



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1108/ER-09-2022-0451](https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-09-2022-0451)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Irvine, A., & Suter, J. (2023). Managing mental health problems in the workplace: are small businesses different? *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 45(5), 1161-1179. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-09-2022-0451>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Managing mental health problems in the workplace: are small businesses different?

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>Employee Relations</i> |
| Manuscript ID | ER-09-2022-0451.R2 |
| Manuscript Type: | Research Paper |
| Keywords: | mental health, small business, workplace accommodations, Human resource management, occupational health, wellbeing |
| | |

Managing mental health problems in the workplace: are small businesses different?

Abstract

Purpose: This study addresses a gap in evidence on small employer experiences of managing mental health problems in the workplace. We gathered first-hand experiences of small business managers to empirically investigate how the small business context affects the management and support of mental health problems in the workplace, and the practice implications that arise.

Design/methodology/approach: Qualitative interviews, combining semi-structured and narrative approaches, with 21 small business managers with experience of managing employees with mental health difficulties. The 21 managers recounted a total of 45 employee cases, which were analysed thematically, using a case-based matrix. Study participants were drawn from small businesses within England and Scotland (UK). Interviews were conducted between November 2019 and February 2020.

Findings: Support aligned with current understanding of effective practice, yet was often informal, instinctive and flexible. Accommodating employees with mental health problems impacted the workload of managers and co-workers, and business operation and growth. Challenges and tensions reflected the difficult balancing act faced by managers in organisations of all sizes. However, the *intensity and immediacy* of cross-pressures was enhanced for small businesses, due to their smaller workforce and lack of dedicated HRM and occupational health expertise.

Practical implications: Guidance should address the navigation of day-to-day management and support for employees with mental health difficulties, including approaches to balancing the needs of the wider workforce and business operation. Access to HR and occupational health expertise is valuable. Financial subsidies may be of lesser concern to small businesses.

Originality/value: This study offers originality in focusing exclusively on small business managers with first-hand experience of supporting employees with mental health problems. Findings challenge the perception that small firms have unique experiences, whilst highlighting contextual features that exacerbate intensity and immediacy of impacts.

Keywords: mental health, small business, workplace accommodations, wellbeing, HRM, occupational health

Classification: Research Paper

Introduction

Mental health problems are a primary factor in workplace absence and reduced productivity (Centre for Mental Health, 2017; Follmer and Jones, 2018), and have been compounded by the Covid-19 pandemic (Bryan *et al.*, 2022; Hampson *et al.*, 2022). The term ‘mental health’ encompasses a wide range of concepts and understandings, and in the workplace context is discussed both in relation to preventive strategies to promote positive mental health across the workforce and intervention to support employees experiencing poor mental health or diagnosed mental health conditions (Kelloway *et al.*, 2023). In this paper, we take a broad conceptualisation of mental health problems, but are focused on this latter concept of support for those experiencing mental distress of some kind.

The importance of support for employees experiencing mental health problems has received substantial attention in recent years. However, there remains a dearth of empirical evidence on how these issues are addressed within the context of small businesses (defined here as those with up to 50 employees) [1]. The differing capacities and resources of small businesses to address workplace health issues are increasingly acknowledged (Hogg *et al.*, 2021; Martin and LaMontagne, 2018; NICE, 2022), with recognition that strategies and interventions based on the experiences of large organisations cannot simply be scaled down and diffused across organisations irrespective of size (McEnhill and Steadman, 2015; Rucker, 2017). However, extant research evidence on how managers experience and respond to employee mental health difficulties has been generated predominantly among larger employers, and studies that include small businesses within their samples rarely disaggregate findings according to business size. The study reported here addresses this notable evidence gap. We draw on in-depth qualitative interviews with 21 small business managers who had first-hand experience of supporting employees with mental health difficulties. Our study empirically addresses the questions of *whether*, and *in what ways*, the small business context affects the management and support of mental health problems in the workplace, and the practice implications that arise.

Small businesses provide almost one third of UK private sector employment (BEIS, 2021) and 80% of voluntary sector organisations are small businesses (NCVO, 2021). Survey evidence from the UK (Brohan *et al.*, 2010; FSB, 2019) indicates that 20-40% of small businesses have employed at least one person with a mental health condition, a figure likely to be higher when non-disclosure of “invisible” conditions is taken into account. Looking

1
2
3 beyond the UK, evidence suggests that over half of Canadian small business owners have
4 become aware of employees facing mental health issues in the period since the Covid-19
5 pandemic (Auger and Pohlmann, 2022).
6
7

8
9 Certain characteristics of small firms, including their more close-knit and informal workplace
10 relations (Wapshott and Mallett, 2015), may confer an advantage in supporting employees
11 with mental health problems, offering greater scope for flexibility and discretion in responses
12 to ill health and absence management (Adams *et al.*, 2015; Barnes *et al.*, 2009; Tu *et al.*,
13 2019). Indeed, small firms typically experience lower levels of sickness absenteeism (ONS,
14 2022; Statistics Canada, 2022), and have significantly lower prevalence of self-reported
15 work-related stress, depression and anxiety (HSE, 2021). Yet, evidence suggests that
16 retention of employees who do develop mental health problems is particularly challenging for
17 small firms (Fukita *et al.*, 2016; Salis *et al.*, 2021). Auger and Pohlmann's (2022) survey of
18 small employers found that, despite high levels of comfort in *talking about* mental health in
19 the workplace (68% feeling "somewhat" or "very" comfortable), less than half this
20 proportion (31%) felt well-prepared to *address* mental health issues as and when they arose.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Whilst we observe a growing number of workplace mental health guides targeted at small
32 businesses [2], the lack of empirical research with small employers indicates that these guides
33 are not informed by evidence of how small business are able to respond *in practice* when
34 mental health problems arise among their staff. Systematic reviews of employer guidelines
35 (Memish *et al.*, 2017; Nexø *et al.*, 2018) note that most are based on limited evidence and
36 overlook the applicability to the smaller firm context. Memish *et al.* (2017) conclude their
37 review by highlighting how recommendations are inappropriate for small-medium businesses
38 who are often resource poor and lack the confidence and expertise to implement
39 interventions. Similarly, Nexø *et al.*'s (2018) review concludes that most guidelines
40 recommend interventions requiring significant resources and do not acknowledge the
41 unfeasibility of these for smaller firms.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Empirical understanding of small employers' lived experience of managing employees with
52 mental health difficulties is essential, in order to develop feasible and tailored strategies and
53 guidance for small businesses. Our study therefore aimed to establish foundational qualitative
54 evidence on the perspectives of small business managers who had direct experience of
55 supporting employees with mental health problems. We sought to identify context-specific
56 responses and challenges, to shed greater light on the particular ways in which the small
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 business environment both supported and constrained effective support of employees with
4 mental health problems. We understand effective support in this context to correspond to
5 approaches which meet and reconcile the needs of the employee and the employer for the
6 achievement of work-related objectives, without detriment or further distress to either party.
7
8 In most cases, we would perceive success in terms of job retention and restored/maintained
9 job performance, although we recognise that in some cases the appropriate outcome for the
10 individual may be a change of role or employer (Irvine, 2008; Sainsbury et al., 2008).
11
12
13
14

15
16 We begin by briefly reviewing extant qualitative literature on manager experiences of
17 supporting employees with mental health problems. As noted, this evidence base
18 predominantly comprises larger employer perspectives. We summarise this literature to
19 provide a backdrop against which to compare our findings generated from within the small
20 business context, and thus to assess the extent to which small firms constitute a distinctive
21 environment, and the implications arising.
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Literature review**

28
29
30 The right to “reasonable adjustments” or “reasonable accommodations” for employees with
31 long-term health conditions or disabilities (including mental health conditions) is inscribed
32 into equality legislation in many countries (e.g. UK Equality Act 2010, Americans with
33 Disabilities Act, Australian Equal Opportunity Act 2010) and is a strong recommendation of
34 the World Health Organisation in accordance with international human rights principles
35 (WHO, 2022). Studies of workplace accommodation for people experiencing mental health
36 problems show that regular meetings with a supervisor, flexible work schedules, reduced
37 hours, modified duties and additional training are frequently reported as useful and/or desired
38 by employees (Corbière *et al.*, 2014; McDowell and Fossey, 2015; van Hees *et al.*, 2022;
39 Wang *et al.*, 2011). Many such adjustments are low-cost and in principle implementable by
40 larger and smaller businesses. However, a common theme across extant qualitative studies is
41 that managers experience tensions in simultaneously responding to the needs of the unwell
42 employee alongside carrying out their broader managerial role. This broader role includes
43 ensuring the needs of the wider workforce and business operations are met, and involves the
44 manager reconciling personal, emotional or moral conflicts alongside maintaining their own
45 psychological wellbeing (Bramwell *et al.*, 2016; Kirsh *et al.*, 2018; Ladegaard *et al.*, 2019;
46 Martin *et al.*, 2018; Nielsen and Yarker, 2023). This has been referred to as a “difficult
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 balancing act” (Bramwell *et al.*, 2016) or the navigation of “cross pressures” (Ladegaard *et*
4 *al.*, 2019).

5
6
7
8 Previous research has found that managers are conscious of the potential impact on co-
9 workers, who – whilst initially supportive of the unwell employee – may become frustrated
10 or resentful, losing empathy over time as their own workload increases (Bramwell *et al.*,
11 2016; Jansson and Gunnarsson, 2018; Ladegaard *et al.*, 2019; Martin *et al.*, 2015; Porter *et*
12 *al.*, 2019). “Managing the workplace social climate” can be challenging (Kirsh *et al.*, 2018, p.
13 552), especially if confidentiality precludes co-workers from being made aware of reasons
14 underlying changes in behaviour or accommodations made for an individual (Martin *et al.*,
15 2015). In accommodating employee absence whilst protecting co-workers from additional
16 pressures, line managers may absorb extra workload themselves, placing their own wellbeing
17 at risk (Kirsh *et al.*, 2018; Ladegaard, 2019; Martin *et al.*, 2018). Similar themes have arisen
18 in research on managers’ experience of supporting neurodiverse employees, where the
19 emotional labour required from line managers is substantial, requiring “a myriad of hidden,
20 complex, time consuming and often emotionally draining interactions” (Richards *et al.*, 2019,
21 p.1903).

22
23
24
25 It is important to acknowledge the positive aspects of managing employees with mental
26 health problems. These include managers gaining awareness and skills that lead to more
27 effective responses in future, and pride from seeing an employee grow and develop
28 (Ladegaard *et al.*, 2019; Lexén *et al.*, 2016; Martin *et al.*, 2018; Mizzoni and Kirsh, 2006).
29 Moreover, not all employee cases result in negative impacts or require managerial input
30 (Jansson and Gunnarsson, 2018; Peterson *et al.*, 2017). However, a desire to be inclusive and
31 embrace a diverse workforce can sit in tension with concerns about potential costs and
32 productivity implications of hiring employees with mental health problems (Jansson and
33 Gunnarsson, 2018).

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
As noted, extant studies rarely disaggregate findings according to business size. However,
Bramwell (2014), studying manager experiences of supporting people with long-term
conditions, highlighted particular concerns among smaller employers regarding disability and
equality legislation that was perceived as “alright for the big boys” (p.167) but prohibitive or
impractical for small firms. The larger proportional impact of one employee’s absence in a
small business was also noted, as were anxieties about employers’ (in)ability to terminate
employment should things not work out. Shankar *et al.* (2014) reported mixed employer

1
2
3 experiences of accommodating workers with mental health problems, but noted that all of
4 those describing negative experiences were small employers with limited resources to invest
5 in support. Small firms were also particularly concerned about what to do (or not do) for an
6 employee in mental health crisis. Evaluation of a recent UK government-led scheme to
7 support small employers in the recruitment and employment of disabled people (Crossfield *et*
8 *al.*, 2021) found that third-sector organisations were generally positive about their
9 experiences. However, some small private companies were unable or unwilling to support
10 employees who needed long-term ongoing support or who struggled to conform to workplace
11 social norms and expectations, because they did not feel they had the capacity to support less
12 “work-ready” employees.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 **Data and methodology** 22

23
24 To address the dearth of focused evidence on the small business sector, and to illuminate the
25 practical realities of managing employee mental health problems at work, we purposively
26 sampled small business managers who had first-hand experience of such situations, rather
27 than exploring attitudes or hypothetical scenarios. Managers were recruited with the
28 assistance of small business professional organisations and workplace mental health support
29 providers. Information about the study was circulated via these organisations’ newsletters,
30 mailing lists and the researchers’ attendance at in-person business networking events.
31 Managers were invited to opt into the study on a voluntary basis, if they felt they had
32 experiences relevant to the research focus. Reflecting the recruitment channels used
33 (particularly the use of a workplace mental health support provider’s client list), and the self-
34 selecting participant group, it is important to note the relatively engaged character of this
35 study sample. Several of the participating managers reported personal or close family
36 experience of mental health problems, and around one-third of organisations had mental
37 health or wellbeing as part of their substantive service remit.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Interviews were conducted between November 2019 and February 2020, with 21 individuals
50 (17 female, 4 male) who held managerial roles within UK businesses of 50 or fewer
51 employees. Fourteen were private sector companies and seven were in the charitable non-
52 profit sector (no public sector organisations were included since, within the UK, none would
53 fall within the category of small businesses). Businesses were located in England and
54 Scotland. Around one-third were microbusinesses (1-9 employees). Sectors and workforce
55 sizes are summarised in Table 1. The 21 managers described a total of 45 employee cases,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 where they had managed an individual through mental health difficulties. The employees in
4 the cases described had been recruited via competitive channels into mainstream employment
5 roles, with the exception of one who was recruited via a scheme for individuals with long-
6 term health problems.
7
8
9

10
11 The study adopted a broad definition of mental health problems, and was led by the
12 perceptions and understanding of the managers who volunteered their experiences of
13 supporting employees. According to the concepts and terminology used by managers, most
14 cases concerned common mental health problems (e.g. anxiety, depression, stress), whilst a
15 minority were rarer conditions such as bipolar or personality disorder, or involved substance
16 use and addiction. Reflecting a broader concept of mental distress, some managers described
17 cases involving domestic abuse, relationship breakdown, childhood trauma or bereavement.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 TABLE 1 HERE
25

26
27 Interviews were conducted on a 1-1 basis by the first and second authors (13 and 8 interviews
28 respectively) and took place either by telephone (n=17) or in person (n=4) according to
29 participant preference. All interviews were audio-recorded, with permission, and lasted
30 between 37-110 minutes (average 71 minutes). Interviews used a combination of narrative
31 and semi-structured approaches (Scheibelhofer, 2008) to gather in-depth employee case
32 examples alongside broader contextual information about the business and its overall
33 approach to occupational health. Topics included: how the employee's mental health
34 difficulties came to light, absences and absence management, sources of information and
35 guidance accessed by managers, impacts on the workplace, resolution or outcome, and
36 learning points for the future. Interviews were transcribed professionally, and accuracy
37 checked by the first author.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 The research had primarily practice-focused aims. Accordingly, our epistemological stance
48 was pragmatism (Kelly and Cordeiro, 2020), seeking to identify actionable knowledge with
49 potential to lead to practical change and improvement. Concurring with critiques of the
50 concept of data saturation as applied to exploratory qualitative studies (O'Reilly and Parker,
51 2013; Braun and Clarke, 2021), we instead align with Malterud *et al.*'s (2016) concept of
52 "information power" which was achieved through the richness of interview dialogue, the
53 narrowly-focused study aims, and specificity of sampling.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Analysis combined thematic and case-based approaches (Spencer *et al.*, 2014; Nadin and
4 Cassell, 2004). Detailed case summaries were produced for each of the employees described
5 by managers, using an Excel matrix in which each row represented an employee case and
6 each column detailed key features of the case including: nature of mental health problem,
7 awareness/disclosure, absences, adjustments, employment outcome, impacts, and managers'
8 learning points. Thematic analysis applied to the 45 cases allowed a dual focus on *a priori*
9 themes (e.g. absence management, workplace accommodations, impacts) and the
10 identification of emergent themes (e.g. management cross-pressure, role of co-workers,
11 capability and performance management). Throughout the analysis, in dialogue with the
12 existing literature, we maintained a focus on identifying what was distinctive to the small
13 business context.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Findings

24
25
26 In the subsections below, we present findings on the effects on employee performance,
27 workplace adjustments and support, and our analysis of the multiple and interwoven impacts
28 of employee mental health problems on managers, co-workers and business operations and
29 growth. This is followed by a summary reflection on the challenges and tensions associated
30 with these impacts, before moving on to a discussion of practical implications.
31
32
33
34

35 *Effects on employee performance*

36
37
38 Effects of mental health problems on employee performance related both to their physical
39 absence from the workplace and to changes in capacity when present at work. Varied patterns
40 of absence were observed among the employee cases described. Some had not taken any time
41 off sick and had been able to carry out their work role alongside fluctuating mental health,
42 using adjustments such as flexible hours and working from home. Others had had occasional,
43 sporadic and/or long-term sickness absences.
44
45
46
47
48

49 Effects on performance when present in the workplace included difficulties fulfilling duties,
50 remembering tasks or meeting deadlines, and sometimes concealment of errors or
51 uncompleted work. Indeed, concerns about performance and productivity were sometimes the
52 way that managers first became aware of an employee's mental health problems:
53
54
55

56
57 *There was a member of the team [whose] work was deteriorating, and we couldn't*
58 *understand why. So I called them into a meeting one day, with their line manager, just*
59
60

1
2
3 *no warning, and I just called them in and I sat them down, and I said, 'This isn't a*
4 *formal meeting, but we've noticed that your work is deteriorating, and we want to*
5 *understand why.' And all this stuff came out around their mental health and they'd*
6 *experienced childhood abuse, and it had sort of come to the fore, if you like ... I think*
7 *they'd locked it away for years and it had suddenly come out, and so they were*
8 *struggling to deal with it. (Manager 5: Consultancy, 30 employees)*
9
10
11
12
13

14 Other effects on performance included conduct that was deemed inappropriate to the
15 workplace (e.g. confrontational behaviour or sending inappropriate messages to colleagues
16 out-of-hours), perceptions of persecution or paranoia, tearfulness and social withdrawal, and
17 episodes of acute distress that sometimes involved sudden exit from the workplace in the
18 middle of a working day:
19
20
21
22
23

24 *I genuinely believe it was because her mental health wasn't right, but she started to*
25 *feel as though the other staff members were getting at her ... She was clearly*
26 *struggling. Everybody could see that she was struggling, and her frame of mind was*
27 *very negative, and it was like it came off her in waves in the team. It was affecting*
28 *everybody in the team, and in the end she said something really inappropriate [in*
29 *front of] the full team. (Manager 7: Social Care, 33 employees)*
30
31
32
33
34

35 *It was mid-morning. She'd only been in for about an hour and she literally did a*
36 *runner, out the office, and obviously that scared everyone and, you know, they were*
37 *ringing and she was distressed when she went. So we got the call and we rang her*
38 *partner, he was on his way, she wasn't answering her phone to us, but then she*
39 *answered it to him and she was in a local park, so we called an ambulance, and then*
40 *she went into hospital. (Manager 21: Information and advice, 49 employees)*
41
42
43
44
45

46 As we explore in the sections below, both absenteeism and presenteeism could have
47 challenging impacts for managers and colleagues. However, although in this paper we focus
48 on surfacing the tensions and difficulties faced by managers, we feel it important to note that
49 not all cases were perceived as problematic. Factors that were felt to support the effective
50 management of situations included open two-way communication, employee insight into
51 their difficulties, employee willingness to consider/accept adjustments, and proactivity in
52 managing symptoms.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Workplace adjustments and support

Managers had offered a range of adjustments and supports to employees with mental health problems (summarised in Table 2). In many ways, the adjustments offered echoed findings of the wider research evidence base. However, there were also ways in which the small business context appeared to shape responses. Regular individual support meetings (of varying degrees of formality), flexibility in hours (including time off to attend medical/therapeutic appointments) and reduced workload or amended duties were the most common adjustments described by managers:

I continue to have a fortnightly appointment in my calendar for me and them to sit down and just have a quick catch-up to see how their mental health is, just to keep tabs really. And they can talk to me at any time, but it's just so that we've got that time in the diary to sit down every fortnight to just have a quick sort of check-in to see how they're doing, if there's any issues that are coming up. (Manager 5: Consultancy, 30 employees)

There's one person in the team who, at the moment, suffers quite badly with anxiety – does a brilliant job, really, really good, really committed to his work but the hours that he was working were too many, so we dropped the hours down a little bit and that's been helpful. And that's actually helped to retain that person ... I didn't want to lose this person because they're very good, so we made that adjustment and, you know, keep an eye on what's happening there. (Manager 20: Community Development, 12 employees)

Around half the organisations provided access to counselling services, either via an Employee Assistance Programme (EAP), health insurance package, or on a case-by-case basis [3].

TABLE 2 HERE

Although most managers had an awareness of their legal obligation to support employees with mental health (and other) disabilities, there was a sense that these duties were not the primary driver of their actions. Rather, organisations were built on fundamental values of support and compassion, which shaped their responses regardless of the legal framework. Managers recognised that, particularly in small businesses, their staff were an essential

1
2
3 resource whose wellbeing was paramount, as recruitment was difficult and they had often
4 invested significant time in company-specific training and orientation. This meant they
5 sometimes went above and beyond their legal duties in supporting employees through
6 episodes of ill health or personal crisis. For example, there were instances of employees being
7 granted exceptional amounts of paid or unpaid leave (outside of normal absence policies),
8 informal handling of short-term absences (e.g. employee not required to produce a doctor's
9 note), direct liaison with family members (partners or parents), and financial loans from
10 company funds to address personal circumstances connected to mental health problems.
11 These ad hoc and informal types of support may reflect the greater flexibility of responses
12 available to small firms that have fewer established bureaucracies around absence
13 management and occupational health intervention. For example, one manager described an
14 employee's extended period of paid leave as follows:
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 *I mean, we're a small business; we can call it what we like! We paid her, and we just*
26 *said we wanted her to understand that it's much more important to us that she gets*
27 *better, than anything else ... I think we referred to it as compassionate leave*
28 *internally. It was more, 'just take the time that you need'. (Manager 16: Consultancy,*
29 *4 employees)*
30
31
32
33

34 Notably, there were no cases where an employee had been redeployed as part of workplace
35 adjustments. This may reflect the size and structures of small firms, where fewer
36 opportunities exist to move an employee into an entirely different role or department. Whilst
37 all organisations had been able to make some adjustments for employees where required,
38 there were sometimes limits to what could be offered, and to the sustainability of
39 accommodations in the longer-term, as we discuss further below.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 ***Impacts on managers***

47

48 Managers' own workload was often directly impacted by the reduced productivity of an
49 unwell employee. In some cases, this was described as short-term and manageable, but
50 sometimes the impact had been substantial. Small firms' limited ability to source or pay for
51 cover – particularly at short notice – could mean that workload cascaded upwards to
52 managers when employees were absent:
53
54
55
56
57

58 *It means that the rest of us – even me, the knock on effect on me as Chief Exec – the*
59 *knock on effect is my senior management team have to do the operational*
60

1
2
3 *management team roles, who then have to drop down into the supervisors' roles, who*
4 *are then doing the frontline role and I have to do the senior manager [role], so I end*
5 *up feeling the effects ... Somehow I will be doing work that I shouldn't be doing, but I*
6 *know I'm doing it because of that cascading effect. (Manager 21: Information and*
7 *advice, 49 employees)*
8
9
10
11
12

13 In small businesses, the support role often fell to a single individual who was invariably
14 carrying out multiple roles within the firm, already working close to capacity and often
15 without specific Human Resource Management (HRM) or occupational health expertise.
16 Thus, the practical and emotional load arising from balancing competing interests was felt
17 acutely:
18
19
20
21

22 *In a small business, I mean I've got this fancy executive director role but I'm anything*
23 *from the cleaner, the family support worker, I can step into any role, designer, the*
24 *web editor, you know! So you're conscious you're spinning a lot of plates really,*
25 *probably with insufficient resources and then, of course, you're looking at your own*
26 *personal reserves in terms of your own mental health. (Manager 10: Healthcare, 20*
27 *employees)*
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Managers had invested significant time in supporting certain employees through mental
35 health problems. This included time spent directly with the employee (be that in scheduled
36 support meetings or in responding spontaneously to occasions of emotional need arising in
37 the workplace), and time spent on planning to ensure the employee's needs could be
38 accommodated and appropriately handled:
39
40
41
42

43 *Because it's such a small business, and I've got my fingers everywhere being the*
44 *manager, her issue would take up my whole time, and then I've still got the rest of the*
45 *work to do, and trying to fit that into the work day, or at home, take it home.*
46 *(Manager 14: Healthcare, 20 employees)*
47
48
49
50

51 This additional investment of time spent managing workplace situations could divert
52 managerial resources away from other areas of the business, as detailed further below.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Impacts on co-workers

Managers perceived that co-workers were often supportive of colleagues who experienced mental health problems and were willing to accommodate periods of reduced productivity. However, cases were described where an employee's mental health difficulties had directly affected the workload of their colleagues, which over time became less tolerable. Mirroring impacts on managers, co-workers sometimes absorbed the workload of an employee who was absent or unable to carry out their usual duties due to mental health problems:

In a big organisation, potentially you can carry that. In a smaller organisation, it becomes very, very difficult to carry that, because the bulk of a load drops on very few people ... The fact that this person's away, they're making mistakes, they're basically not functioning properly, then someone else has to pick that up. (Manager 2: Healthcare, 20 employees)

It does have a real impact on the other team [members] because they're all of a sudden having to work extra shifts, or work longer shifts, or even working harder when they're in on site because they're having to effectively carry this person. (Manager 18: Food production/retail, 50 employees)

In some cases, co-workers spent substantial amounts of time offering emotional support to an unwell colleague. This drew them away from their own duties, further reducing productivity and increasing work pressures. Managers were mindful that if co-workers' workload increased significantly, this might lead to them developing stress-related difficulties of their own. For this reason, some managers were conscious of limiting additional demands placed on co-workers, instead taking up the majority of the absent/unwell employee's workload themselves:

If somebody's off, there's no money to pay for a temp to come in and do the work, or get somebody from an agency to come in and do the work. The rest of the team carry the work ... and the impact then on other staff [who] are trying to take on more and respond to more, means that then you're putting those staff under pressure, which will then affect their mental health. (Manager 7: Social Care, 33 employees)

Some managers described how the mood and behaviour of the unwell employee could permeate the wider workplace, lowering morale and causing uncertainty, stress and distress

1
2
3 for other workers. These issues were more apparent in organisations where staff worked in
4 close spatial proximity:
5
6

7 *We're a very small team and you can really feel it in the office when she's not feeling*
8 *great or she's not happy with something, so it does sometimes affect morale.*
9

10
11 (Manager 1: Social Care, 30 employees)
12
13

14 If practical or emotional demands on co-workers persisted, their capacity to accommodate
15 and empathise with the needs of the unwell employee could wane, despite initial goodwill. In
16 describing the long-term effects on co-workers, managers used terms such as “draining”,
17 “exhausting” and “demoralising”. Where co-workers became less tolerant of the behaviours,
18 emotions or reduced productivity of the unwell employee, managers could be in a position of
19 needing to smooth out “ruptures” and appease frustrations.
20
21
22
23
24

25 ***Impacts on business operations and growth***

26
27 Workplace absence, alongside the diversion of managerial and co-worker resources to
28 support employees with mental health problems, could affect small firms' capacity to
29 maintain levels of service provision or productivity. Unpredictable absences were particularly
30 challenging and, in some ways, more difficult to manage than long-term absences, where
31 there was more scope to plan and adjust staff resource. Due to absences of employees with
32 mental health problems, some firms experienced tangible and quantifiable effects on
33 productivity or service quality. For example, some managers had become aware of client
34 complaints or reductions in customer satisfaction, and two charitable organisations had cut
35 areas of provision due to the employee's absence:
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 *Because we're on an advice line platform, we know how many calls are coming*
45 *through, we know how many calls are in the queue, we know how many people drop*
46 *out. You can see a direct correlation between that. So there is real tangible evidence*
47 *to prove that [employee] being off because of her depression means that there is*
48 *client dissatisfaction. (Manager 21: Information and advice, 49 employees)*
49
50
51
52

53
54 [Absences were] *having a proper impact on our ability to deliver, and certainly*
55 *reputation as well ... We run a number of regular and ongoing groups and activities,*
56 *and actually, you know, just delivering them sporadically was having an impact.*
57
58
59

60 (Manager 12: Community Development, 21 employees)

1
2
3 Where business operations were maintained, this tended to be through the enhanced efforts of
4 managers and co-workers, who increased their workload and working hours.
5

6
7 Whilst costs of long-term sickness absence (i.e. sick pay and implementing staff cover) were
8 recognised as potentially significant impacts, such scenarios were apparently rare. As the
9 following quote indicates, the critical issue was not the financial cost of paying absent
10 employees but the impact of their absence on business operations:
11
12
13

14
15 *The fact of the matter is, we can't afford, as a small business, for him to be signed off*
16 *work ... Cost-wise, okay, we don't pay anything other than statutory sick pay, but our*
17 *projects would grind to a halt, our work would just be ridiculous to manage with one*
18 *less staff member.* (Manager 3: Skilled manual, 3 employees)
19
20
21

22
23 In addition to reduced overall productivity, managers described missed or deferred
24 opportunities for business growth. Some firms had placed planned business developments on
25 hold and were less able to focus on strategic developments whilst managers took on
26 additional workload or devoted time to supporting an unwell employee:
27
28
29

30
31 *There's two things: so one is that I've spent time having to talk to her while she could*
32 *have been working, but another one is I've had to do more work myself ... which has*
33 *meant I've not been able to work on what I needed to work on as much ... I then*
34 *didn't have time to go to networking events, to see my clients, to promote myself. I*
35 *haven't had time.* (Manager 17: Digital marketing, 3 employees)
36
37
38

39
40 *My role is also very much business development ... and certainly over a kind of three,*
41 *four-month period, when it started to become more and more obvious that she was*
42 *struggling, I definitely stepped back a bit from pushing client engagements to sort of*
43 *supporting at home, as it were, to try and strengthen that side ... So you've got to*
44 *divert your attention somewhere, and it's going to have to be away from the core*
45 *things you're doing ... We didn't lose any clients [but] we didn't chase some*
46 *prospects as hard as we normally would.* (Manager 16: Consultancy, 4 employees)
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Overall, it was notable that direct financial impacts of supporting employees with mental
55 health problems did not emerge as a primary concern for this group of small business
56 managers. Rather it was reduced productivity, the consequent increase in pressure on other
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 members of staff, and (in some cases) indirect financial impacts of delayed business growth
4 and development that were emphasised.
5
6

7 ***Challenges and tensions in managing employee mental health problems in small***
8 ***businesses***
9

10
11
12 Whilst the majority of managers had responded initially with an informal approach, driven by
13 compassion and a wish to support their valued employees, the conflux of impacts described
14 above could create significant challenges and tensions over the longer-term. Ultimately,
15 managers needed to balance support for the employee with the wider needs of the
16 organisation, including co-worker wellbeing and overall business operations:
17
18
19

20
21
22 *There would be some scenarios that we would find it very difficult to be as flexible,*
23 *because we just don't have the resources. And that's why I try to explain to people,*
24 *look, whilst we are [supportive], we are very small. We do what we can but, you*
25 *know, do be aware that there will be limitations to what we can do. (Manager 10:*
26 *Healthcare, 20 employees)*
27
28
29

30
31
32 *When you're a small business it's really tough when somebody's experiencing mental*
33 *health problems that are affecting their work. I don't think there's any easy answer to*
34 *that, because they must be supported, and it's right that they're supported, but it's*
35 *just really hard. It has a big impact on other colleagues and a big impact on the*
36 *business reputation and growth. (Manager 1: Social Care, 30 employees)*
37
38
39

40
41 Some managers spoke about the need to balance their desire to recruit and support employees
42 with mental health problems with the need to maintain effective business operations. Their
43 ability to support was constrained by minimal HR capacity and ultimately a finite ability to
44 offer unlimited support:
45
46
47

48
49 *I think [mental health] is a very difficult issue and I think it's one that every company*
50 *probably is going to have to deal with at some point, regardless of their size. And I*
51 *think small businesses, in particular, just aren't set up for it ... Bigger organisations*
52 *will have HR teams and people that have got HR training. If you run a small business,*
53 *you haven't got that kind of thing. (Manager 5: Consultancy, 30 employees)*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *In terms of the spread of people with mental health needs across the organisation, you*
4 *need to be able to balance it. So if we had everyone with the types of support needs*
5 *that [employee] had, then we'd be in trouble really quickly and there's no way we'd*
6 *fulfil the contract and we would have to be putting in paid backfill staff, and that*
7 *would sink us. (Manager 21: Information and advice, 49 employees)*
8
9
10
11
12

13 In situations where an employee's mental health difficulties were prolonged and impacted
14 their performance and workplace conduct, some managers found themselves in a position of
15 uncertainty and dilemma about whether it was appropriate to introduce performance
16 management or capability measures. Small business managers' lack of HRM expertise could
17 leave them unsure as to what type of more formal intervention would be (ethically and
18 legally) legitimate. In such cases, the services of an external HR provider had been a valuable
19 resource where available. However, managers without access to HR specialists sometimes
20 found that generic workplace mental health guidance was inapplicable to their organisational
21 structure:
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 *A lot of the advice just assumes that there are a series of people that do different*
30 *things, whereas in a small business, there's usually one of you or there's a small team*
31 *of you. (Manager 6: Consultancy, 6 employees)*
32
33
34

35 *Our HR team is effectively one person and me, and so we don't really have the*
36 *resource to constantly support them. (Manager 18: Food production/retail, 50*
37 *employees)*
38
39
40
41

42 In addition to concerns about acting appropriately in situations of capability or conduct
43 procedures, managers were also mindful of the time demands and additional stress entailed in
44 dealing with legal situations. In small businesses that lacked a dedicated HR department, this
45 load might fall upon just one or two individuals and would further divert resource from core
46 business functions:
47
48
49
50

51 *The prospect of perhaps a tribunal going through is something I really don't need.*
52 *It's a massive drain on our resources as a small business. We don't have a separate*
53 *HR department. It would be me and my [deputy] dealing with all of that, and maybe it*
54 *sounds a bit selfish about saying that, but it does have a really big impact on our time,*
55 *when we could be focussing that time on our existing team, and growing the business.*
56 *(Manager 1: Social Care, 30 employees)*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In summary, a tension existed for small business managers, between their desire to
4 accommodate and support employees who experienced mental health problems, and the
5 practical constraints that limited resources placed upon the organisation's ability to do so.
6
7

8 9 **Discussion**

10
11
12 This study addresses a specific gap in evidence about experiences of managing and
13 supporting employees with mental health problems in small businesses. Whilst workplace
14 health policy and guidance increasingly acknowledges the differing context and capacities of
15 smaller firms, the consequences and implications of such differences have not yet received
16 explicit research attention. In addressing this knowledge gap, our study findings
17 simultaneously offer some challenge to the perception that small businesses have uniquely
18 different experiences, whilst also highlighting certain areas where current support and
19 guidance for managers may fall short for smaller firms.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 Firstly, it was notable that the most commonly offered workplace accommodations in the
28 cases described, such as regular 1-1 support meetings, adjustments to hours, and flexible
29 approaches to work scheduling and location, reflect previous research findings on what is
30 helpful and frequently implemented (Irvine, 2008; McDowell and Fossey, 2015; Nielsen and
31 Yarker, 2023; Zafar *et al.*, 2019). This suggests that small firms are not necessarily
32 constrained in their capacity to respond in supportive ways that are aligned with current
33 understanding of effective practice. Moreover, there was some evidence of more informal and
34 personal approaches, for example contact with family members, discretionary financial
35 support and informal handling of short- and long-term absences, suggesting that small firms
36 may in some ways have an advantage in greater flexibility of response. However, the lack of
37 instances of complete redeployment may reflect a constraint posed by the size and structure
38 of smaller firms.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Disability equality legislation was not a primary driver for managers in the present study,
50 when implementing adjustments and support for employees with mental health problems.
51 Notably, research for the Equality and Human Rights Commission (Winterbotham *et al.*,
52 2015) found 44% of UK small and medium employers were unaware of the Equality Act
53 2010 and less than half of microbusinesses said they provided reasonable adjustments. It is
54 possible that this reflects the instinctive nature of supportive adjustments within smaller
55 workplaces; managers may not perceive their actions as "reasonable adjustments" formally
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 construed. At the same time, small employers are particularly likely to be found liable at
4 tribunal for failing to thoroughly consider the scope for reasonable adjustments (Lockwood *et*
5 *al.*, 2014). There is thus perhaps a paradox at play, in that – while ostensibly laudable – small
6 firms’ instinctive and informal actions might benefit from more explicit framing, to ensure
7 that systematic and thorough consideration is given to the range of supports that might be put
8 in place.
9

10
11
12
13
14 Secondly, our findings suggest that the managerial challenges and tensions faced by small
15 business managers are also not entirely distinctive or unique, and reflect the “difficult
16 balancing act of often contradictory and incompatible demands and pressures” (Bramwell *et*
17 *al.*, 2016, p. 245) observed in prior studies. Rather, it is the *intensity and immediacy* of these
18 cross-pressures that might differ for small businesses, due to their smaller workforce and
19 corresponding limits on human resource capacity. An obvious but perhaps underemphasised
20 fact is that, in the smallest businesses, the absence or reduced productivity of just one
21 member of staff may equate to ten or twenty per cent of the workforce, a substantial
22 proportional impact that rapidly cascades outwards and upwards to co-workers and managers.
23 Close social and spatial proximity of small workplaces mean that the practical and
24 socioemotional impact of supporting mentally unwell colleagues is intensified. This is
25 compounded by a lack of access to dedicated HRM and occupational health expertise.
26
27

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

In the smallest firms, our study found that the weight of responsibility loaded onto a single individual who simultaneously provided practical and emotional support, accommodated increased workload (whilst maintaining their own operational role) and responded to resultant practical, social and emotional effects on co-workers. This led to the manager juggling and balancing multiple roles and demands, a challenge that exists for managers in organisations of any size but, we suggest, is felt more acutely in small businesses. Managers described a conflux of overlapping impacts on co-workers, business operations and themselves. Critical challenges arose where adjustments became unsustainable in the longer term, because the effect of the employee’s reduced productivity or workplace behaviour was becoming increasingly difficult for managers and co-workers to accommodate. For small businesses, the speed with which adjustments and accommodations begin to become unsustainable might be faster than in larger firms. With limited scope to bring in additional staff resource and more immediate impacts on business productivity, we infer that small firms’ ability to

1
2
3 support and accommodate in the longer term may be more limited than for larger
4 organisations, given their finite capacity to “carry” unwell employees.
5
6

7 ***Practical implications***

8
9
10 A key observation is that, in the smallest firms, a single individual was often fulfilling
11 multiple roles around formal and informal management and support. As such, occupational
12 health guidance for line managers that emphasises intervention from compound tiers of
13 departmental management neglects the situation for many small businesses, where the line
14 manager may be the *only* manager. A recent scoping review (Corbière *et al.*, 2020) identified
15 no fewer than 11 potential stakeholders in return-to-work from mental health related absence,
16 each with multiple actions to complete at different stages of the process. Our findings suggest
17 that the persistent reference to HR departments, occupational health services and higher tiers
18 of management (to which matters can be escalated), is one way in which current guidance
19 falls short for small businesses.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 As a complement to widely available guidance on strategies for prevention and promotion of
30 mental “wellbeing” at work, our findings also indicate a need for tailored guidance for small
31 businesses that tackles the more complex, and potentially contentious, issue of day-to-day
32 management and support for employees with manifest mental health conditions. There is a
33 need for guidance that goes beyond the description of workplace adjustments and
34 incorporates information on how to (ethically and legally) approach situations where
35 performance and capability need to be broached. Access to specialist occupational health and
36 HRM expertise is valued by managers when addressing the needs of employees with mental
37 health problems (Kirsh *et al.*, 2018), but both are less readily available to small organisations.
38 Better access to affordable external HRM and occupational health expertise would benefit
39 small firms (de Oliveira *et al.*, 2020), particularly in guiding their response in cases where
40 performance and capability issues arise (Gignac *et al.*, 2021). Improving access to
41 occupational health support through supply chains with larger organisations has been
42 proposed as one potential solution (FSB, 2019).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 Our findings indicate that financial impacts of sickness absence are of relatively lesser
55 concern to small employers, and that adjustments are typically low-cost. As such, policy
56 recommendations to increase government subsidy of statutory sick pay (Molyneux, 2021) or
57 to incentivise hiring through National Insurance exemptions (FSB, 2019) may be of limited
58
59
60

1
2
3 effectiveness in addressing the primary day-to-day management challenges faced by small
4 business employers. Rather, it is the balancing of competing psychosocial needs and
5 workloads of the overall workforce which are of greater concern when an employee's mental
6 health problems become long-term; effective interventions in this regard may include
7 coaching for small business managers and workplace mediation. Finally, in highlighting the
8 practical and emotional load borne by managers in smaller firms, our findings confirm the
9 importance of support for manager wellbeing in small businesses (Martin *et al.*, 2020).

16 ***Limitations and future research***

17
18
19 The major strength of this study was its specific and detailed focus on *first-hand* experiences
20 of small business managers, as opposed to scoping attitudes or perceptions towards
21 employees with mental health problems. However, our study captured the experiences of a
22 relatively engaged group of employers, several of whom had professional backgrounds in
23 mental health and wellbeing. Future qualitative research should seek to gather perspectives of
24 small businesses who are less predisposed or equipped to offer support and accommodation
25 to employees who develop mental health problems. The absence of corresponding employee
26 accounts is also a limitation of the present data. Future research designs that gathered dyadic
27 (employer-employee) or whole workplace case studies, using longitudinal methods where
28 possible, would be of great value to this field of enquiry.

29
30
31 Additionally, the present study was conducted in a context where workplace mental health is
32 high on policy and practice agendas, legal frameworks exist to protect the rights of
33 employees with mental health problems, and concepts of workplace wellbeing have been
34 adopted into everyday discourse. Our findings may not reflect the experiences of small
35 business managers in other geographical and socio-political contexts where the structure of
36 employment relations, workplace health and safety legislation, diversity and equality law, and
37 the broader discourse of mental health differ from the UK position. Future research could
38 gather qualitative comparative evidence on the experiences of small businesses across a wider
39 global context, perhaps shedding light on the role of legislative and socio-cultural approaches
40 to workplace mental health support.

55 ***Conclusion***

56
57
58 Attention to the experience of managing employee mental health problems in small
59 businesses is essential to improving the quality of support and guidance offered to managers,
60

1
2
3 and in turn employees, within this sector. In this study, we analysed how the distinctive
4 context and characteristics of small workplaces shaped and constrained managerial responses.
5 To our knowledge, our study remains unique in focusing specifically, and in qualitative
6 depth, on small business managers with first-hand experience of supporting employees with
7 mental health problems. Whilst the managerial responses and challenges revealed by this
8 study suggest that the broad dimensions of experience are perhaps universal, we suggest that
9 they may be more intense and immediate in the small business context, due to the close social
10 relations, limited human resources, juggling of multiple roles, and limited access to specialist
11 HRM and occupational health expertise that characterise these firms. As recognised in recent
12 UK public health guidance (NICE, 2022), there is a need for further research into the specific
13 needs of small businesses regarding both preventive and responsive approaches to supporting
14 mental health in the workplace. The present study has made a foundational contribution to
15 answering this call.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Notes

27
28
29 [1] Business size was defined using the EU classification for small businesses as being those
30 with up to 50 employees. We also refer to the subcategory of microbusiness (up to 10
31 employees). See: [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/EN/legal-content/glossary/small-and-medium-
32 sized-enterprises.html](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/EN/legal-content/glossary/small-and-medium-sized-enterprises.html)
33
34
35

36
37 [2] For examples, see: [https://www.mentalhealthatwork.org.uk/toolkit/mental-health-for-
38 small-workplaces/](https://www.mentalhealthatwork.org.uk/toolkit/mental-health-for-small-workplaces/) and [https://www.worksafe.vic.gov.au/workwell-toolkit-small-business
39](https://www.worksafe.vic.gov.au/workwell-toolkit-small-business)

40
41 [3] The proportion of organisations offering EAP provision is notably higher than typically
42 reported in survey research with small businesses (McEnhill and Steadman, 2015; Tu *et al.*,
43 2019), and is reflective of the study's recruitment channels.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Adams, L., Oldfield, K., Riley, C., Duncan, B. and Downing, C. (2015), *Understanding the journeys from work to Employment and Support Allowance (ESA)*, London, Department for Work and Pensions.
- Auger, M. and Pohlmann, C. (2022), *Near Breaking Point: Mental Health in Small Businesses*, Canadian Federation of Independent Businesses, available: <https://cdn1.hubspot.net/hubfs/20336445/research/reports/Near-the-Breaking-Point-Mental-Health-in-Small-Business.pdf> (Accessed 26 September 2022).
- Barnes, H., Smeaton, D. and Taylor, R. (2009), *An Ageing Workforce: The Employer's Perspective*, Brighton, Institute for Employment Studies.
- Bramwell, D. (2014), *Exploring the Role of Employers and Managers in Supporting People with Long-Term Conditions in the Workplace*, PhD Thesis, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, available: <https://www.escholar.manchester.ac.uk/item/?pid=uk-ac-man-scw:219815> (Accessed 24 August 2022).
- Bramwell, D., Sanders, C. and Rogers, A. (2016), "A case of tightrope walking: An exploration of the role of employers and managers in supporting people with long-term conditions in the workplace", *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp.238-250.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2021), "To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a useful concept for thematic analysis and sample-size rationales", *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, Vol 13, No 2, pp.201-216.
- Brohan, E., Henderson, C., Little, K. and Thornicroft, G. (2010), "Employees with mental health problems: survey of UK employers' knowledge, attitudes and workplace practices", *Epidemiologia e psichiatria sociale*, Vol 19, No 4, pp.326–332.
- Bryan, M., Bryce, A., Rice, N., Roberts, J. and Sechel, C. (2022), "Exploring mental health disability gaps in the labour market: the UK experience during COVID-19", *Labour Economics*, p.102253.

1
2
3 Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (2021) Business population estimates for the UK
4 and regions 2021: statistical release, available:

5
6 [https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/business-population-estimates-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/business-population-estimates-2021/business-population-estimates-for-the-uk-and-regions-2021-statistical-release-html#headline-statistics)
7
8 [2021/business-population-estimates-for-the-uk-and-regions-2021-statistical-release-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/business-population-estimates-2021/business-population-estimates-for-the-uk-and-regions-2021-statistical-release-html#headline-statistics)
9
10 [html#headline-statistics](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/business-population-estimates-2021/business-population-estimates-for-the-uk-and-regions-2021-statistical-release-html#headline-statistics) (Accessed 26 September 2022).

11
12
13 Centre for Mental Health (2017), Mental health at work: the business costs ten years on,
14 available: [https://www.centreformentalhealth.org.uk/publications/mental-health-work-](https://www.centreformentalhealth.org.uk/publications/mental-health-work-business-costs-ten-years)
15 [business-costs-ten-years](https://www.centreformentalhealth.org.uk/publications/mental-health-work-business-costs-ten-years) (Accessed 25 August 2022).

16
17
18
19 Corbière, M., Villotti, P., Lecomte, T., Bond, G. R., Lesage, A. and Goldner, E. M. (2014),
20 “Work accommodations and natural supports for maintaining employment”,
21 *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol 37, No 2, pp.90–98.

22
23
24
25 Corbière, M., Mazaniello-Chézol, M., Bastien, M.F., Wathieu, E., Bouchard, R., Panaccio,
26 A., Guay, S. and Lecomte, T. (2020), “Stakeholders’ Role and Actions in the Return-to-
27 Work Process of Workers on Sick-Leave Due to Common Mental Disorders: A
28 Scoping Review”, *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, Vol 30, pp.381-419.

29
30
31
32
33 Crossfield, J., Finnerty, K., Hughes, K., Beatty, C., Gore, T. and Harris, C. (2021), *Small*
34 *Employer Offer evaluation (DWP Research Report 1003)*, available:
35 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/small-employer-offer-evaluation>
36
37 (Accessed 03 September 2022).

38
39
40
41 De Oliveira, C., Cho, E., Kavelaars, R.A., Jamieson, M., Bao, B. and Rehm, J. (2020),
42 “Economic analyses of mental health and substance use interventions in the workplace:
43 a systematic literature review and narrative synthesis,” *The Lancet Psychiatry*, Vol 7,
44 No 10, pp.893–910.

45
46
47
48
49 Federation of Small Business (2019), *Small business, big heart: bringing communities*
50 *together*, available at: [https://www.fsb.org.uk/resource-report/smallbusiness-big-heart-](https://www.fsb.org.uk/resource-report/smallbusiness-big-heart-communities-report.html)
51 [communities-report.html](https://www.fsb.org.uk/resource-report/smallbusiness-big-heart-communities-report.html) (Accessed 04 August 2022).

52
53
54
55 Follmer, K.B. and Jones, K.S. (2018), “Mental illness in the workplace: an interdisciplinary
56 review and organizational research agenda”, *Journal of Management*, Vol 44, No 1,
57 pp.325–351.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Fukita, S., Kawasaki, H. and Yamasaki, S (2022), “Managers’ awareness of mental health
4 measures for their employees in small and medium-sized enterprises in a depopulated
5 mountainous area in Japan: A qualitative study”, *Medicine*, Vol 101, No 9, e28999.
6
7
8
9
10 Gignac, M.A.M., Bowring, J., Jetha, A. Dorcas E. Beaton, J.A., Breslin, F.C., Franche R-L
11 ... and Saunders, R. (2021), “Disclosure, Privacy and Workplace Accommodation of
12 Episodic Disabilities: Organizational Perspectives on Disability Communication-
13 Support Processes to Sustain Employment”, *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*,
14 Vol 31, pp.153–165.
15
16
17
18
19 Hampson, E., Polner, A., Assai, V. and Abrahams, N. (2022), *Mental health and employers:*
20 *the case for investment – pandemic and beyond*, available:
21 [https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/uk/Documents/consultancy/deloitte-](https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/uk/Documents/consultancy/deloitte-uk-mental-health-report-2022.pdf)
22 [uk-mental-health-report-2022.pdf](https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/uk/Documents/consultancy/deloitte-uk-mental-health-report-2022.pdf) (Accessed 25 August 2022).
23
24
25
26
27 Health and Safety Executive (2021), *Work-Related Stress, Depression or Anxiety statistics in*
28 *Great Britain, 2021*, available at: <https://www.hse.gov.uk/statistics/causdis/stress.pdf>
29 (Accessed 24 August 2022).
30
31
32
33 Hogg, B., Medina, J.C., Gardoki-Souto, I., Serbanescu, I., Morena-Alcázar, A., Cerga-
34 Pashoja, A. ... and Amann, B.L. (2021), “Workplace interventions to reduce
35 depression and anxiety in small and medium-sized enterprises: A systematic review”,
36 *Journal of Affective Disorders*, Vol 290, pp.378–386.
37
38
39
40
41 Irvine, A. (2008), *Managing Mental Health and Employment* (DWP Research Report 537),
42 Leeds, Corporate Document Services.
43
44
45
46 Jansson I. and Gunnarsson, A.B. (2018), “Employers’ Views of the Impact of Mental Health
47 Problems on the Ability to Work”, *Work*. Vol 59, pp.85–598.
48
49
50 Kelloway, E.K., Dimoff, J.K. and Gilbert, S. (2023), “Mental Health in the Workplace”,
51 *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. Vol 10,
52 No 1, pp.363–387.
53
54
55
56 Kelly, L.M. and Cordeiro, M. (2020), “Three principles of pragmatism for research on
57 organizational processes”, *Methodological Innovations*, Vol 13, No 2.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Kirsh B., Krupa, T. and Luong, D. (2018), “How do supervisors perceive and manage
4 employee mental health issues in their workplaces?” *Work*, Vol. 59, pp.547–555.
5
6
7
8 Ladegaard, Y., Skakon, J., Elrond, A.F. and Netterstrøm, B. (2019), “How do line managers
9 experience and handle the return to work of employees on sick leave due to work-
10 related stress? A one-year follow-up study”, *Disability and Rehabilitation*, Vol 41, No
11 1, pp.44–52.
12
13
14
15 Lexén, A., Emmelin, M. and Bejerholm, U. (2016), “Individual Placement and Support Is the
16 Keyhole: Employer Experiences of Supporting Persons with Mental Illness”, *Journal of*
17 *Vocational Rehabilitation*, Vol 44, pp.135-147.
18
19
20
21
22 Lockwood, G., Henderson, C. and Thornicroft, G. (2014), “Mental health disability
23 discrimination: Law, policy and practice”, *International Journal of Discrimination and*
24 *the Law*, Vol 14, No 3, pp.168-182.
25
26
27
28 Martin, A., Kilpatrick, M., Scott, J., Cocker, F., Dawkins, S., Brough, P. and Sanderson, K.
29 (2020), “Protecting the Mental Health of Small-to-Medium Enterprise Owners: A
30 Randomized Control Trial Evaluating a Self-Administered Versus Telephone
31 Supported Intervention”, *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, Vol
32 62, No 7, pp.503–510.
33
34
35
36
37 Martin, A. and LaMontagne, A. (2018), “Applying an Integrated Approach to Workplace
38 Mental Health in SMES. A case of the ‘too hard basket’ or picking some easy wins?”,
39 Nielsen K. and Noblet, A. (Eds.), *Organizational Interventions for Health and Well-*
40 *being*, Routledge, London, pp.195–219.
41
42
43
44
45 Martin, A., Woods, M. and Dawkins, S. (2015), “Managing employees with mental health
46 issues: Identification of conceptual and procedural knowledge for development within
47 management education curricula”, *Academy of Management Learning & Education*,
48 Vol 14, No 1, pp.50–68.
49
50
51
52
53 Martin, A., Woods, M. and Dawkins, S. (2018), “How managers experience situations
54 involving employee mental ill-health”, *International Journal of Workplace Health*
55 *Management*, Vol 11, No 6, pp.442–463.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Malterud, K., Siersma, V.D. and Guassora, A.D. (2016), “Sample Size in Qualitative
4 Interview Studies: Guided by Information Power”, *Qualitative Health Research*, Vol
5 26, No 13, pp.1753-1760.
6
7
8
9 McDowell, C. and Fossey, E. (2015), “Workplace accommodations for people with mental
10 illness: a scoping review”, *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, Vol 25, No 1,
11 pp.197–206.
12
13
14
15 McEnhill, L. and Steadman, K. (2015), *This Won't Hurt a Bit: Supporting small business to*
16 *be healthy, wealthy and wise*, The Work Foundation, Lancaster.
17
18
19
20 Memish, K., Martin, A., Bartlett, L., Dawkins, S. and Sanderson, K. (2017), “Workplace
21 mental health: An international review of guidelines”, *Preventive Medicine*, Vol 101,
22 pp.213–222.
23
24
25
26 Mizzoni, C. and Kirsh, B. (2006), “Employer perspectives on supervising individuals with
27 mental health problems,” *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health*, Vol 25, No
28 2, pp.193–206.
29
30
31
32 Molyneux, C. (2021), *Disabled People, Work and Small-Medium-Size Enterprises (SMEs)*,
33 PhD Thesis, Lancaster University, Sociology Department, available:
34 <https://eprints.lancs.ac.uk/id/eprint/159921/1/2021molyneuxphd.pdf> (Accessed 27
35 August 2022).
36
37
38
39
40 Nadin, S. and Cassell, C. (2004), “Using Data Matrices”, Cassell, C. and Symon, G. (Eds.),
41 *Essential Guide to Qualitative Methods in Organizational Research*, Sage, London,
42 pp.271–287.
43
44
45
46 National Council for Voluntary Organisations (2021) UK Civil Society Almanac 2021,
47 available: [https://www.ncvo.org.uk/news-and-insights/news-index/uk-civil-society-](https://www.ncvo.org.uk/news-and-insights/news-index/uk-civil-society-almanac-2021/about/how-to-get-more-almanac-data/#/)
48 [almanac-2021/about/how-to-get-more-almanac-data/#/](https://www.ncvo.org.uk/news-and-insights/news-index/uk-civil-society-almanac-2021/about/how-to-get-more-almanac-data/#/) (Accessed 26 September 2022).
49
50
51
52 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2022), *Mental wellbeing at work*,
53 available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng212> (Accessed 4 August 2022).
54
55
56
57 Nexø, M.A., Kristensen, J.V., Grønvald, M.T., Kristiansen, J. and Poulsen, O.M. (2018),
58 “Content and quality of workplace guidelines developed to prevent mental health
59
60

1
2
3 problems: results from a systematic review.” *Scandinavian Journal of Work,*
4 *Environment and Health*, Vol 44, No 5, pp.443-457.

5
6
7
8 Nielsen, K. and Yarker, J. (2023), “What can I do for you? Line managers’ behaviors to
9 support return to work for workers with common mental disorders”, *Journal of*
10 *Managerial Psychology*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 34-46.

11
12
13
14 Office for National Statistics (2022), *Sickness absence in the UK labour market*, available:
15 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentand](https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemploeyetypes/datasets/sicknessabsenceinthelabourmarket)
16 [mploeyetypes/datasets/sicknessabsenceinthelabourmarket](https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemploeyetypes/datasets/sicknessabsenceinthelabourmarket) (Accessed 25 August 2022).

17
18
19
20 O’Reilly, M. and Parker, N. (2013), “‘Unsatisfactory Saturation’: a critical exploration of the
21 notion of saturated sample sizes in qualitative research”, *Qualitative Research*, Vol 13,
22 No 2, pp.190-197.

23
24
25
26 Peterson, D., Gordon, S. and Neale, J. (2017), “It can work: Open employment for people
27 with experience of mental illness,” *Work*, Vol 56, No 3, pp.443–454.

28
29
30
31 Porter, S., Lexén, A. and Bejerholm, U. (2019), “Employers’ beliefs, knowledge and
32 strategies used in providing support to employees with mental health problems”,
33 *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, Vol 51, pp.325-337.

34
35
36
37 Richards, J., Sang, K., Marks, A. and Gill, S (2019), “‘I’ve found it extremely draining.’
38 Emotional labour and the lived experience of line managing neurodiversity”, *Personnel*
39 *Review*, Vol. 48 No. 7, pp.1903-1923.

40
41
42
43 Rucker, M. (2017), “Workplace Wellness Strategies for Small and Businesses”, *International*
44 *Journal of Workplace Health Management*, Vol 10, No 1, pp.55-68.

45
46
47
48 Sainsbury, R., Irvine, A., Aston, J., Wilson, S., Williams, C. and Sinclair, A. (2008), *Mental*
49 *Health and Employment* (DWP Research Report 513), Leeds, Corporate Document
50 Services.

51
52
53
54 Salis, S., Speckesser, S., Samek, L. and Bivand, P. (2021), *A study of work and health*
55 *transitions. Analysis of Understanding Society*, available:
56 <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment>
57
58
59
60

[data/file/1003424/a-study-of-work-and-health-transitions-analysis-of-understanding-society.pdf](https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2022.2100000) (Accessed 5 August 2022).

- Scheibelhofer, E. (2008), "Combining Narration-Based Interviews with Topical Interviews: Methodological Reflections on Research Practices", *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, Vol 11, No 5, pp.403-416.
- Shankar, J., Liu, L., Nicholas, D., Warren, S., Lai, D., Tan, S. ... and Sears, A. (2014), "Employers' Perspectives on Hiring and Accommodating Workers With Mental Illness", *SAGE Open*, July-Sept, pp.1-13.
- Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Ormston, R., O'Connor, W. and Barnard, M. (2014), Analysis: Principles and processes, Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., Nicholls, C.M. and Ormston, R (Eds.), *Qualitative research practice: A guide for social science students and researchers (2nd edn.)*, Sage, London, pp.269-290.
- Statistics Canada (2022), *Work absence of full-time employees by establishment size, annual (Table: 14-10-0198-01)*, available: <https://doi.org/10.25318/1410019801-eng> (Accessed 25 August 2022).
- Tu, T., Maguire, K. and Shanmugarasa, T. (2019), *Sickness absence and health in the workplace: Understanding employer behaviour and practice*, Department for Work and Pensions and Department of Health, London.
- van Hees, S., Carlier, B. E., Blonk, R. and Oomens, S. (2022), "Promoting Factors to Stay at Work Among Employees With Common Mental Health Problems: A Multiple-Stakeholder Concept Mapping Study", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol 13, 815604.
- Wang, J., Patten, S., Currie, S., Sareen, J. and Schmitz, N. (2011), "Perceived Needs for and Use of Workplace Accommodations by Individuals with a Depressive and/or Anxiety Disorder", *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, Vol 53, No 11, pp.1268–1272.
- Wapshott, R. and Mallett, O. (2015), *Managing Human Resources in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises*, Routledge, London.

1
2
3 Winterbotham, M., Oldfield, K, Stewart, G. and Rossiter, H. (2015), *Fairness, dignity and*
4 *respect in SME workplaces*, available:

5
6 [https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/sites/default/files/research-report-98-fairness-](https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/sites/default/files/research-report-98-fairness-dignity-and-respect-in-sme-workplaces.pdf)
7 [dignity-and-respect-in-sme-workplaces.pdf](https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/sites/default/files/research-report-98-fairness-dignity-and-respect-in-sme-workplaces.pdf) (Accessed 03 September 2022).
8
9

10
11 World Health Organisation (2022) *Guidelines on Mental Health at Work*, available:
12 <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053052> (Accessed 2 March 2023).
13
14

15 Zafar, N., Rotenberg, M. and Rudnick, A. (2019), “A Systematic Review of Work
16 Accommodations for People with Mental Disorders”, *Work*, Vol 64, No 3, pp.461-475.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TABLE 1: Sample overview

| Participant ID | Gender | Sector | Industry | Employees |
|----------------|--------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Manager 1 | Female | Private | Social Care | 30 |
| Manager 2 | Male | Private | Healthcare | 20 |
| Manager 3 | Female | Private | Skilled manual | 3 |
| Manager 4 | Female | Private | Manufacturing/sales | 49 |
| Manager 5 | Female | Private | Consultancy | 30 |
| Manager 6 | Female | Private | Consultancy | 6 |
| Manager 7 | Female | Charity | Social Care | 33 |
| Manager 8 | Female | Charity | Social Care | 7 |
| Manager 9 | Female | Private | Healthcare | 32 |
| Manager 10 | Female | Charity | Healthcare | 20 |
| Manager 11 | Female | Private | Law | 50 |
| Manager 12 | Male | Charity | Community development | 21 |
| Manager 13 | Female | Charity | Community development | 9 |
| Manager 14 | Female | Private | Healthcare | 20 |
| Manager 15 | Female | Private | Construction | 54* |
| Manager 16 | Male | Private | Consultancy | 4 |
| Manager 17 | Female | Private | Digital marketing | 3 |
| Manager 18 | Male | Private | Food production/ retail | 50 |
| Manager 19 | Female | Private | Animal care | 10 |
| Manager 20 | Female | Charity | Community development | 12 |
| Manager 21 | Female | Charity | Information and advice | 49 |

* This business had fewer than 50 employees at the time of the employee mental health cases described

TABLE 2: Types of adjustments and support offered to employees

| |
|---|
| Workplace adjustments |
| <p>Phased return to work, including reduced hours and/or reduced duties</p> <p>Flexible hours and opportunity to work from home (sometimes within a general flexible/remote working policy)</p> <p>Time off (or flexibility in hours) to attend medical and psychotherapeutic appointments</p> <p>Time during the working day to work on psychological self-help and training</p> |
| Monitoring and identifying needs |
| <p>Regular 1-1 meetings, to review workload and check wellbeing</p> <p>Wellness Action Plans/Individual Support Plans</p> <p>Paying for occupational health assessments</p> |
| Additional support |
| <p>Funded counselling/therapy, via an Employee Assistance Programme, employer's health insurance or a local provider</p> <p>Support to access and purchase self-help materials</p> <p>Coaching, mentoring and career planning</p> <p>Personal or discretionary actions e.g. sending flowers, taking the employee out for lunch, providing a loan from company funds</p> |