



King's Research Portal

Document Version

Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kakde, M. (2010). *K₁ of some Iwasawa algebras*.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

K_1 OF SOME IWASAWA ALGEBRAS

MAHESH KAKDE

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The set up	2
1.2. Twisted group rings	2
1.3. The Iwasawa algebra as a twisted group ring	2
1.4. Definition of the Ore set S	3
1.5. Some useful lemmas	3
2. The additive side	5
2.1. The additive theorem	6
3. Definition of K_1	8
4. Logarithm and integral logarithm for Iwasawa algebras	8
4.1. Logarithm homomorphism	8
4.2. Integral logarithm	14
5. The main result	17
5.1. The maps θ^G and θ_S^G	17
5.2. Relation between the maps θ and β	18
5.3. Statement of the main theorems	22
5.4. The proof	22
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime. Let O be the ring of integers in a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let \mathcal{G} be a compact p -adic Lie group with a closed normal subgroup H such that $\mathcal{G}/H = \Gamma$ is isomorphic to the additive group of p -adic integers. Noncommutative Iwasawa theory has created a of lot interest in the Whitehead group of the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ and its localisation at a certain Ore set S (defined by Coates et. al. [1]. See definition 1.4 below). In this paper we describe the Whitehead group of the Iwasawa algebra of \mathcal{G} when the group \mathcal{G} is a pro- p p -adic Lie group of dimension one. We also prove some results about the localisation of Iwasawa algebras of such groups. These are generalisations of results in special cases which started with a beautiful work of K. Kato [5] (see also K. Kato [6], T Hara [2] and M. Kakde[4]).

Following K. Kato [6] we use the integral logarithm of R. Oliver and L. Taylor as a main tool for proving our results.

I would like to thank Professor Coates and Professor Burns for their constant encouragement without which I could not have completed this paper.

1.1. The set up. Let \mathcal{G} be a compact pro- p p -adic Lie group of dimension one which has a quotient isomorphic to the additive group of p -adic integers. Then \mathcal{G} has a closed normal finite subgroup, say H , such that $\mathcal{G}/H = \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. We write Γ multiplicatively. Once and for all we choose a lift of Γ in \mathcal{G} which gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{G} \cong H \rtimes \Gamma$. Let Γ^{p^e} be a fixed open subgroup of Γ acting trivially on H . Put $G = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma^{p^e}$. Let O be the ring of integers in a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The Iwasawa algebra of \mathcal{G} with coefficients in O is defined as

$$\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}) = \varprojlim_U O[\mathcal{G}/U],$$

where the inverse limit is over all open normal subgroups U of \mathcal{G} .

1.2. Twisted group rings. Recall the definition of twisted group rings. Let R be a ring and P be any group. Let

$$\tau : P \times P \rightarrow R^\times,$$

be a two cocycle. Then the twisted group ring, denoted by $R[P]^\tau$, is a free R -module generated by P . We denote the image of $p \in P$ in $R[P]^\tau$ by \bar{p} . Hence, every element of $R[P]^\tau$ is a finite sum $\sum_{p \in P} r_p \bar{p}$ and the addition is component wise. The multiplication has the following twist:

$$\bar{p} \cdot \bar{p}' = \tau(p, p') \overline{pp'}.$$

1.3. The Iwasawa algebra as a twisted group ring. The Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ is a twisted group ring

$$\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}) = \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[G]^\tau,$$

where τ is the twisting map given by

$$\tau(h_1 \gamma^{a_1}, h_2 \gamma^{a_2}) = \gamma^{\lfloor \frac{a_1 + a_2}{p^e} \rfloor p^e} \in \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})^\times,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x . Note that for any $g, g' \in G$,

$$\tau(g, g') = \tau(g', g) \quad \text{i.e. } \tau \text{ is symmetric,}$$

$$\tau(g, g^{-1}) = 1 = \tau(g, 1).$$

1.4. **Definition of the Ore set S .** Recall the Ore set S , due to Coates et. al. [1], mentioned in the introduction:

$$S = \{f \in \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}) \mid \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})/\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})f \text{ is a finitely generated } O - \text{module}\}$$

It is proven in *loc. cit.* that S is a multiplicatively closed left and right Ore set and does not contain any zero divisors. Hence we may localise to get the ring $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_S$ which contains $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$.

1.5. **Some useful lemmas.**

Lemma 1. *The subset of S defined by $T = \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e}) - p\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ is a multiplicatively closed left and right Ore subset of $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$. The inclusion of rings $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_T \rightarrow \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_S$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof: Since the group Γ^{p^e} is central in G , it is clear that T is a left and right Ore set. Since $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ is a domain, the set T does not contain any zero divisors. Hence, the map $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_T \rightarrow \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_S$, induced by the inclusion $T \rightarrow S$, is an inclusion. We now prove that it is surjective.

Note that $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_T = \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})_T \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$. We first show that

$$Q(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) = Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}),$$

where $Q(R)$ denotes the total ring of fractions of a ring R . Note that we have an injective map

$$Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow Q(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})).$$

As $Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e}))$ is a field and $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ is a free $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ -module of finite rank, $Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ is an Artinian ring. Hence every regular element is invertible. The ring $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ is contained in $Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ and every regular element of $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ is invertible in $Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$, hence the injection $Q(\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})} \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow Q(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$ must be surjective. Any element $x \in \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_S \subset Q(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$ can be written as $\frac{a}{t}$, with $a \in \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ and t a non-zero element of $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$. If $t \in p^n \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$, then $tx = a \in p^n \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_S$. On the other hand, a also lies in $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$. Hence $a \in p^n \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$. Then we can divide the largest possible power of p from t and the same power of p from a , and x can be presented as $\frac{a}{t}$, with $a \in \Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ and $t \in T$. \square

Remark 2. *We remark that $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_T = \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})_T[G]^\tau$, for the same twisting map τ as above. We also study the p -adic completion $\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_T = \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}_T[G]^\tau$ of $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_T$. Note that $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})_T = \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})_{(p)}$.*

Notations 3. *In the rest of this section R denotes either $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ or $\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}_{(p)}$. For any subgroup P of G , we denote the inverse image of P in \mathcal{G} by U_P . Let $N_G P$ be the normaliser of P in G . We put $W_G P = N_G P/P$, the Weyl group. We denote the set of all cyclic subgroups of G by $C(G)$.*

Definition 4. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals in $R[G]^\tau$. Then we define $[I_1, I_2]$ to be the additive subgroup of $R[G]^\tau$ generated by elements of the form $ab - ba$, where $a \in I_1$ and $b \in I_2$.

Lemma 5. We have the isomorphism of R -modules

$$R[G]^\tau / [R[G]^\tau, R[G]^\tau] \rightarrow R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau.$$

Remark 6. Throughout this paper we usually denote the class of g in $\text{Conj}(G)$ by $[g]$.

Proof: Consider the R -module homomorphism

$$R[G]^\tau \xrightarrow{\phi} R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau,$$

$$\sum r_g \bar{g} \mapsto \sum r_g [g].$$

This map ϕ is surjective and since τ is symmetric, the kernel of ϕ contains $[R[G]^\tau, R[G]^\tau]$. We must show that it is equal to $[R[G]^\tau, R[G]^\tau]$. Let $\sum r_g \bar{g} \in \ker(\phi)$. Let C_g denote the centraliser of g in G . Then for each $g \in G$, we get

$$\sum_{x \in G/C_g} r_{xgx^{-1}} = 0.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum r_{xgx^{-1}} \overline{xgx^{-1}} - \sum r_{xgx^{-1}} \bar{g} &= \sum r_{xgx^{-1}} (\overline{xgx^{-1}} - \bar{g}) \\ &= \sum r_{xgx^{-1}} (\tau(xg, x^{-1})^{-1} \overline{xg} \overline{x^{-1}} - \tau(x^{-1}, xg)^{-1} \overline{x^{-1}} \overline{xg}) \\ &= \sum r_{xgx^{-1}} \tau(xg, x^{-1})^{-1} (\overline{xg} \overline{x^{-1}} - \overline{x^{-1}} \overline{xg}), \end{aligned}$$

which clearly belongs to $[R[G]^\tau, R[G]^\tau]$. All the sums are over $x \in G/C_g$. \square

Remark 7. Here of course $R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau$ is just a R -module and multiplication is not defined. However, taking powers is well defined and while doing so we must remember the twisting map τ . Hence we put it in the notation.

Lemma 8. For any subgroup $P \leq G$, we have

$$\Lambda_O(U_P) \cong \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[P]^\tau,$$

and

$$\widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)}_S \cong \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}_{(p)}[P]^\tau,$$

for the same twisting map τ as above.

Lemma 9. If $P \leq G$ is a cyclic subgroup, then U_P is abelian (though U_P is not necessarily a direct product of Γ^{p^e} and P).

2. THE ADDITIVE SIDE

The group $N_G P$ acts R -linearly on $R[P]^\tau$ by conjugation on P . Let $C(G)$ be the set of cyclic subgroups of G . For any $P \in C(G)$, define a map

$$t_P^G : R[\text{Cong}(G)]^\tau \rightarrow R[P]^\tau$$

as follows: let $C(G, P)$ denote any set of left coset representatives of P in G . Then

$$t_P^G(\bar{g}) = \sum_{x \in C(G, P)} \{\bar{x}_i^{-1} \bar{g} \bar{x}_i | x_i^{-1} g x_i \in P\}.$$

This is a well-defined R -linear map, independent of the choice of $C(G, P)$. For any $P \in C(G)$, define

$$\eta_P : R[P]^\tau \rightarrow R[P]^\tau,$$

by

$$\eta_P(h) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } h \text{ is a generator of } P \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 10. Define $\beta_P^G : R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \rightarrow R[P]^\tau$ by $\beta_P^G = \eta_P \circ t_P^G$ and β_R^G by

$$\beta_R^G = (\beta_P^G)_{P \in C(G)} : R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} R[P]^\tau.$$

Sometimes we denote $\beta_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}^G$ by just β^G .

Definition 11. Let $P \in C(G)$ be a cyclic subgroup of G . We define $T_{P,R}$ to be the image of the map

$$\text{tr} : R[P]^\tau \rightarrow R[P]^\tau \quad x \mapsto \sum_{g \in W_{GP}} \overline{g x g^{-1}}.$$

It is an ideal in the ring $(R[P]^\tau)^{W_{GP}}$. We denote $T_{P, \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}$ by T_P and $T_{P, \Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}}$ by \widehat{T}_P .

Definition 12. Let $P \leq P_1$ be two cyclic subgroups of G . Then we have the norm map

$$\text{nr}_P^{P_1} : (R[P_1]^\tau)^\times \rightarrow (R[P]^\tau)^\times,$$

and the trace map

$$\text{tr}_P^{P_1} : R[P_1]^\tau \rightarrow R[P]^\tau.$$

Definition 13. Let $\psi_R^G \subset \prod_{P \in C(G)} R[P]^\tau$ be the subgroup consisting of all tuples (a_P) such that

A1. If $P < P_1 \in C(G)$, then $\text{tr}_P^{P_1}(a_{P_1}) = 0$.

A2. $(a_P)_{P \in C(G)}$ is invariant under conjugation action by every $g \in G$.

A3. For all $P \in C(G)$, $a_P \in T_{P,R}$.

Sometimes we denote $\psi_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}^G$ by just ψ^G .

2.1. The additive theorem.

Theorem 14. *The homomorphism β_R^G induces an isomorphism between $R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau$ and ψ_R^G .*

Lemma 15. *The image of β_R^G is contained in ψ_R^G .*

Proof: It is enough to show that $\beta_R^G([\bar{g}]) \in \psi_R^G$, for any $g \in G$, i.e. it satisfies A1, A2 and A3.

A1. For $P < P_1 \in C(G)$, it is clear that $\text{tr}_P^{P_1}([\bar{g}]) = 0$ unless $g \in P$, in which case $\text{tr}_P^{P_1}([\bar{g}]) = [P_1 : P][\bar{g}]$. Since the coefficient of any element $g' \in P$ in $\beta_{P_1}^G([\bar{g}])$ is 0 if g' does not generate P_1 , it is clear that $\text{tr}_P^{P_1}(\beta_{P_1}^G([\bar{g}])) = 0$.

A2. For any $g, g_1 \in G$, we must show that $\overline{g_1} \beta_P^G([\bar{g}]) \overline{g_1}^{-1} = \beta_{g_1 P g_1^{-1}}^G([\bar{g}])$, for any $P \in C(G)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g_1} t_P^G([\bar{g}]) \overline{g_1}^{-1} &= \overline{g_1} \left(\sum_{x \in C(G, P)} \{ \overline{x^{-1} g x} : x^{-1} g x \in P \} \right) \overline{g_1}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{x \in C(G, P)} \{ \overline{[(g_1 x^{-1} g_1^{-1})(g_1 g g_1^{-1})(g_1 x g_1^{-1})]} : x^{-1} g x \in P \} \\ &= \sum_{x_1 \in C(G, g_1 P g_1^{-1})} \{ \overline{[x_1^{-1} (g_1 g g_1^{-1}) x_1]} : x_1^{-1} g_1 g g_1^{-1} x_1 \in g_1 P g_1^{-1} \} \\ &= t_{g_1 P g_1^{-1}}^G([\overline{g_1 g g_1^{-1}}]) \\ &= t_{g_1 P g_1^{-1}}^G([\bar{g}]). \end{aligned}$$

Note that above we have, on several occasions, used $\overline{g_1} \overline{g} \overline{g_1}^{-1} = \overline{g_1 g g_1^{-1}}$. Hence $g_1 \eta_P(t_P^G([\bar{g}])) g_1^{-1} = \eta_{g_1 P g_1^{-1}}(t_{g_1 P g_1^{-1}}^G([\bar{g}]))$.

A3. We must show that $t_P^G([\bar{g}]) \in T_{P,R}$ for any $P \in C(G)$.

$$t_P^G([\bar{g}]) = t_P^{N_G P}(t_{N_G P}^G([\bar{g}])).$$

Hence, it is enough to show that $t_P^{N_G P}([\bar{g}]) \in T_{P,R}$ for any $g \in N_G P$. But $t_P^{N_G P}([\bar{g}])$ is non-zero if and only if $g \in P$, and when $g \in P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_P^{N_G P}([\bar{g}]) &= \sum_{x \in C(N_G P, P)} \overline{x^{-1} g x} \\ &= \sum_{x \in W_G P} \overline{x^{-1} g x} \in T_{P,R}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes proof of the lemma. □

Definition 16. We define a left inverse δ of β_R^G by

$$\delta : \prod_{P \in C(G)} R[P]^\tau \rightarrow R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \left[\frac{1}{p} \right],$$

by putting $\delta = \sum_{P \in C(G)} \delta_P$ and defining δ_P by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_P : R[P]^\tau &\rightarrow R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \left[\frac{1}{p} \right], \\ x \in R[P]^\tau &\mapsto \frac{1}{[G : P]} [x] \in R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 17. $\delta \circ \beta_R^G$ is identity on $R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau$. In particular, β_R^G is injective.

Proof: For any $g \in G$, we show that $\delta(\beta_R^G([\bar{g}])) = [\bar{g}]$. Let P be the cyclic subgroup of G generated by g . Let C be the set of all conjugates of P in G . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\beta_R^G([\bar{g}])) &= \sum_{P' \in C} \delta_{P'}(\beta_R^G([\bar{g}])) \\ &= \sum_{P' \in C} \frac{1}{[G : P']} [\beta_R^G([\bar{g}])] \\ &= \frac{1}{[G : P]} \sum_{P' \in C} [N_G P' : P'] [\bar{g}] \\ &= \frac{1}{[G : N_G P]} \sum_{P' \in C} [\bar{g}] = [\bar{g}] \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 18. The restriction of δ to the subgroup ψ_R^G is injective and its image lies in $R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau$.

Proof: Let $(a_P) \in \psi_R^G$ be such that $\delta((a_P)) = 0$. We claim that $\delta_P(a_P) = 0$ for each $P \in C(G)$. This follows from two simple observations: firstly, by A1 $\delta_P(a_P)$ and $\delta_{P'}(a_{P'})$ cannot cancel each other unless P and P' are conjugates; but when P and P' are conjugates, $\delta_P(a_P) = \delta_{P'}(a_{P'})$ by A2. Hence $\delta_P(a_P) = 0$ for every $P \in C(G)$.

Let $a_P = \sum_{g \in P} r_g \bar{g}$. Then $\delta_P(a_P) = \frac{1}{[G : P]} \sum_{g \in P} r_g [\bar{g}]$. Let $H_0(N_G P, P)$ be the orbit set for the conjugate action of $N_G P$ on P . Then

$$\delta_P(a_P) = \sum_{x \in H_0(N_G P, P)} \sum_{g \in x} r_g [\bar{g}].$$

If $g, g' \in x \in H_0(N_G P, P)$, then $r_g = r_{g'}$ by A2. Call it r_x . Hence,

$$\delta_P(a_P) = \sum_{x \in H_0(N_G P, P)} r_x \sum_{g \in x} [\bar{g}] = 0.$$

Hence $r_x = 0$ for all $x \in H_0(N_G P, P)$.

A3 says that $a_P \in T_{P,R}$ for every $P \in C(G)$. Let $a_P = \text{tr}(b_P)$ for some $b_P \in R[P]^\tau$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_P(a_P) &= \delta_P\left(\sum_{x \in W_G P} x b_P x^{-1}\right) \\ &= [N_G P : P] \delta_P(b_P). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in C(G, N_G P)} \delta_{x P x^{-1}}(a_{x P x^{-1}}) &= [G : N_G P] \delta_P(a_P), \quad \text{by A2} \\ &= [G : P] \delta_P(b_P) \in R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of theorem 14: $\delta|_{\psi_R^G}$ is injective and $\delta \circ \beta_R^G$ is identity on $R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau$. We claim that $\beta_R^G \circ \delta$ is identity on ψ_R^G . Let $(a_P) \in \psi_R^G$, then $\delta(\beta_R^G(\delta((a_P)))) = \delta((a_P))$. Since the image of β_R^G is contained in ψ_R^G and δ is injective on ψ_R^G , we get $\beta_R^G(\delta((a_P))) = (a_P)$.

□

3. DEFINITION OF K_1

In this section we recall the definition of K_1 groups and take it as an opportunity to introduce notations. Let R be any associative ring with a unit. For each integer $n > 0$, let $GL_n(R)$ denote the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices with entries in R . Regard $GL_n(R)$ as a subgroup of $GL_{n+1}(R)$ by identifying $A \in GL_n(R)$ with $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{n+1}(R)$. Set $GL(R) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} GL_n(R)$. For any n , any $i \neq j$, with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and any $r \in R$, let $e_{ij}^r \in GL_n(R)$ be an elementary matrix i.e. which has 1's on the diagonal and r in the (i, j) th position. For each integer $n > 0$, let $E_n(R) \subset GL_n(R)$ be the subgroup generated by all elementary $n \times n$ matrices. Set $E(R) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(R)$. Whitehead lemma says that $E(R) = [GL(R), GL(R)]$, the commutator subgroup of $GL(R)$. In particular, $E(R)$ is normal in $GL(R)$ and the quotient $GL(R)/E(R)$ is abelian which we denote by $K_1(R)$.

Let $I \subset R$ be any ideal. Denote the group of invertible matrices which are congruent to the identity modulo I by $GL(R, I)$ and denote the smallest normal subgroup of $G(R)$ containing all e_{ij}^r for all $r \in I$ by $E(R, I)$. Finally, set $K_1(R, I) = GL(R, I)/E(R, I)$. The lemma of Whitehead says that $E(R, I) = [GL(R), GL(R, I)]$. Hence $K_1(R, I)$ is an abelian group.

4. LOGARITHM AND INTEGRAL LOGARITHM FOR IWASAWA ALGEBRAS

4.1. Logarithm homomorphism. Logarithms on K_1 groups of p -adic orders were constructed by R. Oliver and L. Taylor. In this section we follow R. Oliver [7]

to define logarithm homomorphism on K_1 -groups of Iwasawa algebras, a straightforward generalisation of the construction of R. Oliver and L. Taylor. As before let R denote the ring $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ or $\Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}$. Let J_R be the Jacobson radical of $R[G]^\tau$. Since \mathcal{G} is pro- p , the ring $R[G]^\tau$ is a local ring and hence J_R is its maximal ideal. We have the series

$$\text{Log}(1+x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x^i}{i},$$

and

$$\text{Exp}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}.$$

Lemma 19. $J_R/pR[G]^\tau$ is a nilpotent ideal of $R[G]^\tau/pR[G]^\tau$.

Proof: Let $k = O/(p)$. We have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_R/pR[G]^\tau \rightarrow Q(k[[\mathcal{G}]]) \rightarrow Q(k[[\Gamma]]) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let N be the kernel of the map $k[[\mathcal{G}]] \rightarrow k[[\Gamma]]$, and let I_H be the kernel of the map $k[H] \rightarrow k$. Then $N = k[[\mathcal{G}]]I_H$, and since H is a finite p group, we have

$$N^n = k[[\mathcal{G}]]I_H^n = 0,$$

for some positive integer n . By lemma 1 we can write any element $x \in Q(k[[\mathcal{G}]])$ as $x = \frac{a}{t}$, with $a \in k[[\mathcal{G}]]$ and $t \in k[[\Gamma^{p^e}]]$. Also, $x \in J_R/pR[G]^\tau$ if and only if $a \in N$. As t is central, we deduce that $J_R/pR[G]^\tau$ is nilpotent. □

Lemma 20. Let $I \subset J_R$ be any ideal of $R[G]^\tau$. Then

1) For any $x \in I$, the series $\text{Log}(1+x)$ converges to an element in $R[G]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}]$. Moreover, for any $u, v \in 1+I$

$$(1) \quad \text{Log}(uv) \equiv \text{Log}(u) + \text{Log}(v) \pmod{[R[G]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}], I[\frac{1}{p}]]}.$$

2) If $I \subset \xi R[G]^\tau$, for some central element ξ such that $\xi^p \in p\xi R[G]^\tau$, then for all $u, v \in 1+I$, $\text{Log}(u)$ and $\text{Log}(v)$ converge to an element in I and

$$(2) \quad \text{Log}(uv) \equiv \text{Log}(u) + \text{Log}(v) \pmod{[R[G]^\tau, I]}.$$

In addition, if $I^p \subset pIJ_R$, then the series $\text{Exp}(x)$ converges to an element in $1+I$ for all $x \in I$; the maps defined by Exp and Log are inverse bijections between I and $1+I$. Moreover, $\text{Exp}([R[G]^\tau, I]) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I)$ and for any $x, y \in I$, we have

$$(3) \quad \text{Exp}(x+y) \equiv \text{Exp}(x) \cdot \text{Exp}(y) \pmod{E(R[G]^\tau, I)}.$$

Proof: This is analogue of lemma 2.7 in R. Oliver [7]. We reproduce the proof here stressing the modifications needed in our situation.

Step 1. For any $n \geq 1$, the ideal $J_R/p^n R[G]^\tau$ is nilpotent in $R[G]^\tau/p^n R[G]^\tau$. Hence for any $x \in I \subset J_R$, the terms x^n/n converge to 0 and the series $\text{Log}(1+x)$ converges in $I[\frac{1}{p}] \subset R[G]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}]$.

If $I \subset \xi R[G]^\tau$, for some central ξ such that $\xi^p \in p\xi R[G]^\tau$, then $I^p \subset pI$. Hence $I^n \subset nI$ for every positive integer n . So $x^n/n \in I$. Hence the series $\text{Log}(1+x)$ converges to an element in $I \subset R[G]^\tau$.

Furthermore, if $I^p \subset pIJ_R$, note that for any positive integer n such that $p^k \leq n < p^{k+1}$

$$I^n \subset p^{([n/p]+[n/p^2]+\dots+[n/p^k])} I J_R^k = n! I J_R^k.$$

Recall that $[y]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to y and that $n!p^{-([n/p]+\dots+[n/p^k])}$ is a p -adic unit. Hence $\text{Exp}(x)$ converges to an element in $1+I$. The fact that Log and Exp are inverse bijections between $1+I$ and I is formal.

Step 2. For any $I \subset J_R$, set

$$U(I) = \sum_{m \geq 0, n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m+n} [I^m, I^n] \subset [R[G]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}], I[\frac{1}{p}]],$$

a R -submodule of $R[G]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}]$. If $I \subset \xi R[G]^\tau$, where ξ is a central element such that $\xi^p \in p\xi R[G]^\tau$, then $\xi^n \in n\xi R[G]^\tau$, and

$$U(I) = \langle [r, \frac{\xi^{m+n}}{m+n} s] : m \geq 0, n \geq 1, \xi^m r \in I^m, \xi^n s \in I^n, \xi r, \xi s \in I \rangle \subset [R[G]^\tau, I].$$

So the congruences (1) and (2) will both follow once we have shown that for every $I \subset J_R$ and every $x, y \in I$

$$\text{Log}((1+x)(1+y)) \equiv \text{Log}(1+x) + \text{Log}(1+y) \pmod{U(I)}.$$

For each $n \geq 1$, we let W_n be the set of formal ordered monomials of length n in two variables a, b . For $w \in W_n$, set

$C(w)$ = orbit of w in W_n under cyclic permutations.

$k(w)$ = number of occurrences of ab in w .

$r(w)$ = coefficients of w in $\text{Log}(1+a+b+ab) = \sum_{i=0}^{k(w)} (-1)^{n-i-1} \frac{1}{n-i} \binom{k(w)}{i}$.

If $w' \in C(w)$, then it is clear that $w(x, y) \equiv w'(x, y) \pmod{[I^i, I^j]}$ for some $i, j \geq 1$ such that $i+j = n$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Log}(1+x+y+xy) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{w \in W_n} r(w) w(x, y) \\ &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{w \in W_n/C} \left(\sum_{w' \in C(w)} r(w') \right) w(x, y) \pmod{U(I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k = \max\{k(w') : w' \in C(w)\}$. Let $|C(w)| = n/t$. Then $C(w)$ contains k/t elements with exactly $(k-1)$ ab 's and $(n-k)/t$ elements with k ab 's. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w' \in C(w)} r(w') &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{n-i-1} \frac{1}{n-i} \left((n-k) \binom{k}{i} + k \binom{k-1}{i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{n-i-1} \frac{1}{n-i} \left((n-k) \binom{k}{i} + (k-i) \binom{k}{i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{n-i-1} \binom{k}{i}, \end{aligned}$$

which is 0 unless $k = 0$, in which case it is equal to $(-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}$. Thus

$$\text{Log}(1+x+y+xy) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \left(\frac{x^n}{n} + \frac{y^n}{n} \right) = \text{Log}(1+x) + \text{Log}(1+y) \pmod{U(I)}.$$

Step 3. We now prove the congruence (3). Exp and Log induce bijection between I and $1+I$. Hence

$$\text{Log}(\text{Exp}(x)\text{Exp}(y)) \equiv x + y \pmod{U(I)},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exp}(x)\text{Exp}(y)\text{Exp}(x+y)^{-1} &\in \text{Exp}(x+y+U(I))\text{Exp}(-x-y) \\ &\subset \text{Exp}(U(I)) \subset \text{Exp}([R[G]^\tau, I]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we only need to prove that $\text{Exp}([R[G]^\tau, I])$ is contained in $E(R[G]^\tau, I)$. Choose a R -basis $\{[s_1, v_1], \dots, [s_m, v_m]\}$ of $[R[G]^\tau, I]$, with $s_i \in R[G]^\tau$ and $v_i \in I$. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i [s_i, v_i]$ be an element in $[R[G]^\tau, I]$. Define

$$\psi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\text{Exp}(a_i s_i v_i) \text{Exp}(a_i v_i s_i)^{-1}).$$

For any $r \in R[G]^\tau$ and any $x \in I$, an identity of Vaserstein gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exp}(rx)\text{Exp}(xr)^{-1} &= \left(1 + r \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x(rx)^{n-1}}{n!} \right) \right) \left(1 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x(rx)^{n-1}}{n!} \right) r \right)^{-1} \\ &\in E(R[G]^\tau, I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{Im}(\psi) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I)$. For any $k \geq 1$ and any $x, y \in p^k I$,

$$\text{Exp}(x)\text{Exp}(y) \equiv \text{Exp}(x+y) \pmod{U(p^k I)} \subset p^{2k} U(I) \subset p^{2k} [R[G]^\tau, I].$$

Also, for any $k, l \geq 1$ and any $x \in p^k I, y \in p^l I$,

$$\text{Exp}(x)\text{Exp}(y) \equiv \text{Exp}(y)\text{Exp}(x) \pmod{[p^k I, p^l I]} \subset p^{k+l} [R[G]^\tau, I].$$

So for any $l \geq k \geq 1$, and any $x \in p^k[R[G]^\tau, I]$ and $y \in p^l[R[G]^\tau, I]$,

$$\psi(x) \equiv \text{Exp}(x) \pmod{p^{2k}[R[G]^\tau, I]}$$

$$(4) \quad \psi(x+y) \equiv \psi(x)\psi(y) \equiv \psi(x)\text{Exp}(y) \pmod{p^{k+l}[R[G]^\tau, I]}.$$

For arbitrary $u \in \text{Exp}(p[R[G]^\tau, I])$, define a sequence x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots in $[R[G]^\tau, I]$ by setting

$$x_0 = \text{Log}(u) \in p[R[G]^\tau, I]; \quad x_{i+1} = x_i + \text{Log}(\psi(x_i)^{-1}u),$$

By (4), applied inductively for all $i \geq 0$,

$$\psi(x_i) \equiv u, \quad x_{i+1} \equiv x_i \pmod{p^{2+i}[R[G]^\tau, I]}.$$

So x_i converges and $u = \psi(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i)$. This shows that

$$\text{Exp}(p[R[G]^\tau, I]) \subset \text{Im}(\psi) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I).$$

Now define subgroups D_k , for all $k \geq 0$, by setting

$$D_k = \langle rx - xr : x \in I, r \in R[G]^\tau, rx, xr \in IJ_R^k \rangle \subset [R[G]^\tau, I] \cap IJ_R^k.$$

By the hypothesis on I , for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(IJ_R^k) &= \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m+n} [(IJ_R^k)^m, (IJ_R^k)^n] \\ &= \langle [r, \frac{\xi^n}{n}s] : n \geq 2, \xi r, \xi s \in IJ_R^k, \xi^n r s, \xi^n s r \in (IJ_R^k)^n \subset nIJ_R^{k+1} \rangle \\ &\subset D_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\text{Exp}(D_k) \subset \text{Exp}([R[G]^\tau, I])$ are both normal subgroups of $(R[G]^\tau)^\times$. Also, for any $x, y \in IJ_R^k$,

$$(5) \quad \text{Exp}(x)\text{Exp}(y) \equiv \text{Exp}(x+y) \pmod{\text{Exp}(U(IJ_R^k)) \subset \text{Exp}(D_{k+1})}.$$

For any $k \geq 0$ and any $x \in D_k$, if we write $x = \sum (r_i x_i - x_i r_i)$, where $r_i \in R[G]^\tau, x_i \in I$ and $r_i x_i, x_i r_i \in IJ_R^k$, then by above

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exp}(x) &\equiv \prod (\text{Exp}(r_i x_i) \text{Exp}(x_i r_i)^{-1}) \pmod{\text{Exp}(D_{k+1})} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{E(R[G]^\tau, I)}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\text{Exp}(D_k) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I)\text{Exp}(D_{k+1})$ for all $k \geq 0$. But for a large enough k , $D_k \subset p[R[G]^\tau, I]$. Hence we get

$$\text{Exp}(R[G]^\tau, I) \subset \text{Exp}(D_0) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I)\text{Exp}(p[R[G]^\tau, I]) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I).$$

□

Proposition 21. *For any ideal $I \subset J_R$ of $R[G]^\tau$, the p -adic logarithm $\text{Log}(1+x)$, for any $x \in I$, induces a unique homomorphism*

$$\log_I : K_1(R[G]^\tau, I) \rightarrow (I/[R[G]^\tau, I]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

If, furthermore, $I \subset \xi R[G]^\tau$ for some central ξ such that $\xi^p \in p\xi R[G]^\tau$, then the logarithm induces a homomorphism

$$\log_I : K_1(R[G]^\tau, I) \rightarrow I/[R[G]^\tau, I],$$

and \log_I is an isomorphism if $I^p \subset pIJ_R$.

Proof: This is an analogue of theorem 2.8 of R. Oliver [7]. By the previous lemma

$$(6) \quad L : 1 + I \xrightarrow{\text{Log}} I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]/[R[G]^\tau, I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]],$$

is a homomorphism. For each $n \geq 1$, let

$$(7) \quad \text{Tr}_n : M_n\left(I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)/[M_n(R[G]^\tau\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]), M_n\left(I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)] \rightarrow I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]/[R[G]^\tau\left[\frac{1}{p}\right], I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]]$$

be the homomorphism induced by the trace map. Then (6), applied to the ideal $M_n(I) \subset M_n(R[G]^\tau)$, induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} L_n : 1 + M_n(I) = GL_n(R[G]^\tau, I) &\xrightarrow{\text{Log}} M_n\left(I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)/[M_n(R[G]^\tau\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]), M_n\left(I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)] \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_n} I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]/[R[G]^\tau, I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]]. \end{aligned}$$

For any n , and any $u \in 1 + M_n(I)$ and $r \in GL_n(R[G]^\tau)$.

$$L_n([r, u]) = L_n(rur^{-1}) - L_n(u) = \text{Tr}_n(r \text{Log}(u)r^{-1}) - \text{Tr}_n(\text{Log}(u)) = 0.$$

So $L_\infty = \cup L_n$ factors through a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \log_I : K_1(R[G]^\tau, I) = GL(R[G]^\tau, I)/[GL(R[G]^\tau), GL(R[G]^\tau, I)] \\ \rightarrow I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]/[R[G]^\tau\left[\frac{1}{p}\right], I\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]]. \end{aligned}$$

If $I \subset \xi R[G]^\tau$, for some central element ξ , such that $\xi^p \in p\xi R[G]^\tau$, then the same argument by the second part of the above lemma gives a homomorphism

$$\log_I : K_1(R[G]^\tau, I) \rightarrow I/[R[G]^\tau, I].$$

If in addition, $I^p \subset pIJ_R$, then Log is bijective and $\text{Log}^{-1}([R[G]^\tau, I]) \subset E(R[G]^\tau, I)$, by the last part of lemma above. Hence \log_I is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 22. *In the case when $R = \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$, the p -adic logarithm $\text{Log}(1+x)$, for any $x \in J_R$, induces a unique homomorphism*

$$\log : K_1(R[G]^\tau) \rightarrow R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Proof: In this case $R[G]^\tau/J_R \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. Hence we have the following exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K_1(R[G]^\tau, J_R) \rightarrow K_1(R[G]^\tau) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$$

Hence \log_{J_R} extends uniquely to a map

$$(8) \quad \log : K_1(R[G]^\tau) \rightarrow R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

□

Remark 23. *The analogue of the equation (8) in the case when $R = \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}_{(p)}$ is*

$$K_2(Q(\mathbb{F}_p[[\Gamma]])) \rightarrow K_1(R[G]^\tau, J_R) \rightarrow K_1(R[G]^\tau) \rightarrow K_1(Q(\mathbb{F}_p[[\Gamma]])).$$

Since $K_1(Q(\mathbb{F}_p[[\Gamma]]))$ is not a torsion group, it is not clear if we can extend the homomorphism \log_{J_R} to $K_1(R[G]^\tau)$ in any canonical fashion. However, for our purposes \log_{J_R} suffices.

4.2. Integral logarithm. Again we follow R. Oliver [7] to construct the integral logarithm homomorphism from K_1 of Iwasawa algebras. This is a straightforward generalisation of the integral logarithm on K_1 of p -adic group rings of finite groups constructed by R. Oliver and L. Taylor.

Assumption: From now on, throughout this paper, we assume that O is a ring of integers in a finite unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

As before R denotes either $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ or $\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}_{(p)}$.

Definition 24. *Let φ be the map on R induced by the Frobenius map on O and the p -power map on Γ^{p^e} . We extend this to a map, still denoted by φ , to $R[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau$ by mapping $[\bar{g}]$ to $[\bar{g}^p]$ (remember that \bar{g}^p is not the same as $\overline{g^p}$).*

4.2.1. *Integral logarithm for $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$.*

Definition 25. *For a finite group P we define the $SK_1(O[P])$ to be the kernel of the map*

$$K_1(O[P]) \rightarrow K_1(O[P][\frac{1}{p}]),$$

induced by the natural injection of $O[P]$ into $O[P][\frac{1}{p}]$.

For every $n \geq 0$, let $G_n = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma^{p^{e+n}}$. The integral logarithm map defined by R. Oliver and L. Taylor is

$$L : K_1(O[G_n]) \rightarrow O[\text{Conj}(G_n)],$$

defined as $L = \log - \frac{\varphi}{p}\log$, where $\varphi : O[\text{Conj}(G_n)] \rightarrow O[\text{Conj}(G_n)]$ is the map induced by Frobenius on O and the p -power map on G_n . The kernel of L is $K_1(O[G_n])_{\text{tor}}$ which is equal to $\mu(O) \times G_n^{ab} \times SK_1(O[G_n])$ by a theorem of G. Higman [3] and C.T.C. Wall [8]. Here $\mu(O)$ denotes the torsion subgroup of O^\times . By theorem 7.1 of R. Oliver [7], $SK_1(O[G_n]) \cong SK_1(O[G])$.

Definition 26. *We define the integral logarithm map L on $K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$ by*

$$L(x) = \log(x) - \frac{\varphi}{p}\log(x)$$

Lemma 27. *The image of L is contained in $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau$.*

Proof: For every $n \geq 0$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) & \xrightarrow{L} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1(O[G_n]) & \xrightarrow{L} & O[Conj(G_n)][\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are induced by natural projections. By theorem 6.2 of R. Oliver [7], the image of L is contained in $O[Conj(G_n)]$, for every $n \geq 0$. If an element $x \in \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}]$ maps into $O[Conj(G_n)]$ for every $n \geq 0$, then x actually belongs to $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau$. Hence the lemma. \square

Lemma 28. *The kernel of the map*

$$L : K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau,$$

is $\mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \times SK_1(O[G])$.

Proof: From the proof of previous lemma it is clear that the kernel of L is equal to inverse limit of kernels of L acting on $K_1(O[G_n])$. Hence

$$Ker(L) = \varprojlim_n (\mu(O) \times G_n^{ab} \times SK_1(O[G])) = \mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \times SK_1(O[G]).$$

\square

Lemma 29. *The cokernel of the map*

$$L : K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau,$$

is $\langle(-1)^{p-1}\rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab}$.

Proof: We have the following exact sequence by theorem 6.6 of R. Oliver [7]

$$K_1(O[G_n]) \xrightarrow{L} O[Conj(G_n)] \xrightarrow{\omega} \langle(-1)^{p-1}\rangle \times G_n^{ab} \rightarrow 1.$$

Here the map ω is defined by

$$\sum_{g \in Conj(G_n)} a_g g = \prod_g ((-1)^{p-1} g)^{tr_{O/\mathbb{Z}_p}(a_g)}.$$

Hence cokernel of the map

$$L : K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau,$$

is $\langle(-1)^{p-1}\rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab}$. We denote the map $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau \rightarrow \langle(-1)^{p-1}\rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab}$ by ω . \square

4.2.2. *Integral logarithm for $\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S$.* Let J denote the kernel of the natural surjection

$$\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)}.$$

Since $\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S$ is local, the following maps are surjective

$$\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S^\times \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S),$$

and

$$1 + J \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S, J).$$

We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow 1 + J \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S^\times \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)}^\times \rightarrow 1,$$

which splits using the distinguished embedding $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Hence any element $x \in \widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S^\times$ can be written uniquely as $x = uy$, for $u \in 1 + J$ and $y \in \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)}^\times$. As a result we get the following

Lemma 30. *Every $x \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S)$ can be written as a product $x = uy$, where $y \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)})$ and $u \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S, J)$.*

Lemma 31. *For any $y \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)})$, we have*

$$\frac{y^p}{\varphi(y)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)}}$$

Hence the logarithm of $\frac{y^p}{\varphi(y)}$ is defined.

Proof: The ring $\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)}/p\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)}$ is isomorphic to the domain $O/(p)[[\Gamma]]$ (Recall that (p) is the maximal ideal of O since we have assumed that O is unramified extension of \mathbb{Z}_p). Let $O/(p) = \mathbb{F}_q$. We also note that $\mathbb{F}_q[[\Gamma]] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[[X]]$. On this ring the φ map is the one induced by $X \mapsto (1 + X)^p - 1 = X^p$ and by p -power Frobenius on \mathbb{F}_q . Let $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[X]]$. Write $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i$. Then

$$\bar{y}^p = \left(\sum_i a_i X^i \right)^p = \sum_i a_i^p X^{ip} = \varphi(\bar{y}),$$

Hence the lemma. □

Definition 32. *We define the integral logarithm L on $K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S)$ as follows: write any $x \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S)$ as $x = uy$, with $y \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)}_{(p)})$ and $u \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S, J)$. Define*

$$L(x) = L(uy) = L(u) + L(y) = \log(u) - \frac{\varphi}{p} \log(u) + \frac{1}{p} \log\left(\frac{y^p}{\varphi(y)}\right).$$

Proposition 33. *L induces a homomorphism*

$$L : K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S) \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}_{(p)}[\text{Conj}(G)]^\tau.$$

Proof: Let $x \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S)$. Write it as $x = uy$, with $y \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_{(p)}})$ and $u \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S, J)$. We will show that $L(u)$ and $L(y)$ lie in $\Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}[Conj(G)]^\tau$. The fact that $L(y) = \frac{1}{p} \log(\frac{y^p}{\varphi(y)})$ lies in $\Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}$ follows from proposition 21. Now let $u = 1 - v$ and consider $L(1 - v)$

$$\begin{aligned} L(1 - v) &= -(v + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{v^3}{3} + \dots) + (\frac{\varphi(v)}{p} + \frac{\varphi(v)}{2p} + \dots) \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{pk} (v^{pk} - \varphi(v^k)) \pmod{\Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}[Conj(G)]^\tau} \end{aligned}$$

It suffices that $pk | (v^{pk} - \varphi(v^k))$ for all k ; which will follow from

$$p^n | (v^{p^n} - \varphi(v^{p^{n-1}})),$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Write $v = \sum_{g \in G} r_g \bar{g}$, where $r_g \in \Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}$. Set $q = p^n$ and consider a typical term in v^q :

$$r_{g_1} \cdots r_{g_q} \bar{g}_1 \cdots \bar{g}_q.$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ acts cyclically permuting the g_i 's, so that we get a total of p^{n-t} conjugate terms, where p^t is the number of cyclic permutations leaving each term invariant. Then $\bar{g}_1 \cdots \bar{g}_q$ is a p^t -th power, and the sum of the conjugate terms has the form

$$p^{n-t} \hat{r}^{p^t} \hat{g}^{p^t} \in \Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}[Conj(G)]^\tau,$$

where $\hat{r} = \prod_{j=1}^{p^{n-t}} r_{g_j}$ and $\hat{g} = \prod_{j=1}^{p^{n-t}} g_j$. Here we may do the multiplication after rearrangement because the twisting map τ is symmetric. If $t = 0$, then this is a multiple of p^n . If $t > 0$, then there is a corresponding term $p^{n-t} \hat{r}^{p^{t-1}} \hat{g}^{p^{t-1}}$ in the expansion of $v^{p^{n-1}}$. It remains only to show that

$$p^{n-t} \hat{r}^{p^t} \hat{g}^{p^t} \equiv p^{n-t} \varphi(\hat{r}^{p^{t-1}} \hat{g}^{p^{t-1}}) = p^{n-t} \varphi(\hat{r}^{p^{t-1}}) \hat{g}^{p^t} \pmod{p^n}.$$

But $p^t | (\hat{r}^{p^t} - \varphi(\hat{r}^{p^{t-1}}))$, since $p | (\hat{r}^p - \varphi(\hat{r}))$. □

Remark 34. *It would be interesting to find the kernel and cokernel of L in this case. Since we do not need it we will not investigate it here.*

5. THE MAIN RESULT

5.1. The maps θ^G and θ_S^G . Recall that for every $P \in C(G)$, we denote by U_P the inverse image of P in \mathcal{G} . Then there is a map θ_P^G given by the norm

$$\theta_P^G : K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{norm} K_1(\Lambda_O(U_P)) = \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times.$$

Let θ^G be the map

$$\theta^G = (\theta_P^G)_{P \in C(G)} : K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times.$$

Similarly, we have maps θ_S^G and $\widehat{\theta}^G$

$$\theta_S^G : K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})_S) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)_S^\times.$$

$$\widehat{\theta}^G : K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} \widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)}_S^\times.$$

Our main theorem describes kernel and image of the map θ^G and shows that image of θ_S^G intersected with $\prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times$ is exactly the image of θ^G .

5.2. Relation between the maps θ and β .

Lemma 35. *For any $P \in C(G)$, we have the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \theta_P^G \downarrow & & \downarrow t_P^G \\ \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[P]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

In the case of p -adic completions the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S, J) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})_{(p)}[Conj(G)]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \widehat{\theta}_P^G \downarrow & & \downarrow t_P^G \\ K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)}_S, J) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})_{(p)}[P]^\tau[\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

Proof: This is an analogue of theorem 6.8 in R. Oliver [7] which we refer for details. For any $u \in K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$ (resp. $u \in K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})}_S, J)$), the result follows from the expressions

$$\log(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^n} (u^{p^n} - 1);$$

and

$$\theta_P^G(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + t_P^G(u^{p^n} - 1))^{1/p^n}.$$

$$(\text{resp. } \widehat{\theta}_P^G(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + t_P^G(u^{p^n} - 1))^{1/p^n}).$$

□

Definition 36. *For any $P \in C(G)$ with $P \neq \{1\}$, we choose and fix a non-trivial character ω of P of order p . It induces a map on $\Lambda_O(U_P)^\times$ and on $\widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)}_S^\times$*

given by mapping $g \in U_P$ to $\omega(g)g$. We denote this map by ω again. We define a map α_P from $\Lambda_O(U_P)^\times$ to itself or from $\widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)_S}^\times$ to itself by

$$\alpha_P(x) = \frac{x^p}{\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \omega^k(x)}.$$

We define $\alpha_{\{1\}}$ by $\alpha_{\{1\}}(x) = x^p/\varphi(x)$. We put $\alpha = (\alpha_P)_{P \in C(G)}$.

Lemma 37. *We have the following commutative diagram for all $P \in C(G)$ and $P \neq \{1\}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(U_P)[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \alpha_P \downarrow & & \downarrow p\eta_P \\ \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(U_P)[\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

And in the case of p -adic completion the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)_S}, J) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)_S}[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \alpha_P \downarrow & & \downarrow p\eta_P \\ K_1(\widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)_S}, J) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \widehat{\Lambda_O(U_P)_S}[\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

Proof: Note that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(U_P)[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \omega \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \Lambda_O(U_P)[\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

and

$$\eta_P = \frac{1}{p} \left(p - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \omega^k \right).$$

Hence we get the first claim of the lemma. The second one is similar. \square

Definition 38. *We define the map $v_P^G : \prod_{C \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_C) \rightarrow \Lambda_O(U_P)$ is given by*

$$v_P^G((x_C)) = p \left(\sum ver_P^{P'}(x_{P'}) \right)$$

where the sum ranges over all $P' \in C(G)$, such that $P'^p = P$ and $P \neq P'$. The empty sum is taken to be 0. Here $ver_P^{P'}$ is the map induced by the transfer homomorphism (equal to the p -power map) from P' to P . Put $v^G = (v_P^G)_P$. We denote the analogous map in the case of p -adic completions again by v^G .

Lemma 39. For $P \neq \{1\}$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau \\ \beta^G \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_P^G \\ \prod_{C \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_C) & \xrightarrow{v_P^G} & \Lambda_O(U_P) \end{array}$$

In the case $P = \{1\}$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau \\ \beta^G \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_P^G \\ \prod_{C \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_C) & \xrightarrow{\varphi + v_P^G} & \Lambda_O(U_P) \end{array}$$

Here φ in the lower row is just the map $\varphi : \Lambda_O(U_P) \rightarrow \Lambda_O(U_P)$. And the analogous result holds for the p -adic completions.

Proof: We assume that $P \neq \{1\}$. For $g \in G$, we must show that $\beta_P^G(\varphi([\bar{g}])) = v_P^G(\beta^G([\bar{g}]))$. We start with the left hand side

$$\beta_P^G(\varphi([\bar{g}])) = \eta_P(t_P^G([\bar{g}^p])),$$

which is non-zero if and only if some conjugate of g^p generates P . Hence we may assume that g^p itself is a generator of P . Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_P^G(\beta^G([\bar{g}])) &= p \left(\sum_{P' \text{ s.t. } P'^p = P} \text{ver}_P^{P'}(\beta_{P'}^G([\bar{g}])) \right) \\ &= p \left(\sum_{P' \text{ s.t. } P'^p = P} \text{ver}_P^{P'}(t_{P'}^G([\bar{g}])) \right) \\ &= p \left(\sum_{P' \text{ s.t. } P'^p = P} \text{ver}_P^{P'} \left(\sum_{x \in C(G, P')} \{[\overline{x^{-1}gx}] | x^{-1}gx \in P'\} \right) \right) \\ &= p \left(\sum_{P' \text{ s.t. } P'^p = P} \sum_{x \in C(G, P')} \{[\overline{x^{-1}g^p x}] | x^{-1}gx \in P'\} \right) \\ &= \sum_{P' \text{ s.t. } P'^p = P} \left(\sum_{x \in C(G, P')} \{[\overline{x^{-1}g^p x}] | x^{-1}gx \in P'\} \right) \\ &= \sum_{x \in C(G, P)} \sum_{P' \text{ s.t. } P'^p = P} \{[\overline{x^{-1}g^p x}] | x^{-1}gx \in P'\} \\ &= \sum_{x \in C(G, P)} \{[\overline{x^{-1}g^p x}] | x^{-1}g^p x \in P\} \\ &= \beta_P^G(\varphi([\bar{g}])). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 40. We define the map $u_P^G : \prod_{C \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_C)^\times \rightarrow \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times$ by

$$u_P^G((x_C)) = \prod \text{ver}_P^{P'}(x_{P'}),$$

where the product is taken over all $P' \in C(G)$ such that $P'^p = P$ and $P' \neq P$. The empty product is taken to be 1. Put $u^G = (u_P^G)_P$. We denote the analogous map in the case of p -adic completions again by u^G .

Lemma 41. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)[\frac{1}{p}] \\ u^G \downarrow & & \downarrow \frac{1}{p}v^G \\ \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times & \xrightarrow{\log} & \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)[\frac{1}{p}] \end{array}$$

And similarly for the p -adic completions.

Proof: This is because \log is natural with respect to the homomorphisms of Iwasawa algebras induced by group homomorphisms. \square

Proposition 42. The additive and the multiplicative sides are related by the following formula:

$$\beta_P^G(L(x)) = \frac{1}{p} \log\left(\frac{\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))}{u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))}\right)$$

Analogous relation holds in the case of p -adic completions.

Proof: First we assume $P \neq \{1\}$. Consider the left hand side of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_P^G(L(x)) &= \eta_P(t_P^G(\log(x)) - \frac{\varphi}{p} \log(x)) \\ &= \eta_P(\log(\theta_P^G(x))) - \beta_P^G\left(\frac{\varphi}{p} \log(x)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log(\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))) - \frac{1}{p} v_P^G(\beta^G(\log(x))) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log(\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))) - \frac{1}{p} \log(u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log\left(\frac{\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))}{u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $P = \{1\}$. In this case $\beta_P^G = t_P^G$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_P^G(L(x)) &= t_P^G(\log(x)) - \frac{1}{p}t_P^G(\varphi(\log(x))) \\ &= \log(\theta_P^G(x)) - \frac{1}{p}(\varphi + v_P^G)(\beta^G(\log(x))) \\ &= \log(\theta_P^G(x)) - \frac{1}{p^2}(p\varphi + v_P^G)(\log(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\log\left(\frac{\theta_P^G(x)^p}{\varphi(\theta_P^G(x))u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\log\left(\frac{\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))}{u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))}\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

5.3. Statement of the main theorems.

Definition 43. Let Φ^G (resp. Φ_S^G and $\widehat{\Phi}_S^G$) be the subgroup of $\prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times$ (resp. $\prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)_S^\times$ and $\prod_{P \in C(G)} \widehat{\Lambda}_O(U_P)_S^\times$) consisting of tuples (x_P) satisfying

M1. For any $P \leq P_1$ in $C(G)$, we have

$$nr_P^{P_1}(x_{P_1}) = x_P.$$

M2. (x_P) is fixed under conjugation action by every $g \in G$.

M3. (x_P) satisfies the following congruence

$$\alpha_P(x_P) \equiv u_P^G(\alpha(x_{P'})) \pmod{pT_P} \text{ (resp. } pT_{P,S} \text{ and } p\widehat{T}_P),$$

Theorem 44. The map θ^G induces an isomorphism

$$K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))/SK_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \cong \Phi^G.$$

Theorem 45. The image of θ_S^G is contained in Φ_S^G . Moreover,

$$\Phi_S^G \cap \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times = \text{Im}(\theta^G).$$

5.4. The proof. In this section we again let R denote either the ring $\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})$ or the ring $\Lambda_O(\widehat{\Gamma^{p^e}})_{(p)}$.

Lemma 46. Let $x \in K_1(R[G]^\tau)$. Then for every $P \in C(G)$, we have

$$\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x)) \equiv u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x))) \pmod{p}$$

In particular, \log of $\frac{\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))}{u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))}$ is defined.

Proof: If P is not the trivial group, then $\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x)) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x))) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, hence we get the required congruence. Now assume that $P = \{1\}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\{1\}}^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x))) &= \left(\prod_{P' \text{ s.t. } P' \neq \{1\}, P'^p = \{1\}} \text{ver}_P^{P'}(\alpha_{P'}(\theta_{P'}^G(x))) \right) \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\theta_{\{1\}}^G(x)^p \equiv \varphi(\theta_{\{1\}}^G(x)) \pmod{p}.$$

i.e.

$$\alpha_{\{1\}}(\theta_{\{1\}}^G(x)) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

□

Lemma 47. *The image of θ^G is contained in Φ^G . Similarly, image of $\widehat{\theta}_S^G$ is contained in $\widehat{\Phi}_S^G$.*

Proof: Here we write a proof for the first claim of the lemma only. The proof for the second claim is exactly the same. We must show that for any $x \in K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$, the element $\theta^G(x)$ satisfies M1, M2 and M3.

M1 is clear because the following digram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) & \xrightarrow{\theta_{P_1}^G} & \Lambda_O(U_{P_1})^\times \\ & \searrow \theta_P^G & \downarrow \theta_P^{P_1} \\ & & \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times \end{array}$$

M2. Pick a lift \tilde{x} of x in $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})^\times$. Recall how the norm map θ_P^G is defined for any $P \in C(G)$. The ring $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ is a free $\Lambda_O(U_P)$ -module of rank $[G : P]$. We take the $\Lambda_O(U_P)$ -linear map on $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ induced by multiplication by \tilde{x} on the right. Let $A_P(\tilde{x})$ be the matrix (with entries in $\Lambda_O(U_P)$) of this map with respect to some basis $C(G, P)$. For any $g \in G$, the set $gC(G, P)g^{-1}$ is a basis for the $\Lambda_O(U_{gPg^{-1}})$ -module $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$. The matrix for the $\Lambda_O(U_{gPg^{-1}})$ -linear map on $\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})$ induced by multiplication on the right by \tilde{x} with respect to this basis is $gA_P(\tilde{x})g^{-1}$. Hence

$$g\theta_P^G(\tilde{x})g^{-1} = \theta_{gPg^{-1}}^G(\tilde{x}).$$

M3. By lemma 46 and proposition 42 we have

$$\beta_P^G(L(x)) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{\alpha_P(\theta_P^G(x))}{u_P^G(\alpha(\theta^G(x)))} \right).$$

By lemma 15 we get that $p\beta_P^G(L(x)) \in pT_P$. But \log induces an isomorphism between $1 + pT_P$ and pT_P . Hence M3 is satisfied.

□

Lemma 48. *There is a map $\mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times$ which is injective and fits in the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} & \hookrightarrow & K'_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) \\ \parallel & & \theta^G \downarrow \\ \mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} & \hookrightarrow & \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times \end{array}$$

where $K'_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$ denotes the quotient $K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))/SK_1(O[G])$.

Proof: We define the claimed map as the composition

$$\mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \xrightarrow{id \times ver} \mu(O) \times U_P \hookrightarrow \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times.$$

To prove the injectivity it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} U_P$$

is injective. We use induction on the order of H . Take a central commutator z of \mathcal{G} of order p such that $z \in H$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}/\langle z \rangle$. We use the notation \overline{U}_P for image of U_P in $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$. Consider the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{ab} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{ab} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & \prod_{P \in C(G)} U_P & \longrightarrow & \prod_{P \in C(G)} \overline{U}_P \end{array}$$

where K is by definition the kernel of the map $\prod_{P \in C(G)} U_P \rightarrow \prod_{P \in C(G)} \overline{U}_P$. Since the first and the last vertical maps are injective, the middle one must also be so. Since the norm homomorphism on K_1 groups is same as the transfer when restricted to \mathcal{G}^{ab} , we also get the commutativity of the diagram in the lemma. \square

Lemma 49. *We denote $\psi_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})}^G$ from the additive theorem by ψ^G . Then there is a surjective map*

$$\omega : \psi^G \rightarrow \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab},$$

which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \\ \beta^G \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \psi^G & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \end{array}$$

where the map ω in the top row is the one from the proof of lemma 29.

Proof: This is a trivial corollary of theorem 14. \square

Lemma 50. *The map $\mathcal{L} : \Phi^G \rightarrow \psi^G$, defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}_P((x_C)) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{\alpha_P(x_P)}{u_P^G(\alpha((x_C)))} \right)$$

sits in the following exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \Phi^G \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \psi^G \xrightarrow{\omega} \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof: It is clear that the map \mathcal{L} is well-defined and its image is contained in ψ^G . To show that it sits in the claimed exact sequence, we use induction on the order of H . The only non-trivial part is the exactness at ψ^G . Choose a central commutator $z \in H$ of order p . Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}/\langle z \rangle$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} & \longrightarrow & \Phi^G & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \psi^G & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \\ \parallel & & \pi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mu(O) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{ab} & \longrightarrow & \Phi^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \psi^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}} & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{ab} \end{array}$$

For any $a = \mathcal{L}(x) \in \psi^G$, we have $\omega(a) = \omega(\pi(a)) = \omega(\mathcal{L}(\pi(x))) = 1$. On the other hand, let $a \in \psi^G$ be in the kernel of ω . Then by the previous lemma, the additive theorem and lemma 29 we get $x \in K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))$ such that $\beta^G(L(x)) = a$. We finish the proof by using the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) & \xrightarrow{L} & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau \\ \theta^G \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^G \\ \Phi^G & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \psi^G \end{array}$$

Hence we have $\mathcal{L}(\theta^G(x)) = a$ and we get the exactness at ψ^G . □

Proof of theorem 44: Recall our notation

$$K'_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) = K_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G}))/SK_1(O[G]).$$

From the previous lemmas we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mu(O) \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} & \longrightarrow & K'_1(\Lambda_O(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_O(\Gamma^{p^e})[Conj(G)]^\tau & \longrightarrow & \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \\ \parallel & & \theta^G \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^G & & \parallel \\ \mu(O) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{ab} & \longrightarrow & \Phi^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}} & \longrightarrow & \psi^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}} & \longrightarrow & \langle (-1)^{p-1} \rangle \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{ab} \end{array}$$

The theorem now follows by the five lemma. □

Proof of theorem 45: It follows from lemma 47 and the fact

$$\widehat{\Phi}_S^G \cap \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)_S^\times = \Phi_S^G,$$

that the image of θ_S^G is contained in Φ_S^G . Moreover, from

$$\Phi_S^G \cap \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times = \Phi^G,$$

and theorem 44, we obtain that

$$\Phi_S^G \cap \prod_{P \in C(G)} \Lambda_O(U_P)^\times = \text{Im}(\theta^G).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Coates, T. Fukaya, K. Kato, R. Sujatha, and O. Venjakob. The GL_2 main conjecture for elliptic curves without complex multiplication. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 2005.
- [2] T. Hara. Inductive construction of the p -adic zeta functions for non-commutative p -extensions of totally real fields with exponent p . <http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2178v2>, 2009.
- [3] G. Higman. The units of group rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 46(2), 231-248 1940.
- [4] M. Kakde. *Proof of the Main Conjecture of Noncommutative Iwasawa Theory for Totally Real Number Fields in Certain Cases*. PhD thesis, Cambridge University, 2008. Submitted to Journal of Algebraic Geometry.
- [5] K Kato. K_1 of some non-commutative completed group rings. *K-Theory*, 34:99–140, 2005.
- [6] K. Kato. Iwasawa theory of totally real fields for Galois extensions of Heisenberg type. Very preliminary version, 2006.
- [7] R. Oliver. *Whitehead Groups of Finite Groups*. Number 132 in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [8] C.T.C. Wall. Norms of units in group rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29(3):593–632, 1974.