effects (the part of the association that is not explained by mediators in the model, plus measurement error), and the indirect effects (the part of the association that is statistically mediated via specified pollutants in the model).

^c Indicates total, direct, and indirect effects of most urban residency on adolescent psychotic experiences, adjusted simultaneously for family factors, childhood psychotic symptoms, adolescence substance use, and neighborhood factors.

^d P < .001.

^e P > .05 and P < .10.

^f P < .01.

^g Indicates significant indirect (mediation) pathways at P < .05.

^h P < .05.

Therefore, the association of NO₂ and NO_x with adolescent psychotic experiences may have been linked more generally to road traffic and noise pollution experienced by participants living near busy roads.

Future Directions

This study demonstrates the feasibility and value of linking high-resolution data on air pollution with rich phenotypic data. Our findings require replication. Further research is needed in this and other cohorts to explore the association of early-life exposure to air pollution with psychotic symptoms, psychotic disorders, and other psychiatric problems such as depression and anxiety to examine specificity. In addition, the mental health correlates of air pollution in low- and middle-income countries require attention. Air pollution levels (outdoor and household) in such countries can far exceed those in the West,^{75,76} with approximately 50% of the world's population (predominantly in developing countries) relying on indoor combustion of coal and biomass for domestic energy.⁷⁶ Paradoxically, recent research suggests that the urbanicity-psychosis association is a Western phenomenon, with null findings reported for low- and middle-income countries.⁷⁷ One potential reason for this could be that air pollution (particularly household) follows less of an urbanicity gradient in developing countries.

Implications

Pending replication, our findings have research, clinical, and public health implications. From a research perspective, findings highlight air pollution as another potential factor linking the urban environment to early psychotic phenomena. From a clinical perspective, a small but significant minority of youths who experience psychotic phenomena go on to develop clinical psychosis.²³ Because early psychotic phenomena are also associated with numerous other adult psychiatric problems, our study provides further evidence implicating air pollution in adult psychosis and psychopathological disorders more

broadly. From a public health perspective, the pollutants we have examined have legally binding limits set by the European Union.¹⁰ European levels of these air pollutants have slowly declined in recent years.¹² However, NO₂ was significantly associated with adolescent psychotic experiences in our study, despite the threshold being lower than international guidelines. European and global targets for air pollution may thus be too lax.

Strengths and Limitations

To our knowledge, this study is the first to explore the association between air pollution and adolescent psychotic experiences. The air pollution measures achieve high geographic resolution for mental health research and demonstrate good model performance; thus, we can be reasonably sure that the measures closely represent the adolescents' true ambient exposure. In addition, we have incorporated pollution data on 3 locations where participants spent their time, providing a comprehensive picture of exposure. We were also able to control for a range of individual-, family-, and neighborhood-level factors that might confound the association.

Several limitations should also be considered. First, our measure of adolescent psychotic experiences was not clinically verified. However, point estimates for clinically verified psychotic symptoms were similar (although nonsignificant) to those found for adolescent psychotic experiences, suggesting that air pollutants might be etiologically relevant across the psychosis continuum. Second, pollution was modeled for the year leading up to the interviews at 18 years of age. As such, we were not able to examine associations of early-life or cumulative exposure to air pollution with psychotic experiences. Modeling pollution data for earlier childhood addresses in this cohort will be important. However, children tend to live in consistent neighborhood settings throughout childhood and adolescence. This feature of neighborhood research makes it difficult to differentiate

timing from duration. Sensitivity analyses for adolescents who did not move between residences from 12 to 18 years of age (and therefore certainly lived in consistent neighborhood conditions throughout adolescence) nevertheless supported the validity of the findings. Third, emerging findings suggest that urban residents carry a greater burden of genetic risk for schizophrenia.⁷⁸ However, associations were robust to adjustment for proxy measures of genetic risk, including family psychiatric history and maternal psychosis. Fourth, to capture the worst levels of pollution and create parity between measures, pollution variables were dichotomized at the highest quartile. Dichotomization loses information, but sensitivity analyses using alternative variable thresholds supported the main findings. Fifth, air pollutants were all highly correlated, introducing copollutant confounding (as demonstrated in eTable 8 in the Supplement)

and preventing us from disentangling the associations of NO₂ and NO_x. Furthermore, NO₂ and NO_x could also be markers of other air pollutants not examined in our study.⁷⁹ Finally, the E-Risk cohort is a twin sample, and findings might not generalize to singletons. However, the E-Risk cohort is representative of the UK population for key socio-demographic indices used in this study.

Conclusions

In this study, youths exposed to the highest levels of air pollution were more likely to report psychotic experiences. In a rapidly urbanizing world, global efforts are needed to reduce air pollution levels and protect the mental (as well as physical) health of young urban citizens.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: December 3, 2018.

Published Online: March 27, 2019.
doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2019 Newbury JB et al. *JAMA Psychiatry*.

Author Contributions: Drs Newbury and Fisher had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Newbury, Arseneault, Beevers, Pariente, Kelly, Fisher.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Newbury, Fisher.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Newbury.

Obtained funding: Arseneault, Beevers, Pariente, Kelly, Fisher.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Arseneault, Beevers, Kitwiroon, Roberts.

Supervision: Beevers, Kelly, Fisher.

Author Affiliations: King's College London, Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, London, United Kingdom (Newbury, Arseneault, Fisher); King's College London, Environmental Research Group, MRC-PHE (Medical Research Council–Public Health England) Centre for Environment and Health, London, United Kingdom (Beevers, Kitwiroon, Kelly); King's College London, Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, London, United Kingdom (Roberts); King's College London, Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, London, United Kingdom (Pariente).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: The Environmental Risk (E-Risk) Longitudinal Twin Study is funded by grant G1002190 from the UK Medical Research Council. This study was additionally supported by grant HDO77482 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; grant SQ140024 from the British Academy; the Jacobs Foundation; joint grant NE/P010687/1 from the Natural Environment Research Council, the UK Medical

Research Council, and the Chief Scientist Office (pollution data); Multidisciplinary Studentship and Postdoctoral Fellowship ES/S011196/1 from the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) (Dr Newbury); a Mental Health Leadership Fellowship for the UK ESRC (Dr Arseneault); MQ Fellows Award MQ14F40 (Dr Fisher); and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at the South London and Maudsley NHS Trust and King's College London (Dr Pariente).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank the study mothers and fathers, the twins, and the twins' teachers for their participation; members of the E-Risk team for their dedication, hard work, and insights; the Nuffield Foundation; the Avielle Foundation; CACI Inc; and Terrie Moffitt, PhD, and Avshalom Caspi, PhD, who founded the E-Risk Study.

REFERENCES

- Faris REL, Dunham HW. *Mental Disorders in Urban Areas: An Ecological Study of Schizophrenia and Other Psychoses*. Oxford, England: University of Chicago Press; 1939.
- Vassos E, Pedersen CB, Murray RM, Collier DA, Lewis CM. Meta-analysis of the association of urbanicity with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2012; 38(6):1118-1123. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbs096
- Dye C. Health and urban living. *Science*. 2008;319(5864):766-769. doi:10.1126/science.1150198
- Kirkbride JB, Jones PB, Ullrich S, Coid JW. Social deprivation, inequality, and the neighborhood-level incidence of psychotic syndromes in East London. *Schizophr Bull*. 2014;40(1):169-180. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbs151
- Allardyce J, Gilmour H, Atkinson J, Rapson T, Bishop J, McCreddie RG. Social fragmentation, deprivation and urbanicity: relation to first-admission rates for psychoses. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2005;187(5):401-406. doi:10.1192/bjp.187.5.401

6. Bhavsar V, Boydell J, Murray R, Power P. Identifying aspects of neighbourhood deprivation associated with increased incidence of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*. 2014;156(1):115-121. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.03.014

7. Zammit S, Lewis G, Rasbash J, Dalman C, Gustafsson JE, Allebeck P. Individuals, schools, and neighborhood: a multilevel longitudinal study of variation in incidence of psychotic disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67(9):914-922. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.101

8. World Health Organization. Burden of disease from ambient air pollution for 2012. https://www.who.int/airpollution/data/AAP_BoD_results_March2014.pdf. 2014. Accessed August 1, 2018.

9. World Health Organization. WHO Air quality guidelines for particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide. <http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/69477>. 2005. Accessed August 1, 2018.

10. The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe. <https://www.eea.europa.eu/policy-documents/directive-2008-50-ec-of>. 2008. Accessed August 1, 2018.

11. DEFRA. Air pollution in the UK 2016. https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/annualreport/air_pollution_uk_2016_issue_1.pdf. 2017. Accessed August 1, 2018.

12. European Environment Agency. Air quality in Europe—2017 report. <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/air-quality-in-europe-2017>. 2017. Accessed August 1, 2018.

13. Kelly FJ, Fussell JC. Air pollution and public health: emerging hazards and improved understanding of risk. *Environ Geochem Health*. 2015;37(4):631-649. doi:10.1007/s10653-015-9720-1

14. Brunekreef B, Holgate ST. Air pollution and health. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9341):1233-1242. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11274-8

15. Power MC, Kioumourtoglou M-A, Hart JE, Okereke OI, Laden F, Weiskopf MG. The relation between past exposure to fine particulate air pollution and prevalent anxiety: observational

- cohort study. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1111. doi:10.1136/bmj.h1111
16. Szyszko M, Rowe BH, Colman I. Air pollution and daily emergency department visits for depression. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2009;22(4):355-362. doi:10.2478/v10001-009-0031-6
 17. Volk HE, Lurmann F, Penfold B, Hertz-Picciotto I, McConnell R. Traffic-related air pollution, particulate matter, and autism. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013;70(1):71-77. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.266
 18. Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Reed W, Maronpot RR, et al. Brain inflammation and Alzheimer's-like pathology in individuals exposed to severe air pollution. *Toxicol Pathol*. 2004;32(6):650-658. doi:10.1080/01926230490520232
 19. Pedersen CB, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Hertel O, Mortensen PB. Air pollution from traffic and schizophrenia risk. *Schizophr Res*. 2004;66(1):83-85. doi:10.1016/S0920-9964(03)00062-8
 20. Gao Q, Xu Q, Guo X, Fan H, Zhu H. Particulate matter air pollution associated with hospital admissions for mental disorders: a time-series study in Beijing, China. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2017;44:68-75. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2017.02.492
 21. Lary DJ, Lary T, Sattler B. Using machine learning to estimate global PM_{2.5} for environmental health studies. *Environ Health Insights*. 2015;9(suppl 1):41-52.
 22. Poulton R, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Cannon M, Murray R, Harrington H. Children's self-reported psychotic symptoms and adult schizophreniform disorder: a 15-year longitudinal study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000;57(11):1053-1058. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.57.11.1053
 23. Fisher HL, Caspi A, Poulton R, et al. Specificity of childhood psychotic symptoms for predicting schizophrenia by 38 years of age: a birth cohort study. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43(10):2077-2086. doi:10.1017/S0033291712003091
 24. Kelleher I, Lynch F, Harley M, et al. Psychotic symptoms in adolescence index risk for suicidal behavior: findings from 2 population-based case-control clinical interview studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(12):1277-1283. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2012.164
 25. van Os J, Linscott RJ, Myin-Germeys I, Delespaul P, Krabbendam L. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the psychosis continuum: evidence for a psychosis proneness-persistence-impairment model of psychotic disorder. *Psychol Med*. 2009;39(2):179-195. doi:10.1017/S0033291708003814
 26. UNICEF. Danger in the air: how air pollution can affect brain development in young children. https://www.unicef.org/environment/files/Danger_in_the_Air.pdf. 2017. Accessed August 1, 2018.
 27. Millan MJ, Andrieux A, Bartzokis G, et al. Altering the course of schizophrenia: progress and perspectives. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2016;15(7):485-515. doi:10.1038/nrd.2016.28
 28. Polanczyk G, Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, et al. Etiological and clinical features of childhood psychotic symptoms: results from a birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67(4):328-338. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.14
 29. Newbury J, Arseneault L, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Odgers CL, Fisher HL. Why are children in urban neighborhoods at increased risk for psychotic symptoms? findings from a UK longitudinal cohort study. *Schizophr Bull*. 2016;42(6):1372-1383. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbw052
 30. Newbury J, Arseneault L, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Odgers CL, Fisher HL. Cumulative effects of neighborhood social adversity and personal crime victimization on adolescent psychotic experiences. *Schizophr Bull*. 2018;44(2):348-358. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbx060
 31. Newbury JB, Arseneault L, Caspi A, et al. In the eye of the beholder: perceptions of neighborhood adversity and psychotic experiences in adolescence. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2017;29(5):1823-1837. doi:10.1017/S0954579417001420
 32. Singh SP, Winsper C, Wolke D, Bryson A. School mobility and prospective pathways to psychotic-like symptoms in early adolescence: a prospective birth cohort study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2014;53(5):518-527.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2014.01.016
 33. Kelleher I, Connor D, Clarke MC, Devlin N, Harley M, Cannon M. Prevalence of psychotic symptoms in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Psychol Med*. 2012;42(9):1857-1863. doi:10.1017/S0033291711002960
 34. CACI Information Services. *ACORN User Guide*. London, UK: CACI; 2006.
 35. Moffitt TE; E-Risk Study Team. Teen-aged mothers in contemporary Britain. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2002;43(6):727-742. doi:10.1111/1469-7610.00082
 36. Loewy RL, Pearson R, Vinogradov S, Bearden CE, Cannon TD. Psychosis risk screening with the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Version (PQ-B). *Schizophr Res*. 2011;129(1):42-46. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2011.03.029
 37. Yoshizumi T, Murase S, Honjo S, Kaneko H, Murakami T. Hallucinatory experiences in a community sample of Japanese children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004;43(8):1030-1036. doi:10.1097/01.chi.0000126937.44875.6b
 38. Horwood J, Salvi G, Thomas K, et al. IQ and non-clinical psychotic symptoms in 12-year-olds: results from the ALSPAC birth cohort. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2008;193(3):185-191. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.108.051904
 39. Beevers SD, Kitwiroon N, Williams ML, Kelly FJ, Ross Anderson H, Carslaw DC. Air pollution dispersion models for human exposure predictions in London. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2013;23(6):647-653. doi:10.1038/jes.2013.6
 40. Beevers SD, Kitwiroon N, Williams ML, Carslaw DC. One way coupling of CMAQ and a road source dispersion model for fine scale air pollution predictions. *Atmos Environ* (1994). 2012;59(C):47-58. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.034
 41. Carslaw DC. Defra urban model evaluation analysis-Phase 1. https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=654. 2011. Accessed August 1, 2018.
 42. Office for National Statistics. Urban and rural area definitions for policy purposes in England and Wales: methodology (version 1.0). https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/239477/RUC11methodologypaperaug_28_Aug.pdf. 2013. Accessed August 1, 2018.
 43. Olvera Alvarez HA, Kubzansky LD, Campen MJ, Slavich GM. Early life stress, air pollution, inflammation, and disease: an integrative review and immunologic model of social-environmental adversity and lifespan health. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2018;92:226-242. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.06.002
 44. Trzesniewski KH, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Taylor A, Maughan B. Revisiting the association between reading achievement and antisocial behavior: new evidence of an environmental explanation from a twin study. *Child Dev*. 2006;77(1):72-88. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2006.00857.x
 45. Milne BJ, Moffitt TE, Crump R, et al. How should we construct psychiatric family history scores? a comparison of alternative approaches from the Dunedin Family Health History Study. *Psychol Med*. 2008;38(12):1793-1802. doi:10.1017/S0033291708003115
 46. Weissman MM, Wickramaratne P, Adams P, Wolk S, Verdelli H, Olfson M. Brief screening for family psychiatric history: the Family History Screen. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000;57(7):675-682. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.57.7.675
 47. Robins L, Cottler L, Bucholz K, Compton W. *Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV (DIS-IV)*. St Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine; 1995.
 48. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. ed 4. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
 49. Schreier A, Wolke D, Thomas K, et al. Prospective study of peer victimization in childhood and psychotic symptoms in a nonclinical population at age 12 years. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66(5):527-536. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.23
 50. Noble M, Wright G, Dibben C, et al. *Indices of Deprivation 2004*. London, United Kingdom: Neighbourhood Renewal Unit; 2004.
 51. Sampson RJ, Raudenbush SW, Earls F. Neighborhoods and violent crime: a multilevel study of collective efficacy. *Science*. 1997;277(5328):918-924. doi:10.1126/science.277.5328.918
 52. Sampson RJ, Raudenbush SW. Systematic social observation of public spaces: a new look at disorder in urban neighborhoods. *Am J Sociol*. 1999;105(3):603-651. doi:10.1086/210356
 53. Sampson RJ, Morenoff JD, Gannon-Rowley T. Assessing "neighborhood effects": social processes and new directions in research. *Annu Rev Sociol*. 2002;28:443-478. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.28.110601.141114
 54. Odgers CL, Caspi A, Bates CJ, Sampson RJ, Moffitt TE. Systematic social observation of children's neighborhoods using Google Street View: a reliable and cost-effective method. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2012;53(10):1009-1017. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02565.x
 55. Odgers CL, Moffitt TE, Tach LM, et al. The protective effects of neighborhood collective efficacy on British children growing up in deprivation: a developmental analysis. *Dev Psychol*. 2009;45(4):942-957. doi:10.1037/a0016162
 56. Breen R, Karlson KB, Holm A. Total, direct, and indirect effects in logit and probit models. *Social Methods Res*. 2013;42(2):164-191. doi:10.1177/0049124113494572
 57. Rogers W. Regression standard errors in clustered samples. *Stata Tech Bull*. 1994;3(13):19-23.

58. Schmidt CO, Kohlmann T. When to use the odds ratio or the relative risk? *Int J Public Health*. 2008;53(3):165-167. doi:10.1007/s00038-008-7068-3
59. Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Mora-Tiscareño A, Fordham LA, et al. Respiratory damage in children exposed to urban pollution. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2003;36(2):148-161. doi:10.1002/ppul.10338
60. Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Solt AC, Henríquez-Roldán C, et al. Long-term air pollution exposure is associated with neuroinflammation, an altered innate immune response, disruption of the blood-brain barrier, ultrafine particulate deposition, and accumulation of amyloid β -42 and α -synuclein in children and young adults. *Toxicol Pathol*. 2008;36(2):289-310. doi:10.1177/0192623307313011
61. Bloomfield PS, Selvaraj S, Veronese M, et al. Microglial activity in people at ultra high risk of psychosis and in schizophrenia: an [11C] PBR28 PET brain imaging study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173(1):44-52. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.14101358
62. Jacobson S, Kelleher I, Harley M, et al. Structural and functional brain correlates of subclinical psychotic symptoms in 11-13 year old schoolchildren. *Neuroimage*. 2010;49(2):1875-1885. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.09.015
63. Papanastasiou E, Mouchlianitis E, Joyce DW, et al; IMAGEN Consortium. Examination of the neural basis of psychoticlike experiences in adolescence during reward processing. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(10):1043-1051. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1973
64. Block ML, Wu X, Pei Z, et al. Nanometer size diesel exhaust particles are selectively toxic to dopaminergic neurons: the role of microglia, phagocytosis, and NADPH oxidase. *FASEB J*. 2004;18(13):1618-1620. doi:10.1096/fj.04-1945fje
65. Levesque S, Taetzsch T, Lull ME, et al. Diesel exhaust activates and primes microglia: air pollution, neuroinflammation, and regulation of dopaminergic neurotoxicity. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119(8):1149-1155. doi:10.1289/ehp.1002986
66. Feizabad E, Hossein-Nezhad A, Maghbooli Z, Ramezani M, Hashemian R, Moattari S. Impact of air pollution on vitamin D deficiency and bone health in adolescents. *Arch Osteoporos*. 2017;12(1):34. doi:10.1007/s11657-017-0323-6
67. Baiz N, Dargent-Molina P, Wark JD, Souberbielle JC, Slama R, Annesi-Maesano I; EDEN Mother-Child Cohort Study Group. Gestational exposure to urban air pollution related to a decrease in cord blood vitamin D levels. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97(11):4087-4095. doi:10.1210/jc.2012-1943
68. Tolppanen A-M, Sayers A, Fraser WD, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ and D₂ and non-clinical psychotic experiences in childhood. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e41575. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041575
69. Basner M, Babisch W, Davis A, et al. Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9925):1325-1332. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61613-X
70. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. Burden of disease from environmental noise: quantification of healthy life years lost in Europe. http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0008/136466/e94888.pdf. 2011. Accessed August 1, 2018.
71. Stansfeld SA, Berglund B, Clark C, et al; RANCH study team. Aircraft and road traffic noise and children's cognition and health: a cross-national study. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9475):1942-1949. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66660-3
72. Blanchard MM, Jacobson S, Clarke MC, et al. Language, motor and speed of processing deficits in adolescents with subclinical psychotic symptoms. *Schizophr Res*. 2010;123(1):71-76. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2010.05.028
73. Koyanagi A, Stickley A. The association between sleep problems and psychotic symptoms in the general population: a global perspective. *Sleep*. 2015;38(12):1875-1885. doi:10.5665/sleep.5232
74. Collip D, Nicolson NA, Lardinois M, Lataster T, van Os J, Myin-Germeys I, G.R.O.U.P. Daily cortisol, stress reactivity and psychotic experiences in individuals at above average genetic risk for psychosis. *Psychol Med*. 2011;41(11):2305-2315. doi:10.1017/S0033291711000602
75. World Health Organization. Ambient air pollution: a global assessment of exposure and burden of disease. <https://www.who.int/phe/publications/air-pollution-global-assessment/en/>. Published 2016. Accessed August 1, 2018.
76. Bruce N, Perez-Padilla R, Albalak R. Indoor air pollution in developing countries: a major environmental and public health challenge. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2000;78(9):1078-1092.
77. DeVylder JE, Kelleher I, Lalane M, Oh H, Link BG, Koyanagi A. Association of urbanicity with psychosis in low-and middle-income countries. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(7):678-686. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.0577
78. Colodro-Conde L, Couvy-Duchesne B, Whitfield JB, et al. Association between population density and genetic risk for schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(9):901-910. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1581
79. Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants. Statement on the evidence for the effects of nitrogen dioxide on health. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/411756/COMEAP_The_evidence_for_the_effects_of_nitrogen_dioxide.pdf. 2015. Accessed November 14, 2018.