



King's Research Portal

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

McPhilbin, M., Stepanian, K., Yeo, C., Elton, D., Dunnett, D., Hunter-Brown, H., Grant-Rowles, J., Cooper, J. E., Barret, K., Hamie, M., Bates, P., McNaughton, R., Trickett, S., Bishop, S., Takhi, S., Lawrence, S., Kotera, Y., Hayes, D., Davidson, L., ... Lawrence, V. (in press). How the pandemic changed Recovery Colleges: A multi-site qualitative study. *British Journal of Psychiatry Open*.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Cite as: McPhilbin M, Stepanian K, Yeo C, Elton D, Dunnett D, Jennings H, Hunter-Brown H, Grant-Rowles J, Cooper J, Barret K, Hamie M, Bates P, McNaughton R, Trickett S, Bishop S, Takhi S, Lawrence S, Kotera Y, Hayes D, Davidson L, Winship G, Ronaldson A, Jebara T, Hall C, Brophy L, Jepps J, Meddings S, Henderson C, Slade M, Lawrence V *How the pandemic changed Recovery Colleges: A multi-site qualitative study*, BJPsych Open, in press.

How the pandemic changed Recovery Colleges: A multi-site qualitative study

Merly McPhilbin (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5746-3829)*¹

Katy Stepanian (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8460-1433)²

Caroline Yeo (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3399-4729)³

Daniel Elton (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2225-4621)⁴

Danielle Dunnett (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-9592-6898)²

Helen Jennings⁵

Holly Hunter-Brown (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5570-561)²

Jason Grant-Rowles (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3532-1854)⁴

Julie Cooper (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6953-6821)⁴

Katherine Barret (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3583-7401)⁴

Mirza Hamie (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6637-0694)⁴

Peter Bates (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0558-6907)⁶

Rebecca McNaughton (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2566-5303)⁴

Sarah Trickett (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1367-2469)⁴

Simon Bishop (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8527-7081)⁷

Simran Takhi (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3248-8912)¹

Stella Lawrence (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7580-5952)⁴

Yasuhiro Kotera (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0251-0085)¹

Daniel Hayes (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-4948-3333)⁸

Larry Davidson (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1183-8047)⁹

Amy Ronaldson (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8369-9168)²

Tesnime Jebara (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6848-8845)²

Cerdic Hall (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9861-7057)¹⁰

Lisa Brophy (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6460-3490)¹¹

Jessica Jepps (ORCID ID: 0009-0006-5495-2674)²

Sara Meddings (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2219-8760)¹²

Claire Henderson (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6998-5659)²,

Mike Slade (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7020-3434)^{1, 13}

Vanessa Lawrence (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7852-2018)¹⁴

Abstract

Background: During the COVID-19 pandemic, mental health problems increased whilst access to clinical mental health services reduced. Recovery Colleges (RCs) are recovery-focussed adult education initiatives delivered by people with professional and lived mental health expertise. Designed to be collaborative and inclusive, RCs were uniquely positioned to support people experiencing mental health problems during the pandemic. There is limited research exploring the lasting impacts of the pandemic on RC operation and delivery to students.

Aims: To ascertain how the COVID-19 pandemic changed the operation of RCs in England.

Method: A coproduced qualitative interview study of RC managers across the UK. Academics and co-researchers with lived mental health experience collaborated on conducting interviews and analysing data using a collaborative thematic framework analysis.

Results: Thirty-one RC managers participated. Five themes were identified: Complex organisational relationships; Changed ways of working; Navigating the rapid transition to digital delivery; Responding to isolation; and Changes to accessibility. Two key pandemic-related changes to RC operation were highlighted: their use as accessible services that relieve pressure on mental health services through hybrid face-to-face and digital course delivery; and the development of digitally delivered courses for individuals with mental health needs.

Conclusions: The pandemic either led to or accelerated developments in RC operation, leading to a positioning of RCs as a preventative service with wider accessibility to: people with mental health problems; people under the care of forensic mental health services; and mental healthcare staff. These benefits are strengthened by relationships with partner organisations and autonomy from statutory healthcare infrastructures.

Introduction

Personal mental health recovery is a process involving feeling connected and empowered; building hope for the future, personal identity, and meaning in life (1). Recovery Colleges (RCs) are recovery-focussed adult education initiatives, providing courses designed and facilitated by both people with professional and lived mental health expertise (2). RCs bring benefits to self-esteem, sense of identity, hope, social networks, lifestyle, quality of life, and goal achievement for those attending for their own mental health needs ('students' hereafter, 3). RCs vary in their eligibility criteria, location, course content, and approaches to supporting students' personal goals (4). A national survey conducted in 2021 to characterise RC variation in England identified 88 RCs operating at a cost of £20,000,000 to the National Health Service (NHS) and attended by approximately 36,000 individuals per year (5). Three clusters of RCs were identified: Strengths-oriented (NHS Trust-affiliated); Community-oriented (not NHS Trust-affiliated and focussed on social connectedness); and Forensic (NHS Trust-affiliated, with majority male student population). Surveyed RC managers reported that their responses were impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, which presented an unprecedented challenge for mental health service provision. Suicidality, depression and anxiety increased in UK residents among those with and without pre-existing mental health conditions during the pandemic (6). At the same time, access to clinical mental health services provided by the NHS decreased as providers withdrew services, offered services in a different form, and changed the threshold for admission (7). Both mental health services and mental health initiatives, such as RCs, transitioned from face-to-face to digital delivery using videoconferencing platforms (8). Unlike clinical mental health services, most RCs accept self-referrals and are available for any member of the public to attend (5). Digitally delivered RC courses were felt to support students to self-regulate their mental health challenges and stress levels during periods of social distancing and reduced access to care (9). However, there has been no multi-site exploration of how RC operation evolved in the UK during the pandemic.

Aims

This study aims to ascertain how the COVID-19 pandemic changed the operation of RCs in England.

Method

Study Design

The study was conducted as part of Recovery Colleges: Characterisation and Testing (RECOLLECT 2), a five-year research programme exploring the effectiveness of RCs in England (10). The RECOLLECT 2 Lived Experience Advisory Panel (LEAP) comprised of individuals based in England with lived experience of working at RCs, attending RCs as students, using and/or caring for those who use mental health services. Nine LEAP members were involved as co-researchers in the data analysis, three of whom also conducted interviews alongside academic researchers with varied backgrounds in psychology, qualitative social science, occupational therapy, and some with disclosed lived mental health experience.

We used a coproduced approach to conduct a qualitative interview study by sharing power, expertise, and responsibility to create and deliver research and generate knowledge (11, 12). Grounded in interpretivism, we sought to understand participant's perspectives in context.

Participants

Our previous national survey aimed to identify all RCs in England via web searches, consultation with RC experts and networks, snowball sampling, and contacting large organisations likely to have a RC embedded within them ('host organisations' hereafter, 5). The RC manager or another senior member of staff at 63 of the 88 identified RCs participated in the survey. All 63 participants were invited by email to be interviewed.

Materials and Procedures

Researchers and co-researchers were offered interview training and shadowing opportunities. The semi-structured interview schedule was coproduced with co-researchers and RC managers attending a meeting held by the national RC network, ImROC, and is presented in the supplementary material (S1). Participants were prompted to elaborate on adaptations implemented to sustain RC operation during the pandemic and changes to adult education, coproduction, communication, managerial decision-making, and diversity of the student population.

All participants ('managers' hereafter) provided informed consent in written or electronic form. Interviews were conducted via Microsoft Teams between October 2021 and April 2022. Twenty-eight interviews were conducted by researchers (n=6), 10 of which were shadowed by co-researchers, and three were conducted by co-researchers (n=3). Interviewing ceased with confidence that a diverse range of perspectives had been explored and that new interviews were adding few additional insights. Interviews were recorded, transcribed verbatim, pseudonymised, and analysed using NVivo (Release 1.6.1). Researchers recorded reflections on their relationship to the data in a reflexive log.

Analysis

We conducted a thematic framework analysis (13) building on a Collaborative Data Analysis (CDA) approach (12). This iterative approach included inductive development, and deductive application, of the framework. The inclusion of lived-experience in health research is internationally endorsed (14, 15) and can bring enhanced trustworthiness and impact to the study materials and results (16).

Firstly, researchers (n=6) and co-researchers (n=9) read two transcripts and each identified five observations about the impact of COVID-19 on RCs. Researchers and co-researchers attended four videocall meetings to group the observations and create a preliminary framework. The preliminary framework (V1) consisted of six themes relating to the impact of COVID-19 on RC operation and is presented in the supplementary material (S2).

A smaller group of researchers (n=4) subsequently applied framework V1 to two transcripts and met to resolve coding discrepancies. More subthemes were added to the framework to improve the specificity and fit of the themes to the data. One researcher (MM) deductively applied the framework to 16 transcripts, making iterative adaptations until the framework adequately captured the richness of the data (17). Framework V2 consisted of five overarching themes and can be viewed in the supplementary material (S3).

An in-person CDA session was conducted whereby researchers (n=4), co-researchers, and an external expert in Patient and Public Involvement provided feedback on the fit of framework V2 to transcribed quotes and their experiential expertise. International experts (n=23) also provided feedback on framework V2 in an online quarterly RECOLLECT International Advisory Board (IAB) meeting. The IAB was convened before the RECOLLECT programme and comprises clinical and non-clinical researchers with world-leading expertise in developing and/or researching RCs or similar initiatives. Linguistic adaptations were made accordingly to produce framework V3 which can be viewed in the supplementary material (S4). Researchers (n=4) deductively applied framework V3 to the remaining transcripts, meeting periodically to resolve coding discrepancies. Linguistic adaptations were made to the framework during the manuscript write-up, which were approved by consulted co-researchers.

Organisational and student characteristics of the participant's RCs were summarised as means and standard deviations, medians and interquartile ranges, and frequencies.

Ethics statement

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. All procedures involving human subjects were approved by the King's College London Research Ethics Office (reference: MRA-21/22-26274).

Results

Thirty-one RC managers participated, meaning 35% of RC managers in England and 49% of the national survey responders were interviewed. No data were collected on why 32 managers did not attend an interview. Organisational and student characteristics of the participants' RCs are shown in Table 1. A non-statistical comparison to the national survey sample suggests that rural and private sector RCs were underrepresented by the manager participant sample in this interview study. Otherwise, a similar distribution of RC characteristics (e.g. location, main organisational affiliation, and cluster) were represented.

[Table 1]

The final framework comprised five superordinate themes, shown in Table 2. An extended quote table is presented in the supplementary material (S5).

[Table 2]

The term 'workforce' was defined as all individuals engaged in paid or unpaid full-time, part-time, sessional, casual, or voluntary contracts at the RC. 'RC community' was defined as all RC workforce and students. 'Partners' refer to any organisation or group external to the host organisation that was collaborating with the RC.

Theme one: Complex organisational relationships

RCs were embedded in complex organisational relationships with partners, host organisations, and local mental health services. Complex organisational relationships influenced changes to RC operation and their transition to digital delivery.

Some RC managers reported that their RCs position in relation to their local mental health services strengthened during the pandemic. Some RCs were involved in the community mental health transformation project, a government initiative to integrate primary care networks with secondary mental health services and local third sector organisations to reduce gaps in mental health provision. RCs are often accessible services with no inclusion criteria or referral needed. As such, some RCs were relied upon to relieve pressure on local mental health services that became inundated during the pandemic. Subsequently, RC curricula were sometimes tailored to focus more on mental health and self-management topics where non-mental health courses were already being offered by other local organisations (e.g. the local education sector or third sector community groups). RCs were subsequently considered an accessible source of support that could meet the needs of those waiting for local mental health services, potentially preventing individuals from requiring these services altogether.

We have definitely acted as a preventative service through the pandemic. We have been that first point of call to stop people going back into services or to stop people using services in the first instance. [RC28]

RCs often had limited resources despite their importance in relieving pressure on local mental health services. Reciprocal relationships with partners were seen as essential to the operation of RCs with limited resources and aspirations to deliver a wide variety of courses. Financial resources and equipment were exchanged alongside ideas for courses and community initiatives. However, organisational disruption due to COVID-19 left lasting challenges to sustaining effective partnerships.

People were kind of put on furlough [...] so, the opportunity to develop the community relationships was something that was massively, massively diminished. [RC15]

RC autonomy from host organisations also influenced RC operation during the pandemic. Generally, managers at third-sector RCs described the transition to digital delivery as efficient and agile, whereas NHS RC managers reported a longer transition with less decision-making autonomy. For example, some NHS RC managers were instructed to use inaccessible videoconferencing platforms that adhered to NHS Trust information governance policies, but were inappropriate for group course delivery. Whilst some managers negotiated permissions to use accessible platforms, others cancelled courses in response to accessibility issues.

The responsibility to support mental health services during the pandemic was seen as both a success and a burden as managers felt that RCs were expected to deliver beyond their capacity.

They expect us to be able to do X Y and Z. You kinda go, hang on, [...] we don't have tons of staff. [RC18]

Theme two: Changed ways of working

Organisational practices changed to overcome the disruption caused by COVID-19 to RC operation.

Face-to-face courses were initially suspended in March 2020 and courses delivered during the pandemic were sometimes cancelled or postponed due to facilitator and/or student sickness. It was not feasible to reliably reinstate socially distanced face-to-face course delivery at some RCs due to changing government-mandated lockdowns and difficulty accessing venues that could accommodate socially distanced course delivery.

One room we had designated to ourselves, [...] we could have five people in that room, but by [the] time you've got two of us in the College, it's not really worth running just for three people. [RC29]

Managers hoping to return to face-to-face course delivery expressed future aspirations to acquire more accessible physical bases with large outdoor spaces in community settings over smaller office spaces. Managers who reinstated face-to-face courses had to reduce student attendance due to social distancing restrictions. Managers who did not wish to reinstate face-to-face courses closed their physical buildings, saving costs and improving workforce efficiency through home working.

In the middle of COVID as we are, we haven't got the [student] numbers that I needed that building space for [RC07]

Financial resources were also re-directed to accommodate reduced outgoings due to home working and termination of ongoing projects curtailed by the pandemic. New funding opportunities became available to facilitate pandemic-related priorities, such as transitioning to digital course delivery.

Maintaining and building a stable core team during lockdowns could feel challenging. Changes to roles, responsibilities, and job security due to redeployment, redundancies, furlough schemes, and team mergers spelt uncertainty for RC workforces. Managers felt that the workplace and pandemic-related uncertainties were burdensome on the emotional wellbeing of RC workforces, many of whom experienced pre-existing mental health challenges.

Because we have staff with lived experience, the impact of the actual pandemic on their own wellbeing [...] has been massive in some cases. [RC21]

Informal online/telephone meetings became routine organisational practice to support workforce wellbeing and team identity.

Theme three: Navigating the rapid transition to digital delivery

The transition to digital delivery was considered a key pandemic-related change to RC operation. Rapid transition from face-to-face to digital course delivery required new equipment and digital skills training for the workforce. Obtaining these resources was a challenge for RCs with limited budgets, leading some RCs to close temporarily. Networking with other RC managers and digitally competent workforce members assisted the transition to digital delivery. Improved cultural acceptance and access to means of online communication made enacting plans for digital course delivery easier to justify during the pandemic.

The time was right during COVID to create the online platform [RC04]

Reluctance to deliver courses online, NHS redeployment, staff restructuring, and pandemic-related mental wellbeing struggles were common challenges to workforce retention at the beginning of the transition. For some RCs, this resulted in there being fewer lived experience and topic experts available to participate in coproduction. Some RCs offered a reduced selection of courses because of diminished coproduction. Managers with workforce members employed to create and maintain the coproduction processes experienced fewer challenges to continuing coproduction online.

We could develop these [...] courses that we think would be necessary and that did come [...] from feedback [...] so it was [...] very much based on the need highlighted [...] but actually the student involvement in the development of those courses was [...] greatly diminished. [RC15]

Technological problems such as delayed audio meant students would unintentionally interrupt each other, deterring them from making further contributions. These barriers to student engagement in online courses left facilitators feeling uncomfortable sharing sensitive lived experiences, making facilitation feel unfulfilling in some instances.

Every single person on the class had their camera off and [...] I've told my story in a room like that and it's like speaking into the void. It's horrible [RC22]

Online breakout rooms were used to create smaller 'study groups', making facilitation and in-course discussions more conversational. Course facilitators trialled videoconferencing platforms to improve confidence in their use and build an appreciation of the platform's accessibility. Roles were created to provide support for students experiencing technical difficulties so that facilitators could continue uninterrupted and online courses were shortened to reduce fatigue.

Methods to support student safety and confidentiality online were created, such as instructions on how to create a confidential space when participating in courses remotely. Individuals who became distressed during a call would often receive a private phone or video call from a member of the RC workforce to debrief. However, managers felt it was difficult to create a sensitive and comforting environment to address safeguarding concerns and student distress virtually.

It's really hard to sort of talk to someone really, openly and comfort them when it's not face-to-face. [RC20]

Theme four: Responding to isolation

Members of the RC community missed the welcoming in-person RC environment, the spontaneous conversation, and sense of community that came with face-to-face course delivery. Some managers felt that high attrition in online course engagement was related to challenges in creating a digital space that facilitated human connection.

There is something [...] very magical that happens in the classroom, face-to-face [...] you witness this amazing communication where students begin to answer their own questions and begin to help each other [...] we had many discussions around 'would we get this ever again over running virtual courses?' [RC03]

As reinstating face-to-face course delivery was challenging due to COVID-related anxieties, a variety of means to keep students connected to the RC community whilst adhering to social distancing restrictions were used. RC newsletters informing students about local activities and methods of coping during the lockdown were distributed.

Receiving digital skills training from the RC also generated tangible changes to student's lives and recovery; enabling students to connect with their friends, families, and wider social networks as well as building their own sense of autonomy and confidence.

It didn't matter what the content of the course was it was more important that we show them that they were capable of going online and speaking to other humans and that opened a whole new world to them [RC08]

Theme five: Changes to accessibility

Managers felt a duty to be inclusive because prospective students were likely to have unmet needs due to difficulty accessing inundated mental health services during the pandemic.

A lot of our clients get left behind from [...] families, from friends, from other support that they should be getting [...] So we had to make sure that we weren't included in that. [RC05]

Various means of accessing and engaging with the RC were created. RC websites and social media were updated with events and accessible educational materials such as self-help guides, podcasts, and webinars. Students were consulted on ideas for developing pilot activities, courses, and enrolment processes through feedback forms and/or focus groups. Offering a variety of courses using a blend of face-to-face and digital delivery modalities was a common method of accommodating access preferences. Many managers expressed aspirations to maintain their hybrid offer post-lockdown.

Transitioning to digital delivery was felt to overcome physical access barriers for carers, people living in remote or rural areas, forensic RC students with restricted access to community settings, and people with physical and/or mental health difficulties who find face-to-face attendance challenging.

Recognizing how many people in fact, that we had not reached because people could not attend our courses for whatever reasons. You know, either financial, mobility, [...] lack of public transport, anxiety around getting out of the house. But people could access courses online. [RC04]

Managers identified that the demographic characteristics of students changed after the transition to digital delivery. Some RCs enabled students nationally and internationally to attend their online courses. More men and younger people, but fewer individuals from marginalised ethnicities attended digitally delivered courses in some cases. More NHS staff joined online courses for their own mental health needs during the pandemic, fostering common connection with individuals they may otherwise

categorise as patients in their professional lives. Course content and educational materials were also tailored to address the emotional wellbeing concerns experienced by NHS staff.

The impact that [...] the whole COVID situation would have on the NHS was very similar to the trauma that [...] armed forces have when they're out in any field of operation. [...] So we thought actually we can really apply some of our knowledge [...] and we built a self-help guide [RC08]

Digital poverty became a barrier to RC access during the pandemic. Online courses were challenging to access for members of the RC community who could not afford IT equipment or lacked digital skills. Resources to combat digital poverty and exclusion were acquired by RCs with partnerships with technology organisations and access to funding. Evidence of coproduction in digital inclusion strategies at RCs could support funding applications for IT equipment.

We've got a development group, which is a coproduced group, but it's students and volunteers and they worked out how they thought digital inclusion could work and that's what sort of backed up our bid for funding in regard to getting the iPads. [RC27]

Discussion

Two key pandemic-related changes to RC operation are highlighted: (1) the use of some RCs as accessible preventative services that relieve pressure on clinical mental health services and (2) a transition to digital course delivery and aspirations to hybrid delivery that was underpinned by a commitment to accessibility and inclusivity for those with mental health needs.

Psychologist's caseloads and waiting lists for mental health services increased during the pandemic (18), indicating the need for innovation in relieving pressure on these services. Some participants observed that attending RC courses could be sufficient for students to feel that they no longer need local mental health services. This finding is supported by a pre-experimental study identifying RCs as effective strategies to support self-regulation after finding a significant reduction in RC student self-rated anxiety three-months after completing an online RC course compared to baseline (19). Collaborations between RCs and mental health services may be facilitated by the NHS Community Mental Health Framework (20) which advocates for improved access to community mental health services. However, RCs are not replacements for clinical or therapeutic services (2). Access to finances, staff, and equipment vary across RCs depending on the strength of their relationships with partner organisations. RCs capacity to relieve pressure from clinical and therapeutic services may differ on a case-by-case basis.

RC workforces in England reacted to the March 2020 Government-mandated lockdown by transitioning to digital delivery or finding other means to connect the RC community (21). Many participants expressed desire to continue providing courses digitally post-lockdown. The coproduced pedagogical orientation uniquely positions RCs to develop and deliver digital skills training and educational resources to support the wellbeing of individuals with mental health needs. Participants observed such digital skills training enabled students to connect with their wider social networks. As RC staff became equipped to provide digital skills training, RCs could continue to be a valuable resource for mental health services in tackling the impact of digital poverty on contemporary mental health service provision (22, 23).

RCs are also likely to affect greater recovery-oriented change at the service level if given opportunities to develop an alternative culture to their host organisation (24). A benefit of RCs embedded within mental health organisations is that they have a relationship with statutory infrastructures but the autonomy to act outside of them (25). Close relationships with host organisations and collaborations with partner organisations brought funding, topic and lived experience, and other resources like digital technology required for RCs to continue their operation and provide digital skills training during the pandemic. Autonomy to act beyond statutory infrastructures, such as NHS bureaucracy, enabled RCs to use digital technology that met a variety of access needs and supported maintenance of a workforce required to coproduce and co-facilitate courses. Effective collaborations may therefore require a balance between harnessing the strength and quality of the relationship between RCs and their host organisations and supporting the identity of RCs as autonomous from, and complementary to, mental health services.

More healthcare staff were felt to have attended RC courses, potentially due to the high burnout and psychological distress experienced by NHS staff following the pandemic (26). Participants noted that this increased interactions between healthcare staff and service-user students which may balance power dynamics known to be detrimental to the wellbeing of those accessing mental health services (27).

Strengths and limitations

This is the first multi-site study exploring the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on RCs in the UK. Input to the design, data collection and analysis from LEAP co-researchers brought nuanced insights, supported reflexivity, and improved the applicability and accessibility of the findings. Participant perspectives may be biased towards managers with positive attitudes towards their RC's transition to digital delivery as interviews were only conducted with those who agreed to be interviewed via videocall. Moreover, demographic data was not collected from managers, meaning that relationships between the participant's characteristics and themes in the data cannot be concluded. Managers who started their role at the RC during the pandemic were unable to provide complete accounts of pandemic-related changes to the RC but were able to provide details of more recent pandemic-related adaptations.

Future directions for research

Two risks of delivering courses digitally were highlighted in the interviews: (1) increased difficulty in managing student distress and (2) increased peer trainer discomfort in sharing personal stories about their lived experience to virtual audiences that are not explicitly engaged. Many managers expressed future aspirations to offer hybrid face-to-face and digital course delivery options, yet published information on overcoming harms to digital peer trainer work is sparse.

Digital poverty and exclusion were also barriers to RCs digital provision, particularly for individuals belonging to marginalised ethnicities. The Digital Poverty Alliance recognises the relationship between ethnic minorities and digital inequality as under-researched (28). Further research is therefore required to explore how digital RC courses can be delivered in a safe and accessible way.

Declaration of interest: None.

Funding statement: This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR, reference 200605, 2020-2025).

Acknowledgements: We thank the ImROC Action Learning Set for their contributions. Mike Slade acknowledges the support of the NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre.

Author contribution: KS, MM, VL, DH, CH, MS, and HJ conceptualised the study. All authors contributed to the study design. VL, SB, HHB, DD, KS, JGR, MM and SL facilitated the data collection. HHB, DD, VL, HJ, KS, YK, DE, JGR, JC, KB, MH, PB, RM, ST, SL, MM and AR analysed the data. All authors contributed to data interpretation and to drafting and critically revising the manuscript. All authors approved the final draft.

Transparency Declaration: The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the reported study; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from MM.

References:

1. Leamy M, Bird V, Le Boutillier C, Williams J, Slade M. Conceptual framework for personal recovery in mental health: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2011;199(6):445-52.
2. Perkins R, Meddings S, Williams S, Repper J. Recovery Colleges 10 years on Nottingham: ImROC, Centre for Mental Health; 2018 [Available from: <https://imroc.org/resource/15-recovery-colleges-10-years-on/>].
3. Theriault J, Lord MM, Briand C, Piat M, Meddings S. Recovery Colleges after a decade of research: a literature review. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2020;71(9):928-40.
4. Toney R, Knight J, Hamill K, Taylor A, Henderson C, Crowther A, et al. Development and evaluation of a Recovery College fidelity measure. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2019;64(6):405-14.
5. Hayes D, Camacho EM, Ronaldson A, Stepanian K, McPhilbin M, Elliott RA, et al. Evidence-based Recovery Colleges: developing a typology based on organisational characteristics, fidelity and funding. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2023.
6. Tromans S, Chester V, Harrison H, Pankhania P, Booth H, Chakraborty N. Patterns of use of secondary mental health services before and during COVID-19 lockdown: observational study. *BJPsych Open*. 2020;6(6):e117.
7. Liberati E, Richards N, Parker J, Willars J, Scott D, Boydell N, et al. Qualitative study of candidacy and access to secondary mental health services during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2022;296:114711.
8. Briand C, de Medeiros JM, Vallée C, Luconi F, Drolet M-J, Monthuy-Blanc J, et al. Initial evidence of the effectiveness of a short, online Recovery College Model: a co-learning model to support mental health in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Recovery Ment Health*. 2023;6(1):29–37.
9. Briand C, Hakin R, Macario de Medeiros J, Luconi F, Vachon B, Drolet M-J, et al. Learner Experience of an Online Co-Learning Model to Support Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023;20(3):2498.
10. Hayes D, Henderson C, Bakolis I, Lawrence V, Elliott RA, Ronaldson A, et al. Recovery Colleges Characterisation and Testing in England (RECOLLECT): rationale and protocol. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2022;22(1):627.
11. Price A, Clarke M, Staniszewska S, Chu L, Tembo D, Kirkpatrick M, et al. Patient and Public Involvement in research: a journey to co-production. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2022;105(4):1041-7.
12. Jennings H, Slade M, Bates P, Munday E, Toney R. Best practice framework for Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) in collaborative data analysis of qualitative mental health research: methodology development and refinement. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2018;18(1):1-11.
13. Ritchie J, Lewis J, Lewis PSPJ, Nicholls CMN, Ormston R. Qualitative research practice: a guide for social science students and researchers: SAGE Publications; 2013.
14. Wright M, Kongats K. Participatory health research-voices from around the world. Berlin: Springer; 2019.
15. Moro MF, Pathare S, Zinkler M, Osei A, Puras D, Paccial RC, et al. The WHO QualityRights initiative: building partnerships among psychiatrists, people with lived experience and other key stakeholders to improve the quality of mental healthcare. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2021;220(2):49-51.
16. Lambert N, Carr S. 'Outside the Original Remit': co-production in UK mental health research, lessons from the field. *Int J Ment Health Nurs*. 2018;27(4):1273-81.
17. Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample size in qualitative interview studies: guided by information power. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2016;26(13):1753-60.
18. Sammons MT, Elchert DM, Martin JN. Mental health service provision during COVID-19: results of the third survey of licensed psychologists. *J Health Serv Psychol*. 2021;47(3):119-27.
19. Rapisarda F, Macario de Medeiros J, Briand C, Boivin A, Monthuy-Blanc J, Vallée C, et al. Assessing changes in anxiety, empowerment, stigma and wellbeing in participants attending an

online-based Recovery College in Quebec during the COVID-19 pandemic: a pre-experimental study. *International Journal of Public Health*. 2022;67:1604735.

20. NHS Improvement and the National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. The Community Mental Health Framework for adults and older adults: NHS England; 2019 [Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/the-community-mental-health-framework-for-adults-and-older-adults/>].

21. Yoeli H, Ryan A, Hensby C, Habermehl F, Burton S, Sin J. Recovery in Mind: a Recovery College's journey through the COVID-19 pandemic. *Health Expect*. 2022;25(6):3274-86.

22. Crawford A, Serhal E. Digital health equity and COVID-19: the innovation curve cannot reinforce the social gradient of health. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(6):e19361.

23. Spanakis P, Peckham E, Mathers A, Shiers D, Gilbody S. The digital divide: amplifying health inequalities for people with severe mental illness in the time of COVID-19. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2021;219(4):529-31.

24. Crowther A, Taylor A, Toney R, Meddings S, Whale T, Jennings H, et al. The impact of Recovery Colleges on mental health staff, services and society. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2019;28(5):481-8.

25. Woolsey A, Mulvale G. Recovery College features and context: advancing a recovery and well-being policy agenda. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*. 2022;26(1):23-33.

26. Petrella AR, Hughes L, Fern LA, Monaghan L, Hannon B, Waters A, et al. Healthcare staff well-being and use of support services during COVID-19: a UK perspective. *General psychiatry*. 2021;34(3):e100458.

27. World Health Organisation. Guidance on community mental health services Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2021 [Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/341648>].

28. Allmann K. UK digital poverty evidence review 2022: Digital Poverty Alliance; 2022 [Available from: <https://digitalpovertyalliance.org/uk-digital-poverty-evidence-review-2022/>].

Author Affiliations:

¹ School of Health Sciences, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, UK

² Health Service and Population Research Department, King's College London Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience

³ Department of Architecture & Built Environment, Buildings, Energy & Environment Research Group, University of Nottingham

⁴ RECOLLECT Lived Experience Advisory Panel (LEAP), UK

⁵ College of Health, Wellbeing and Life Sciences, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, S10 2BP

⁶ Peter Bates Associates, 96 Burlington Road, Nottingham NG5 2GS, UK

⁷ Nottingham University Business School, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG8 1BB, UK

⁸ Research Department of Behavioural Science and Health, Institute of Epidemiology & Health Care, University College London, Torrington Place, London WC1E 7HB, UK

⁹ Professor of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, 319 Peck Street, New Haven, CT06514, USA

¹⁰ Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, St. Pancras Hospital, London, UK

¹¹ Social Work and Social Policy, School of Allied Health, Human Services and Sport, La Trobe University 2 Kingsbury Drive, Bundoora, 3086, Victoria, Australia

¹² ImROC, Duncan Macmillan House, Mapperley, Nottingham NG3 6AA, UK

¹³ Nord University, Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Health and Community Participation Division, Postbox 474, 7801 Namsos, Norway

¹⁴ Social Epidemiology Research Group, Health Service and Population Research Department, King's College London Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK

*Corresponding author: Merly McPhilbin, merly.mcphilbin@nottingham.ac.uk

Table 1. Organisational and student characteristics (n=31)

	<i>M±SD, n(%)</i>
Length of time in operation (years)	5.8±2.5
Location	
<i>Urban</i>	8 (25.8)
<i>Suburban</i>	4 (12.9)
<i>Rural</i>	-
<i>Mixed</i>	19 (61.3)
Number of students per year (<i>median, IQR</i>)	375 (155 to 960)
Who is the college for?	
<i>Individuals with lived MH experience but not using secondary services</i>	23 (74.2)
<i>Individuals using secondary MH services</i>	30 (96.8)
<i>Individuals using specialist MH services</i>	30 (96.8)
<i>Informal carers</i>	28 (90.3)
<i>MH workers</i>	26 (83.9)
<i>Other MH staff</i>	26 (83.9)
<i>General public</i>	19 (61.3)
Estimated proportion (%) of ethnic groups attending the college (N=29) (<i>median, IQR</i>)	
<i>Asian/Asian British</i>	6.2±6.3
<i>Black/Black British</i>	8.2±11.1
<i>Mixed/Mixed British</i>	5.7±6.6
<i>White/White British</i>	74.7±21.8
<i>Other</i>	5.1±5.2
Main organisational affiliation	
<i>Statutory health service, e.g. NHS Trust</i>	24 (77.4)
<i>Non-governmental organisation</i>	10 (32.3)
<i>Local authority, e.g. council</i>	2 (6.4)
<i>Independent</i>	2 (6.4)
<i>Other health, e.g. private healthcare provider</i>	-
<i>Education provider, e.g. university or college</i>	1 (3.2)
<i>Other</i>	1 (3.2)
Number of colleges reporting funding from (N,%):	
<i>CCG</i>	12 (38.7)
<i>NHS Trust</i>	19 (58.1)
<i>Charity</i>	8 (25.8)
<i>Self-funded</i>	-
<i>Independent</i>	-
<i>Other</i>	7 (22.6)
Recovery College cluster	
<i>Cluster 1 (strengths oriented)</i>	22 (66.7)
<i>Cluster 2 (community oriented)</i>	7 (21.2)
<i>Cluster 3 (forensic)</i>	2 (6.1)

CCG= clinical commissioning group; IQR=interquartile range; MH=mental health; NHS=National Health Service;
SD=standard deviation

Table 2. Superordinate themes and corresponding quotes

Theme	Example quote
Theme one: Complex organisational relationships	<i>So, I described our Recovery College in COVID as being a third-party sector provider in an NHS system [RC02]</i>
	<i>Secondary care services are not still not doing an awful lot of face-to-face in-person stuff, which then means a kind of demand backs up. So, we're getting more asked of us [RC18]</i>
	<i>There started to be this real goodwill emerging, there already was, but [...] it was different before the pandemic. People weren't quite as willing to share like course materials and stuff like that [RC21]</i>
Theme two: Changed ways of working	<i>It just feels it feels quite relentless through the constant change, constant adapting [RC19]</i>
	<i>Our provision [...] has completely changed [RC27]</i>
	<i>Because things have been so up and down [...] it's been difficult sometimes to plan things [RC26]</i>
Theme three: Navigating the rapid transition to digital delivery.	<i>We had to survive. We had to offer people something [...] we were very aware that our students were left with nothing [RC03]</i>
	<i>It had to be [...] quite reactive and quite responsive at the time, we didn't really have that opportunity to set up official processes for it because [...] the focus was on getting people online and engaged to some degree as quickly as possible [RC15]</i>
Theme four: Responding to loneliness and isolation	<i>Our clients, they needed a space where they were meeting people [...] a lot of them are vulnerable, they were confined to their rooms [...] we needed to have a platform for them to come to learn, to be inspired, to grow [RC05]</i>
	<i>In our mind was people being isolated, lonely, and not knowing where to get support and we felt like we needed to respond to that [RC02]</i>
Theme five: Changes to accessibility	<i>It's one of those 'you win some, you lose some' kind of things [RC01]</i>
	<i>When I came in it was all online because of the pandemic and then we've tried to go back to face-to-face, which we have and we've got a hybrid model: some online, some not [RC25]</i>

Supplementary material

S1: Topic guide

RECOLLECT Topic Guide for qualitative interviews (WP1)

For Interviewer

Content will be used for 2 papers:

1) Paper 03: The national impact of COVID-19 on mental health recovery support from Recovery Colleges: qualitative study

The aim of this study is to explore

- How the pandemic has affected Recovery Colleges (including what it was like prior to the pandemic)
- How Recovery Colleges have operated during the pandemic
- How changes made during the pandemic, may be taken forward (if at all)

2) Paper 04: The organisational context and history of RCs in England

The aim of this study is to explore

- How and why Recovery Colleges were set up
- Choices that were made in during early development
- Changes which have happened since early development of the Recovery Colleges
- Future directions of the Recovery College

Four Areas to cover:

1. History of the Recovery College (Paper 04)
2. Pre-pandemic operation (Paper 03, Paper 04)
3. Modifications due to the pandemic (Paper 03)
4. Post pandemic plans (Paper 03, Paper 04)

TOPIC GUIDE BEGINS

Introduction

Thank you for spending the time to talk with us today. Although we call this an 'interview', it's much more of a conversation. We are looking to gain a deeper understanding of how Recovery Colleges operated in the past, how they have changed as a result of the pandemic, and the future direction of Recovery Colleges.

We're impartial and independent observers who are here to learn; this is not an evaluation. Every college is different so there are no right and wrong answers. Please feel free to say as much or as little as you like in response to each question.

Similarly, if there are any questions that you don't feel comfortable answering then please do ask to skip the question. You don't have to tell me why. Also, please feel free to pause the interview to take a break or stop the interview at any point.

Everything you say will be kept confidential unless you disclose to us any unreported criminal activity or that either you or someone else is at risk from harm, in which case we will have to inform someone else. This is because we need to do what we can to keep everyone safe. Any quotes we use in publications or outputs will also be anonymised.

I will begin the recording shortly. We will have to use video recording but please be assured that we will only be using the audio from the recording. Please do feel free to turn your camera off if this is more comfortable for you or if you don't wish to be video recorded.

I will now start the recording and the transcription.

*START RECORDING

[Note to interviewer: note all components identified as modified during pandemic on the survey and explore in Section 3 questions.]

[Note to interviewer: Do not ask managers for their full name at any point in the interview]

Opening Question: Can you tell me about how you first got involved in the Recovery College?

Prompts (if needed)

- *What is your role?*
- *Is this the first Recovery College you have worked in?*

[Note to interviewer: depending on the opening questions, some /all questions in Section 1 may be difficult to answer]

Section 1: History of the RC (Paper 4)

1) Can you tell us when and why your Recovery College was set up?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Where did the idea come from?*
- *What was the Recovery College set up to achieve?*
- *How does the Recovery College fit with wider activities and services in the organisation?*

2) Could you tell us a briefly about the early development of the Recovery College?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Key people or groups involved in development*
- *Things that helped*
- *Key challenges or obstacles (e.g. administrative/bureaucratic, resistance, resources)*

3) Could you tell me about how the Recovery College has changed since it has been opened?

Prompts (if needed)

- *What were the key changes?*
- *What was the process of change?*

Section 2: Pre-pandemic operation (Paper 3 and Paper 4)

4) What are the most important components of your Recovery College?

Prompts (if needed)

- *[Check Q's 22-31 (particular groups catered to) on survey responses] In the survey, you mention that your Recovery College caters to [group(s) of student], in what ways is this group catered to?*
- *What do you think is most important for the students?*
- *What do you think is most important to the staff?*

5) What does your Recovery College do to support students in working towards their goals?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Who or what influenced that decision and why?*
- *Who does this approach work better or worse for?*

6) Prior to the pandemic, what were the main barriers for students wanting to access your Recovery College?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Did the target student group(s) feel the college was relevant to them?*
- *Please could you tell me more about any access barriers you're aware of at the Recovery College?*
- *Were there other options you were aware people used instead of your college? If so, why?*

Section 3: Modifications due to the pandemic (Paper 03)

7) How did you keep the Recovery College running during the pandemic?

Prompts (if needed)

- *How were the values of the Recovery College implemented during the pandemic?*
- *How were the workforce prepared to manage pandemic related changes at the Recovery College?*
- *If new technologies were implemented, how were students and staff supported in using this technology?*
- *Who or what helped implement these changes?*

8) How has the approach to co-production and adult education at the Recovery College changed since the start of the pandemic, if at all?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Have you noticed any changes to the relationships between staff and students?*
- *Have you noticed any changes to the process of co-production and adult education?*

9) Please tell us more about any other changes you've noticed about the Recovery College since the beginning of the pandemic.

Prompts (if needed)

- *[Check Q's 60-72 (fidelity changes due to the pandemic) and Q's 166-167 (budget changes due to the pandemic) on survey responses] You mentioned in the survey that XXX changed as a result of the pandemic, please could you tell us more about this?*

- *Any changes to how Recovery College managers communicate with each other?*
- *Any changes to how managerial decisions are made?*

10) Have you noticed any changes to equality, diversity, and inclusion at the Recovery College since the start of the pandemic?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Are there any areas that you think have improved?*
- *Are there any areas that you would like to see improve further?*

Post pandemic plans (Paper 03, Paper 04)

11) What changes that have been implemented at the Recovery College during the pandemic do you intend to keep, if any?

Prompts (if needed)

- *Who or what influenced that decision and why?*

12) What are the next priorities for the development of your Recovery College in the future?

Prompts (if needed)

- *How would you like to see the Recovery College develop in the next 2 years?*
- *What future changes would you like to make?*

13) Is there anything else you would like to add before I stop the recording?

Thank you for generously taking the time to talk to us and answer our questions. I will now stop the recording.

***STOP RECORDING**

What we'll do now is send the audio to be transcribed. We will then compare the transcript with transcripts of our interviews with other Recovery College managers to find patterns and themes. If you have any questions, please do let us know or email us at RECOLLECT@kcl.ac.uk.

TOPIC GUIDE ENDS

S2: Framework version 1



S3: Framework version 2

Theme 1: Changed ways of working

- Subtheme 1.1: Shutting buildings in favour of open spaces and home working
- Subtheme 1.2: Responding to broader health and wellbeing needs in the COVID context
- Subtheme 1.3: Challenges to creating and maintaining a stable core team
- Subtheme 1.4: 'we just cut our cloth accordingly': Adapting according to budget

Theme 2: Navigating the rapid transition to digital delivery

- Subtheme 2.1: Equipping the Recovery College for online delivery
- Subtheme 2.2: Benefits and drawbacks to engaging online
- Subtheme 2.3: Challenges of keeping coproduction alive online
- Subtheme 2.4: Protecting the Recovery College community online

Theme 3: Responding to loneliness and isolation in lockdown

- Subtheme 3.1: Losing the human connection
- Subtheme 3.2: Building connections as a priority for the RC over lockdown

Theme 4: 'You win some you lose some'; Changes to access

- Subtheme 4.1: Creating options that are inclusive and respect choice
- Subtheme 4.2: Overcoming physical barriers to accessing the RC
- Subtheme 4.3: 'the demographic profiles changed': Changes to the RC community
- Subtheme 4.4: Digital poverty and exclusion

Theme 5: The impact of complex organisational relationships

- Subtheme 5.1: Benefitting from reciprocal relationships with partners
- Subtheme 5.2: Fighting for control with the host organisation
- Subtheme 5.3: The re-positioning of RCs within the MH system during the pandemic

S4: Framework version 3

Theme 1: Changed ways of working	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 1.1: Shutting buildings in favour of home working• 1.2: Adapting the Recovery College offer• 1.3: Challenges to creating and maintaining a stable core team• 1.4: 'We just cut our cloth accordingly'
Theme 2: Navigating the rapid transition to digital delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2.1: Equipping the Recovery College for online delivery• 2.2: Overcoming challenges of running courses online• 2.3: Challenges of keeping course coproduction and cofacilitation alive• 2.4: Protecting the Recovery College community
Theme 3: Responding to loneliness and isolation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 3.1: Losing the human connection• 3.2: Building connections
Theme 4: 'You win some, you lose some'	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 4.1: Creating options for engagement• 4.2: Overcoming physical access barriers• 4.3: 'The demographic profiles changed'• 4.4: Digital poverty and exclusion
Theme 5: Complex organisational relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 5.1: 'You scratch my back I'll scratch yours'• 5.2: 'Captain of our own ship'• 5.3: The re-positioning of Recovery Colleges within the mental health system

S5: Quote table

Theme	Quote [ID]
Theme one: Complex organisational relationships	<i>So, I described our Recovery College in COVID as being a third-party sector provider in an NHS system [RC02]</i>
	<i>Secondary care services are not still not doing an awful lot of face-to-face in-person stuff, which then means a kind of demand backs up. So, we're getting more asked of us [RC18]</i>
	<i>There started to be this real goodwill emerging, there already was, but [...] it was different before the pandemic. People weren't quite as willing to share like course materials and stuff like that [RC21]</i>
	<i>Another positive is that we are integral now to our mental health system. Uh, and we've worked hard over the last 4, 5 years to go from an organisation, like a project of 'is this going to stay? Is that gunna stick?' to now being a central part to the delivery for our community mental health transformation, the training for our communities, our voluntary and community sector and our staff members too. [RC17]</i>
	<i>It's not just COVID that's happened there's the primary care networks that have happened and there's an integrated care system that happened, there's mental health transformation that's happened. So, Recovery Colleges this year last two years probably faced alongside other people some really big challenges and to find it, find its place and position [RC02]</i>
	<i>We get talking therapies who are very busy and have long waiting lists, signposting people to come to the college to access this course as a holding platform. So, we've got a big, we now have a waiting list but it's really important because quite often having attended the 11-weeks course people would decide they don't need the talking therapies so.... But, you know, their needs have been met and so, you know, they go off and they're quite happy. [RC03]</i>
	<i>We've evolved depending on need because we're actually wanting support our CMHTs as well. So, what we then decided to do was to very much specialize in mental ill health strategies. So rather than be like a Recovery College that offers, uhm, art groups, music groups, those kind of groups, we, we felt that they were, could be offered in the community within the voluntary sector. [RC30]</i>
	<i>We have definitely acted as a preventative service through the pandemic. We have been that first point of call to stop people going back into services or to stop people using services in the first instance. [RC28]</i>
	<i>we get talking therapies who are very busy and have long waiting lists, signposting people to come to the college to access this course as a holding platform. So, we've got a big, we now have a waiting list but it's really important because quite often having attended the 11-weeks course people would decide they don't need the talking therapies [RC03]</i>
	<i>We just give stuff to people and then if you give stuff to people, other organisations etc., they're actually usually quite nice to you back. [RC18]</i>
	<i>People were kind of put on furlough and bits and pieces like that. So, the opportunity to develop the community relationships was something that was massively, massively diminished, and also it is so vital for the success of what we do here [RC15]</i>

	<i>Obviously, I mean there was a period of about three months when basically everything stopped for everyone and other people have resumed at slightly different rates. But from our point of view, the fact that we've got, you know, five floors and god knows how many thousands and thousands of square feet that it adds up to, I should know but... something like 20-30 thousand square feet or whatever it is...Um has meant that, you know, we've been able to kind of foster more collaboration. We've just said to people, "Look, we've got big open spaces, bloody use them." And, you know, but [long pause]. Yeah, I still don't think anybody is operating really at full tilt. [RC18]</i>
	<i>We're very lucky here in that we're part of a Trust. We're not, we're not commissioned. So, we're just funded by the Trust. Umm. So that gives us a certain amount of kind of freedom, you know, to in terms of how we kept going. [RC25]</i>
	<i>A couple of the voluntary and community sector partners were quicker getting on to Zoom and delivering. They're not governed by the same tight NHS regulations that we had so they, some were quicker than ours. [RC17]</i>
	<i>We were hit with this that the Trust, wouldn't buy license for our service or anywhere. So, Microsoft Teams is what we've told we've just got to use, which doesn't, huge issues with it but we keep trying and we're working very hard with our information governance and IT department at the moment. You know they've looked at our technology, but the biggest problems come because of the technology that student, Microsoft team takes a lot more bandwidth, the audio control, everything like that is not good enough for our students that a lot of them were just using phones or iPads, or they're in a home with very poor Wi-Fi connection. So huge issues with services and we've had to this term, we've had to cancel a few workshops. We didn't feel it was fair to put students through a couple. We had disastrous couple of sessions, people almost in tears, trainers who were struggling because it, people get getting cut off and but anyhow, that's so where we are at the moment. [RC29]</i>
	<i>Sometimes other people think we're more cap-, not more capable than we are, think that we think that we've got almost more capacity than we have. And so, they expect us to be able to do X Y and Z. You kinda go, hang on, you know we don't have tons of staff. You know? You know, people get into, "Oh can your PA not sort that out?" No, don't have a PA. Who the hell's got a PA? You know, you know. [Laughs] We do our own scheduling, we do our own emails, do our own, yeah. So that's, um, I dunno, a drawback of having a degree of success is that people almost kind of see you as being the solution to their problems, and it's like, well, could you ask us first? [Laughs] You know, if we can do that. So that's a bit awkward. [RC18]</i>
Theme two: Changed ways of working	<i>It just feels it feels quite relentless through the constant change, constant adapting [RC19]</i>
	<i>Our provision like I say it has completely changed [RC27]</i>
	<i>Because things have been so up and down. It's been like or we can open now closed and that sort of thing we've just done our prospectus over a couple of terms. So, it's been difficult sometimes to plan things [RC26]</i>

	<i>We did put a stop to face-to-face sessions, naturally, as we had to follow national guidelines. [RC15]</i>
	<i>Staff sickness, staff isolating. At times, you know, when the wards were shut, we weren't able to facilitate [RC06]</i>
	<i>I think we were possibly the only Recovery College that went back to in person in the autumn 2020, a little brave-stroke-foolish. I think most people stayed online, but we wanted to do both, so we went back to in person as well. We had to then cancel everything in [month] when we went back into lockdown, but we did have in person again for [a year later] and then we had to cancel everything in person, and we did [a few months ago] purely online. [RC14]</i>
	<i>One room we had designated to ourselves, although others would use it on the days that we weren't operating, is small with no window, very small and we've deemed it no, not, well, we could have five people in that room, but by time you've got two of us in the college, it's not really worth running just for three people. So yeah, we're struggling at the moment to find venues across, that aren't already booked [RC29]</i>
	<i>I thought the only sensible thing here is to close the building actually because it doesn't give me any flexibility, and at the moment just post-COVID or kind of in the middle of COVID as we are, we haven't got the numbers that I needed that building space for [RC07]</i>
	<i>One of those things that is a concern is attendance to those sessions because we have to limit numbers, which mean if a couple people don't come and you've got a waiting list, of people wanting to come, it irks a little bit [RC02]</i>
	<i>I think that has made a real difference. Actually, having some virtual capacity. It is easier for staff and there's something about, I don't know if it was something about yeah staff getting time to go to a location to do a face to face course or whether there was more like a oh gosh, is there one on my caseload going be there [RC09]</i>
	<i>I think that has made a real difference. Actually, having some virtual capacity. It is easier for staff and there's something about, I don't know if it was something about yeah staff getting time to go to a location to do a face to face course or whether there was more like a oh gosh, is there one on my caseload going be there [RC09]</i>
	<i>'Cause, we were entirely online and over the telephone we were running I reckon maybe like maybe four times the amount of groups that we were doing compared with when I started when we were face-to-face. So actually, all provision and on our offer increased a hell of a lot. [RC15]</i>
	<i>We just kind of aligned to ok, well what money do we have in the coffers and, you know, what money is coming in and 'cause we've done our budgets for face-to-face delivery but you know everything from travel, accommodation, you know all of that, we all under spent massively on our budgets because we weren't sort of going out and that out and about doing activities so everything online was cheaper to do so we just cut our cloth accordingly [RC08]</i>
	<i>Through pandemic funding during the lottery, [name of charity] won a contract won [thousands of pounds] or something like that and decided to fund an extra post that's half time with us, and half time with [name of charity] and his role at [name of charity] is to set up recovery groups online. [RC14]</i>

	<i>We did obviously have to take massive advantage of the furlough scheme. We have done a complete restructure and you know lots of people were made redundant. [RC08]</i>
	<i>I think the biggest one was actually the merging. The merging of the two teams particularly in developing new infrastructure around that [RC15]</i>
	<i>Because we have staff with lived experience, the impact of the actual pandemic on their own wellbeing and their own fears and worries and anxieties has been massive in some cases. [RC21]</i>
	<i>We set up pairings in the team and they changed every week (clears throat) and you had to have a telephone call, not an onscreen telephone call with your buddy at some point that week, just as a sociable- what you would have had while you were waiting for the kettle to boil or when you walked over the road to get a sandwich, those kind of conversations that we suddenly weren't having. Uhm, and that didn't always go down very well, people find it very forced and- but it also, for others, was very welcome, just have some social contact. [RC24]</i>
	<i>We did use to try and get people together for like a weekly chat really with all the volunteers and stuff to try and keep people going and in the end set up a buddy system but one of them came up with a quote of something, it's something along the lines of "we're all in the same storm, but we're not all in the same boat", and they all kind of realized that then, that meant you know some people are going to want to do this, some people need to, someone may not be able to. [RC28]</i>
Theme three: Navigating the rapid transition to digital delivery	<i>We had to survive. We had to offer people something and we had to, we're very, we were very aware that our students were left with nothing [RC03]</i>
	<i>It had to be kind of quite reactive and quite responsive at the time, we didn't really have that opportunity to set up official processes for it because, you know, actually the focus was on getting people online and engaged to some degree as quickly as possible [RC15]</i>
	<i>We wasn't set up to deliver online, we wasn't set up to work remotely. You know, so we actually had to go out and buy quite a lot of IT equipment to make sure that we could offer that [RC15]</i>
	<i>I guess another factor that was challenging is that we have such a small budget, and we were expected to buy all new laptops. We had volunteers that didn't necessarily have computers at home, so we had to try and get laptops out to them and that that was difficult. I would say the actual laying our hands on physical equipment was probably more of a challenge than actually getting used to the software. [RC20]</i>
	<i>I run daily CPD hints and tips of just normal, you know, how do you change your screen on Teams or something because in the office you would suddenly, you'd see someone doing something it be like. "How did you do that? How did your screen just split in two", you know, and you really quickly show somebody something new and they go, "Oh wow, you know that's completely changed my way of working." We don't have that anymore actually and some, you know, different team members are really, either sort of really agile at learning new things and sort of saying "hey, I've just noticed you know, how did you appear twice on the screen" (laughs) and they're good at asking and other people just sit quietly thinking "I'll just carry on doing what I've always done. [RC08]</i>

	<i>We were quite lucky. Our coordinator was quite tech savvy and definitely lead on things like our podcasts and things like that. [RC16]</i>
	<i>We were part of the ImROC Recovery College, sort of, what are they called? Learning sets at the time. So, we went from doing those face to face to virtual. So that was, what was quite useful was they started doing lots of emails, people were emailing back and forth going 'we've done this', or sharing, like, things like uhm, 'we have set up a webinar', or like, you know, the kind of guidance on them and things like that. So, there was lots of sharing of bits. That was quite helpful [RC16]</i>
	<i>We had thought about going and offering online courses, but I just felt that it wouldn't have been the time. I don't think people would have really, it wouldn't have had the momentum or the, I don't know, just the time was right during COVID to create the online platform [RC04]</i>
	<i>We've always wanted to go online but actually we didn't really know how and what that would look like and the IG hoops that we would need to jump through for being an NHS service, you know, it's incredible but it forced the issue and it's proved that it works. [RC17]</i>
	<i>We found a lot of people now have that means that wouldn't have had it completely years ago. [RC13]</i>
	<i>We found it really difficult to engage people in co-production online. It just [shakes head]. Yeah, we did try, but at the same time I think our efforts, not through the want of trying, but it was circumstantial as well. Actually, we couldn't operate in the same way, you know, meeting students and supporting students when we're face-to-face. We can have that recap after the group, we can have that supervision after the session. Offering that same level of support over the telephone or over Zoom. It was really, really difficult. We did have some groups continue to be co-facilitated, but they massively reduced down in numbers, which was an unfortunate by-product of it and the same for the development of courses. It got- it was a conscious decision. We could have carried on with the six courses that we had, the six or seven courses that we had set up which had been co-produced. It would be very difficult to deliver more if we have relied on that co-production. So, we made the conscious decision well actually, we could develop this kind of variety of courses that we think would be necessary and that did come-, some of that did come from feedback. we do kind of student satisfaction surveys and bits and pieces like that where people could have that input. So, it was kind of very much based on the need highlighted and the need highlighted in the initial assessments, but actually the student involvement in the development of those courses was kind of greatly diminished. [RC15]</i>
	<i>We went through quite difficult time in that people that we've have normally sort of come in with co-production with us, some of our volunteers and our experts by experience. We had to fight really hard to maintain those relationships. People were nervous people were scared at home and I think people, like with the media response and everything else in it definitely had an impact on people's mental health. So, we had some of our experts who were just not in a place to sort of work with us at that time. [RC20]</i>
	<i>When service users are used to being around a big table and you know we'll meet on Tuesday morning, have a cup of coffee and you know and you know it, it, it's a, it's a very difficult different kind of and, and yeah,</i>

	<i>there, there were technical issues and some people just don't like this. It's not, not because they can't do that. They just don't like it and don't want to do it. Thank you very much. [RC19]</i>
	<i>We've got focus groups all over the place for particular courses, and students are really ingrained in that development and that delivery of the service. Well, sorry, ingrained is probably the wrong word, we're starting to get that back again to where it where it once was and it's a key factor of the service and we want that co-production and we've actually got a co-production lead within the service who's responsible for ensuring that that process is followed appropriately. So, when we do have an idea for a new group, when a member suggests a new group, it actually goes through all of the right stages and especially when a when a wonderful position where we have got so much content, there's no mad panic. There's no immediate need to have new sessions introduced so we can do our due diligence with that and make sure that the students do have the involvement they should have and we can get those peer facilitators in place as well. [RC15]</i>
	<i>Every single person on the class had their camera off and I've done that and I've told my story in a room like that and it's like speaking into the void. It's horrible. You know, you don't even know if they're there. It's awful but then again they don't want to be on camera. [RC22]</i>
	<i>We did have a couple of people who had been linking in with the team under the sessional rate payments, who very quickly said "I love working with you guys, but this is not for me. I do not want to see myself on a screen. This is like literally my worst nightmare. I do not understand it. I do not get it. Let me know when you are doing face to face stuff in [county] and I'll come and help out again" [RC09]</i>
	<i>Another barrier was it had a time lag, so presenters would be talking over each other at times and students were trying to ask a question and think they'd managed to grab a silence and actually they, it came in on-so, we actually found interaction dropped because people were just afraid of interrupting and talking over somebody else, so that was harder. [RC24]</i>
	<i>We've also done things like, sort of, study groups 'cause what we were missing in a delivery, it feels a bit more like you're delivering too people when you're online and you haven't got the time space [RC16]</i>
	<i>For the rest of the team that time to build confidence and just to play around with all the functions and it doesn't matter if it goes wrong [RC09]</i>
	<i>We did some research and Zoom came back as being more user friendly. So, we asked our learning and development team if they had any views on that and they said that for people with additional learning needs that Zoom tends to be a bit more straightforward and that aided our decision to go with that. [RC20]</i>
	<i>We have a host who's our tech bod who sits behind the scenes and if anybody's struggling to get on or is having any IT issues, they try and support them while the trainers are still, kind of, able to deliver. [RC16]</i>
	<i>Most of the members of the team, the majority of the team said actually, an hour maximum feels like long enough. So, compared to our face-to-face courses where we'd meet, you know, for two hour session with a break in between, we're like, ok, we won't do whole courses. We will do</i>

	<i>virtual workshops, and they're going to be a maximum of an hour long. [RC09]</i>
	<i>In the classroom we usually do you know that sort of class agreement and how we work together, that's not so prescribed in the classroom, on Zoom it was fairly prescribed and long, we had three pages because we had to educate people about how the tech works. And cautions and things, like make sure you're not sitting in front of your phone bill magnet up on the fridge, you know that kind of thing [RC22]</i>
	<i>If you just a bit worried about someone it's really hard to sort of talk to someone really, openly and comfort them when it's not face-to-face. [RC20]</i>
	<i>In a classroom setting, you've got all these abilities to, kind of like, if somebody's struggling with something or you need a little bit of time, you can, kind of, set a little bit of individual or group work and then you can quietly talk to somebody. When you're online, everybody sees the one person you're talking to [RC16]</i>
	<i>Face-to-face when they walk in, you know, some people who have been self-neglecting and all of this you, you get those clues which online, is harder to do. [RC01]</i>
Theme four: Responding to isolation	<i>Our clients, they needed a space where they were meeting people. You know, a lot of them are vulnerable, they were confined to their rooms wherever they were living, and we needed to have a platform for them to come to learn, to be inspired, to grow despite that they were, you know, had to stay in one place. [RC05]</i>
	<i>In our mind was people being isolated, lonely, and not knowing where to get support and we felt like we needed to respond to that [RC02]</i>
	<i>We've stopped having the centres, a lot of [RC population demographic] are really unhappy about the fact that they no longer have a place that they can just turn up and go and have a coffee and chat to someone friendly [RC08]</i>
	<i>There is something sometimes quite often very magical that happens in the classroom, face-to-face when as a trainer, whether you're a peer trainer or practitioner trainer, you sit back and you witness this amazing communication where students begin to answer their own questions and begin to help each other. And I go tingly, as I'm saying that 'cause I, it's happened so many times, but it never fails to have such an impact on me? And we were, we were worried and we had many discussions around 'would we get this ever again over running virtual courses?' [RC03]</i>
	<i>We've had caught a substantial decline in numbers since the pandemic. [RC19]</i>
	<i>I think with virtual because yeah there are, there's definitely the engagement in attrition levels and commitment for students going, you know, because it is a bit easier to tap in and out. [RC09]</i>
	<i>And what we're seeing a lot of now as we're running more face-to-face sessions is people who are still really anxious about COVID, you know, we keep hearing like things from a handful of students where they haven't really left the house in two years, and so the idea of doing that just feels monumental. [RC31]</i>
	<i>This last term, the [name of the month of the last term] term, our face-to-face attendance was really low and we cancelled quite a lot of</i>

	<i>courses, so that was money lost. This term our face-to-face, currently people booked in is higher. So, we're hoping that as, you know, anxiety reduces our courses will get fuller again. [RC24]</i>
	<i>that was really important to our patients. From the start, they said they didn't want to lose that, sort of, contact with each other [RC06]</i>
	<i>I think we did try really hard to offer as many different ways of connecting with people as we could in the pandemic [RC14]</i>
	<i>So especially at the start of the pandemic about all the food banks, all the care packages, where to pick them up and which CCG was offering, you know, which well-being kits and all of those things. So we then started create- we would collate all that information and send it out to people. [RC01]</i>
	<i>one of our students we literally had to hand hold him all, every step into the course because he was like "I don't even know how to email, I don't know how to, you know there's no way I can do this." And after the meeting greet, they literally, the feedback to their case manager was like" my whole world has changed. I can now you know, Zoom with my family because I know how to do it" or "I can, you know, I can now speak to friends online. I'm no longer alone" and it was like God, you know that you know it didn't matter what the content of the course was it was more important that we show them that they were capable of going online and speaking to other humans and that opened a whole new world to them [RC08]</i>
Theme five: Changes to accessibility	<i>It's one of those 'you win some, you lose some' kind of things [RC01]</i>
	<i>When I came in it was all online because of the pandemic and then we've tried to go back to face-to-face, which we have and we've got a hybrid model: some online, some not [RC25]</i>
	<i>A lot of our clients get left behind from, you know, from families, from friends, from other support that they should be getting, maybe it's off the government or whatever, you know. So we had to make sure that we weren't included in that. [RC05]</i>
	<i>So we are, we have a week every term where we gather feedback from our clients and that's actually embedded into the courses that we do and it's- uhm, I mean, we ask the clients loads of different questions about how the, you know, the logistics of how the courses are run, the content and lots of other things. [RC05]</i>
	<i>Not only did we develop the existing content to be able to deliver online; there was a huge, huge push to develop new content as well. [RC15]</i>
	<i>I think largely our referral systems used to be kind of contacting the office, it used to be telephoning the office. Then someone would upload details onto our database and then someone would contact them or speak to them there and then it was a little bit convoluted. It wasn't quite as straightforward as it can be. However, shortly after I started, and this isn't anything to do with me I'm not blowing my own trumpet, it was already in place by my head of operations. There's an online web form now that you can just go onto our website, you can do it, another professional can do it, your mum can do it, anyone can do it. It's completely open as long as the person knows that the referral is actually happening, they can just log onto our website, complete the registration</i>

	<i>form, and then they're added to our added to our waiting list for the service [RC15]</i>
	<i>It's always a challenge when you have again, because you have such a diverse group of people, you know, some people are really used to it, some are not. Trying to, sort of, accommodate everyone's needs can be quite tricky sometimes. [RC06]</i>
	<i>For the last two terms, we've offered some outdoor face-to-dace activity because we do recognize we do have a cohort of students that are still really missing that face-to-face activity. A lot of people have access digitally. UM, some have chosen not to, and have just said no [RC27]</i>
	<i>Recognizing how many people in fact, that we had not reached because people could not attend our courses for whatever reasons. You know, either financial, mobility, transport, lack of public transport, anxiety around getting out of the house. But people could access courses online. [RC04]</i>
	<i>We've got a new cohort of students that said, we would never have come, people that have got physical disabilities, people that have got caring responsibilities or you know may have young children, that said, we just couldn't access before. [RC27]</i>
	<i>There are meetings and forums which we used to attend in the community where it was really hard to get some of our patients to. Because obviously we have to, you know, think it's a forensic site, we have to think about patients leave, uhm, sort of, areas they can go to. So, you know, we had some consultations with Rethink recently and we were able to bring so many patients to that we were reviewing, uhm, the Mental Health Act. So yeah, I mean, it's just, it's definitely, you know, initially it felt like a barrier, but now it feels like having this opportunity to offer things on Teams opens up so many doors. [RC06]</i>
	<i>The other thing about with the Recovery Colleges going online, it's we've had international representation from Canada, USA, Bosnia, Finland. So, we've had that real interest coming in and it's joined the UK. So, the current Recovery College, [acronym for name of lived experience recovery group], which is a [name of lived experience recovery group] in Scotland, England and Wales at the moment. So, we've got that that real unity across the UK [RC13]</i>
	<i>We've run digital drop-in groups every week at all tests where people can come in and learn how to use devices but it still had a massive impact on engagement from the BME community has fallen considerably. [RC21]</i>
	<i>We've worked with our staff, not many of them, you know, we're at the very beginning of this journey but what we notice it initially was we had more staff attending our courses online. So where would all be external emails, we during the pandemic earlier, well probably the beginning of this year we noticed a steady increase in the number of [name of NHS Foundation NHS Trust] staff emails that were registering for our workshops. And so, we started to report that, we started to say "look staff are coming to this", and they were coming to things like kindness, gratitude and some of our cultural and arts courses and a number of them actually were coming to courses around understanding and managing things like depression, anxiety, and the feedback we were getting on courses was really interesting. So, we had some of our clinical</i>

	<i>staff say: "we're here because we know everything about depression, but actually, having done this today, we've realized we don't, that we need to hear about it from the users perspective." [RC10]</i>
	<i>The impact that this situation, that the whole COVID situation would have on the NHS was very similar to the trauma that you know, armed forces have when they're out in any field of operation. So we thought actually we can really apply some of our knowledge. Some of our learning and we built a self-help guide [RC08]</i>
	<i>It got raised about all staff must be really struggling. So, we decided to run a couple of retreats basically that are aimed at health care staff. [RC11]</i>
	<i>We're very, very aware of digital exclusion. So, we know that there are a bunch of students out there that haven't been able to access our virtual courses due to, uhm, due to skill set, due to not having the right equipment or having the equipment but not knowing how to use it [RC03]</i>
	<i>I think right across the board we may have got between about 30 or 40 tablets, purchased for our students so we could give those out to members who couldn't access. [RC15]</i>
	<i>there was nothing that we could really do within those time scales to make sure that people were able to access the tech that was required but the only thing, so we obviously couldn't like, you know, sort of send smartphones and laptops to people. That wasn't something that was within our, you know, capability and capacity [RC09]</i>
	<i>we're in the process of creating a comprehensive digital starter pack and this is going to be great 'cause it basically would be a set of physical resources that they can use, video, pre-recorded videos that are made that staff can watch, like train the trainer sessions that staff can watch and lesson plans and this will all, this will help the staff teach pre-entry level digital skills to clients. So how is set up a smartphone and use the functionalities of a smartphone, how to set up a tablet or a laptop and then use the main functionality of that up to the point where they can get onto a virtual session and then they can join the virtual, they can join the digital college [RC05]</i>
	<i>We've got a development group, which is a co-produced group, but it's students and volunteers and they worked out how they thought digital inclusion could work and that's what sort of backed up our bid for funding in regard to getting the iPads. [RC27]</i>