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Abstract. We discuss here a specific field-theory model, inspired from string theory, in which the generation of a matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Cosmos is due to the propagation of fermions in a non-trivial, spherically asymmetric (and hence Lorentz violating) gravitational background that may characterise the epochs of the early universe. The background induces different dispersion relations, hence populations, between fermions and antifermions, and thus CPT Violation (CPTV) already in thermal equilibrium. Species populations may freeze out leading to leptogenesis and baryogenesis. More specifically, after reviewing some generic models of background-induced CPTV in early epochs of the Universe, we consider a string-inspired scenario, in which the CPTV is associated with a cosmological background with torsion provided by the Kalb-Ramond (KR) antisymmetric tensor field of the string gravitational multiplet. In a four-dimensional space time this field is dual to a pseudoscalar “axion-like” field. The thermalising processes in this model are (right-handed) Majorana neutrino-antineutrino oscillations, which are induced in the presence of the KR axion background. These processes freeze out at a (high) temperature $T_c \gg m$, where $m$ is the Majorana neutrino mass, at which the KR background goes to zero or is diminished significantly, through appropriate phase transitions of the (string) universe. An additional, but equally important, role, of the KR field is that its quantum fluctuations and mixing with an ordinary axion, which couples to the Majorana neutrinos via appropriate Yukawa couplings, can also lead to the generation of a Majorana neutrino mass through quantum anomalies. This provides a novel way for generating neutrino masses, independent of the traditional seesaw mechanism.

1. Introduction
One of the most important issues of fundamental physics, relates to an understanding of the magnitude of the observed baryon asymmetry $n_B - n_{\bar{B}}$ (where $B$ denotes baryon, $\bar{B}$ denotes antibaryon, $n_B$ is the number density of baryons and $n_{\bar{B}}$ the number density of antibaryons in the universe). The universe is overwhelmingly made up of matter rather than anti-matter. According to the standard Big Bang theory, matter and antimatter have been created in equal amounts in the early universe. However, the observed charge-parity (CP) violation in particle physics [1], prompted A. Sakharov [2] to conjecture that for baryon asymmetry in the universe (BAU) we need: (i) Baryon number violation to allow for states with $\Delta B \neq 0$ starting from states with $\Delta B = 0$ where $\Delta B$ is the change in baryon number. (ii) If C or CP...
conjugate processes to a scattering process were allowed with the same amplitude then baryon asymmetry would disappear. Hence C and CP need to be broken. (iii) Chemical equilibrium does not permit asymmetries. Consequently Sakharov required that chemical equilibrium does not hold during an epoch in the early universe. Hence non-equilibrium physics in the early universe together with baryon number (B), charge (C) and charge-parity (CP) violating interactions/decays of anti-particles, may result in the observed BAU. In fact there are two types of non-equilibrium processes in the early universe that can produce this asymmetry: the first type concerns processes generating asymmetries between leptons and antileptons (leptogenesis), while the second produces asymmetries between baryons and antibaryons (baryogenesis). The near complete observed asymmetry today, is estimated in the Big-Bang theory [3] to imply:

$$\Delta n(T \sim 1 \text{ GeV}) = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_B + n_{\bar{B}}} \sim \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} = (8.4 - 8.9) \times 10^{-11}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

at the early stages of the expansion, e.g. for times $t < 10^{-6}$ s and temperatures $T > 1$ GeV. In the above formula $s$ denotes the entropy density. Unfortunately, the observed CP violation within the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics (found to be $O(10^{-3})$ for the standard parameter $\epsilon$ in the neutral Kaon experiments [1]) induces an asymmetry much less than that in (1) [4]. There are several ideas that go beyond the SM (e.g. grand unified theories, supersymmetry, extra dimensional models etc.) which involve the decays of right-handed sterile neutrinos. For relevant important works on this see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. These ideas lead to extra sources for CP violation that could generate the observed BAU. Some degree of fine tuning and somewhat ad hoc assumptions are involved in such scenarios; so the quest for an understanding of the observed BAU still needs further investigation. An example of fine tuning is provided by the choice of the hierarchy of the right-handed Majorana neutrino masses. For instance, enhanced CP violation, necessary for BAU, can be achieved in models with three Majorana neutrinos, by assuming two of these neutrinos are nearly degenerate in mass.

The requirement of non-equilibrium is on less firm ground [12] than the other two requirements of Sakharov, e.g. if the non-equilibrium epoch occurred prior to inflation then its effects would be hugely diluted by inflation. A basic assumption in the scenario of Sakharov is that CPT symmetry [13] (where $T$ denotes time reversal operation) holds in the very early universe. CPT symmetry leads to the production of matter and antimatter in equal amounts. Such CPT invariance is a cornerstone of all known local effective relativistic field theories without gravity, and consequently of current particle-physics phenomenology. It should be noted that the necessity of non-equilibrium processes in CPT invariant theories can be dropped if the requirement of CPT invariance is relaxed [14]. This violation of CPT (denoted by CPTV) is the result of a breakdown of Lorentz symmetry (which might happen at ultrahigh energies [15]). For many models with CPTV, in the time-line of the expanding universe, CPTV generates first lepton asymmetries (leptogenesis); subsequently, through sphaleron processes [16] or baryon-lepton (B-L) number conserving processes in Grand Unified Theories (GUT), the lepton asymmetry can be communicated to the baryon sector to produce the observed BAU.

In order to obtain the observed BAU CPTV in the early universe may obviate the need for fine tuning the decay widths of extra sources of CP violation, such as sterile neutrinos and/or supersymmetry partners. Instead, one has to ”tune” the background space-time, assuming a phase transition at an appropriate (high) temperature, after which the geometry of the universe assumes its canonical Robertson-Walker form. In this note we shall consider a simplified scenario [17]: the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe today is due to the coupling of right-handed Majorana neutrinos to a pseudoscalar background field that originates from the Kalb-Ramond (KR) antisymmetric field of an ancestor string theory. The low energy limit of this ancestor string theory describes the observable universe. The oscillations of Majorana neutrinos between themselves and their antiparticles offer a microscopic realisation
of chemical equilibrium processes which freeze out at a particular (high) temperature $T_D$ - the universe is assumed to undergo a phase transition such that the background KR field goes either to zero or to a very small value, compatible with the absence today of any observed CPTV effect. Such right-handed neutrinos characterise simple of the extensions of the Standard Model, termed neutrino-minimal-Standard-Model ($\nu$MSM) [5], in the absence of supersymmetry or extra dimensions. $\nu$MSM can provide candidates for dark matter. However, there are delicate issues associated with the realisation of the baryogenesis scenarios in this model, give that for the range of masses of the right-handed neutrinos employed in the model (two degenerate ones, with mass of order GeV, and a light one (dark matter), with mass of order $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV); the baryogenesis is supposed to take place via coherent oscillations between the degenerate right-handed neutrinos. Such coherent oscillations, though, may be destroyed in the high-temperature plasma of particles that characterises the early universe.

Our work provides a simple geometric scenario to avoid such dilemmas. We consider a model such as the $\nu$MSM, in a KR background which breaks Lorentz symmetry. The background couples to the right-handed neutrinos; a lepton asymmetry is induced by tuning the background. The crucial rôle of right-handed neutrinos for the realisation of our scenario [17], as sketched above, is compatible with the important rôle of the lightest of them as dark matter, envisaged in [5, 18]. Moreover in an era characterised by the apparent absence of supersymmetry signals in the large hadron collider (LHC) [19], the issue of the identification of the nature of the dark matter becomes even more pressing.

There is an additional significant rôle, for the KR axion field. Even if the background value of the field is zero in the present era, its quantum fluctuations, which survive today, may be responsible for giving the right-handed Majorana neutrinos their mass. This may happen through anomalous couplings of the KR field with the gravitational background and its mixing with an ordinary axion field, which couples via appropriate Yukawa couplings to the right-handed neutrinos [20]. In this way, by an appropriate choice of the axion-neutrino Yukawa couplings, one may generate masses for the three right-handed neutrinos. Such masses lie in the range envisaged in $\nu$MSM [5], so that the lightest of them (keV mass range) can play the rôle of a dark matter candidate. The ordinary axions in this model may provide additional dark matter candidates.

The structure of the talk is the following: in the next section 2 we shall review some models where background geometries do not respect rotational symmetry, and so violate Lorentz symmetry (LIV). The background can induce CPTV matter-antimatter asymmetries in thermal equilibrium in the early universe. In section 3 we shall discuss our specific string-inspired model where the KR axion field plays the rôle of torsion. Torsion provides a LIV geometry and matter-antimatter asymmetry is generated. We discuss right-handed neutrino-antineutrino oscillations of Pontecorvo type[21, 22]; the oscillations violate both CP and CPT. We also estimate the freeze-out temperature, which is the temperature at which the KR field switches off (or diminishes significantly) due to a phase transition of the string universe [17]. In section 4 we discuss the rôle of the quantum fluctuations of the KR field in providing Majorana masses for the right-handed neutrinos. Conclusions and an outlook appear in section 5.

2. Lorentz-Violating Geometries and Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry in the Universe
We shall briefly review some existing models of CPTV induced asymmetry between matter and antimatter in the early universe. These existing models can be contrasted with our approach in this article.
2.1. CPTV Models with Particle-Antiparticle Mass Difference

The simplest possibility \cite{23} for inducing CPTV in the early universe is through particle-antiparticle mass differences $m \neq \overline{m}$. These would affect the particle phase-space distribution function $f(E, \mu)$,

$$f(E, \mu) = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{E - \mu}{T} \right) \right]^{-1}, \quad E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2,$$

and antiparticle phase-space distribution function

$$f(\overline{E}, \overline{\mu}) = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{\overline{E} - \overline{\mu}}{T} \right) \right]^{-1}, \quad \overline{E}^2 = \vec{p}^2 + \overline{m}^2,$$

with $\vec{p}$ being the 3–momentum. (Our convention will be that an overline over a quantity will refer to an antiparticle, + will correspond to Fermi statistics (fermions), whereas − will correspond to Bose statistics (bosons)).

Mass differences between particles and antiparticles, $\overline{m} - m \neq 0$, generate a matter-antimatter asymmetry in the relevant number densities $n$ and $\overline{n}$,

$$n - \overline{n} = g_{d.o.f.} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} [f(E, \mu) - f(\overline{E}, \overline{\mu})],$$

where $g_{d.o.f.}$ denotes the number of degrees of freedom of the particle species under study.

In the case of spontaneous Lorentz violation \cite{24} there is a vector field $A_{\mu}$ with a non-zero time-like expectation value which couples to a global current $J_{\mu}$ such as baryon number through an interaction lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda A_{\mu} J^\mu.$$

This leads to $m \neq \overline{m}$ and $\mu \neq \overline{\mu}$. Alternatively, following \cite{23} we can make the assumption that the dominant contributions to baryon asymmetry come from quark-antiquark mass differences, and that their masses “run” with the temperature i.e. $m \sim gT$ (with $g$ the QCD coupling constant). One can provide estimates for the induced baryon asymmetry on noting that the maximum quark-antiquark mass difference is bounded by the current experimental bound on the proton-antiproton mass difference, $\delta m_p = |m_p - \overline{m}_p|$, known to be less than $2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ GeV.

Taking $n_\gamma \sim 0.24 T^3$ (the photon equilibrium density at temperature $T$) we have \cite{23}:

$$\beta_T = \frac{n_B}{n_\gamma} = 8.4 \times 10^{-3} \frac{m_u \delta m_u + 15 m_d \delta m_d}{T^2}, \quad \delta m_q = |m_q - \overline{m}_q|.$$

Thus, $\beta_T$ is too small compared to the observed one. To reproduce the observed $\beta_T = 6 \cdot 10^{-10}$ one would need $\delta m_q(T = 100 \text{ GeV}) \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ GeV $\gg \delta m_p$, which is somewhat unnatural.

However, active (light) neutrino-antineutrino mass differences alone may reproduce BAU; some phenomenological models in this direction have been discussed in \cite{25}, considering, for instance, particle-antiparticle mass differences for active neutrinos compatible with current oscillation data. This leads to the result

$$n_B = n_\nu - n_{\overline{\nu}} \approx \frac{\mu_\nu T^2}{6},$$

yielding $n_B/s \sim \frac{\mu_\nu}{T} \sim 10^{-11}$ at $T \sim 100$ GeV, in agreement with the observed BAU. (Here $s$, $n_\nu$, and $\mu_\nu$ are the entropy density, neutrino density and chemical potential respectively.)
2.2. CPTV-induced by Curvature effects in Background Geometry

In the literature the rôle of gravity has been explicitly considered within a local effective action framework which is essentially that of (5). A coupling to scalar curvature $\mathcal{R}$ [26, 27, 28, 29] through a CP violating interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{R}) J^\mu,$$

(8)

where $M_p$ is a cut-off in the effective field theory and $J^\mu$ could be the current associated with baryon (B) number. There is an implicit choice of sign in front of this interaction, which has been fixed so as to ensure matter dominance. It has been shown that [26]

$$\frac{n_{B-L}}{s} = \frac{\dot{R}}{M_p^2 T_d},$$

(9)

with $T_d$ the freeze-out temperature for $B-L$ interactions. The idea then is that this asymmetry can be converted to baryon number asymmetry provided the $B+L$ violating (but B-L conserving) electroweak sphaleron interaction has not frozen out. To leading order in $M_p^{-2}$ we have $R = 8\pi G(1 - 3w)\rho$ where $\rho$ is the energy density of matter and the equation of state is $p = w\rho$ where $p$ is pressure. For radiation $w = 1/3$ and so in the radiation dominated era of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmology $R = 0$. However $w$ is precisely $1/3$ when $T^\mu_\mu = 0$. In general $T^\mu_\mu \sim \beta(g) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ where $\beta(g)$ is the beta function of the running gauge coupling $g$ in a $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory with $N_c$ colours. This allows $w \neq 1/3$. Further issues in this approach can be found in [26, 27, 28, 29].

Another approach involves an axial vector current [30, 31, 32, 33] instead of $J_\mu$. The scenario is based on the well known fact that fermions in curved space-times exhibit a coupling of their spin to the curvature of the background space-time. The Dirac Lagrangian density of a fermion can be re-written as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^a \partial_a - m + \gamma^a \gamma^5 B_a) \psi, \quad B^d = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_\lambda e^\mu_\nu + \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda} e^\epsilon_\nu e^\rho_\lambda \right),$$

(10)

in a standard notation, where $e^\mu_\alpha$ are the vielbeins, $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Christoffel connection and Latin (Greek) letters denote tangent space (curved space-time) indices. The space-time curvature background has, therefore, the effect of inducing an "axial" background field $B_a$, which can be non-trivial in certain anisotropic space-time geometries, such as Bianchi-type cosmologies or axisymmetric Kerr black holes [30, 31, 32, 33]. For an application to particle-antiparticle asymmetry it is necessary for this axial field $B_a$ to be a constant in some local frame. The existence of such a frame has not been demonstrated. As before if it can be arranged that $B_a \neq 0$ for $a = 0$ then for constant $B_0$ CPT is broken: the dispersion relation of neutrinos in such backgrounds differs from that of antineutrinos. Explicitly, for the case of light-like $B_0 = |\vec{B}|$-background one has [34]:

$$(E \pm |\vec{B}|)^2 = (\vec{p} \pm \vec{B})^2 + m^2,$$

(11)

and for pure time-like $B$-backgrounds, of interest to us in the next section 3 [34],

$$E^2 = m^2 + (B_0 \pm |\vec{p}|)^2,$$

(12)

where $m$ is the fermion mass and the $+ (-)$ signs refer to particles (antiparticles) (in the case of Majorana neutrinos these are helicity states). For small $m, B_0 << |\vec{p}|$ one may then obtain the (approximate) dispersion relations given in [31, 30]

$$E \simeq |\vec{p}| + \frac{m_{\text{eff}}^2}{2|\vec{p}|} + B_0, \quad \overline{E} \simeq |\vec{p}| + \frac{m_{\text{eff}}^2}{2|\vec{p}|} - B_0, \quad m_{\text{eff}}^2 = m^2 + B_0^2 \ll |\vec{p}|,$$

(13)
which we shall make use of in what follows.

The relevant neutrino asymmetry emerges on following the same steps used when there was an explicit particle-antiparticle mass difference. As a consequence, for the pure-time like case considered above, and assuming a constant $B_0$, which will be of interest to us here, the following neutrino-antineutrino density difference is found from (13):

$$\Delta n_\nu \equiv n_\nu - n_\bar{\nu} \sim g^* T^3 \left( \frac{B_0}{T} \right),$$

(14)

with $g^*$ the number of degrees of freedom for the (relativistic) neutrino. An excess of particles over antiparticles is predicted only when $B_0 > 0$, which had to be assumed in the analysis of [30, 31, 32, 33]; we should note, however, that the sign of $B_0$ and its constancy have not been justified in this phenomenological approach (The above considerations concern the dispersion relations for any fermion, not only neutrinos. However, when one considers matter excitations from the vacuum, as relevant for leptogenesis, we need chiral fermions to get non trivial CPTV asymmetries in populations of particle and antiparticles, because $\langle \psi^\dagger \gamma^5 \psi \rangle = - \langle \psi^\dagger_L \gamma^5 \psi_L \rangle + \langle \psi^\dagger_R \gamma^5 \psi_R \rangle$). At temperatures $T < T_d$, with $T_d$ the decoupling temperature of the lepton-number violating processes, the ratio of the net Lepton number $\Delta L$ (neutrino asymmetry) to entropy density (which scales as $T^3$) remains constant,

$$\Delta L(T < T_d) = \frac{\Delta n_\nu}{s} \sim \frac{B_0}{T_d}.$$  

(15)

This implies a lepton asymmetry (leptogenesis) which, by tuning $B_0$ (for a given decoupling temperature $T_d$, that depends on the details of the underlying Lepton-number violating processes) can lead to a $\Delta L$ of the phenomenologically right order $\Delta L \sim 10^{-10}$. The latter can then be communicated to the baryon sector to produce the observed BAU (baryogenesis) by a B-L conserving symmetry in the context of either Grand Unified Theories (GUT) [30], or sphaleron processes in the standard model.

In the following section we shall discuss a case of a background where the constancy of $B_0$ in the Robertson-Walker cosmological frame is guaranteed by construction. This case is inspired by string theory.

3. Kalb-Ramond (KR) Torsion Background, Majorana Neutrinos and Baryogenesis  

In this section we will discuss the case of a constant $B^0$ “axial” field that appears due to the interaction of the fermion spin with a string-theory background geometry with torsion. This is a novel observation, which (as far as we are aware) was discussed for first time in [35]. In the presence of torsion the Christoffel symbol contains a part that is antisymmetric in its lower indices: $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$. Hence the last term of the right-hand side of the Eqn.(10) is not zero. Since the torsion term is of gravitational origin it couples universally to all fermion species. The effect of the coupling to neutrinos will be clarified below.

The massless gravitational multiplet in string theory contains the dilaton (spin 0, scalar), $\Phi$, the graviton (spin 2, symmetric tensor), $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the spin 1 antisymmetric tensor $B_{\mu\nu}$. The (Kalb-Ramond) field $B$ appears in the string effective action only through its totally antisymmetric field strength, $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\rho]}$, where $[\ldots]$ denotes antisymmetrization of the indices within the brackets. The calculation of string amplitudes [36] shows that $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ plays the role of torsion in a generalised connection $\Gamma$:

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2\Phi} H^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + T^\lambda_{\mu\nu}.$$  

(16)
$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$ is the torsion-free Einstein-metric connection, and $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = -T^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$ is the torsion.

In ref. [37] exact solutions to the world-sheet conformal invariance conditions (to all orders in $\alpha'$) of the low energy effective action of strings have been presented. In four “large” (uncompactified) dimensions of the string, the antisymmetric tensor field strength can be written uniquely as

$$H_{\mu\rho\sigma} = e^{2b} \epsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma} \partial^\nu b(x)$$

with $\epsilon_{0123} = \sqrt{7}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma} = |g|^{-1} \epsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma}$, with $g$ the metric determinant. The field $b(x)$ is a “pseudoscalar” axion-like field. The dilaton $\Phi$ and axion $b$ fields are fields that appear as Goldstone bosons of spontaneously broken scale symmetries of the string vacua, and so are exactly massless classically. In the effective string action such fields appear only through their derivatives. The exact solution of [37] in the string frame requires that both dilaton and axion fields are linear in the target time $X^0$, $\Phi(X^0) \sim X^0$, $b(X^0) \sim X^0$. This solution will shift the minima of all fields in the effective action which couple to the dilaton and axion by a space-time independent amount.

In the “physical” Einstein frame, relevant for cosmological observations, the temporal component of the metric is normalised to $g_{00} = +1$ by an appropriate change of the time coordinate. In this setting, the solution of [37] leads to a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric, with scale factor $a(t) \sim t$, where $t$ is the FRW cosmic time. Moreover, the dilaton field $\Phi$ behaves as

$$\Phi(t) = -\ln t + \phi_0,$$

with $\phi_0$ a constant, and the axion field $b(x)$ is linear in $t$. There is an underlying world-sheet conformal field theory with central charge $c = 4 - 12Q^2 - \frac{6}{n} + c_I$ where $Q^2(>0)$ is the central-charge deficit and $c_I$ is the central charge associated with the world-sheet conformal field theory of the compact “internal” dimensions of the string model [37]. The condition of cancellation of the world-sheet ghosts that appear because of the fixing of reparametrisation invariance of world-sheet co-ordinates requires that $c = 26$. The solution for the axion field is

$$b(x) = \sqrt{2} e^{-\phi_0} \sqrt{Q^2 \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{n} t}},$$

where $M_s$ is the string mass scale and $n$ is a positive integer, associated with the level of the Kac-Moody algebra of the underlying world-sheet conformal field theory. For non-zero $Q^2$ there is an additional dark energy term in the effective target-space time action of the string [37] of the form $\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} e^{2b} (-4Q^2)/\alpha'$. The linear axion field (19) remains a non-trivial solution even in the static space-time limit with a constant dilaton field [37]. In such a case space time is an Einstein universe with positive cosmological constant and constant positive curvature proportional to $6/(n+2)$.

For the solutions of [37], the covariant torsion tensor $e^{-2b} H_{\mu\rho\sigma}$ is constant. (This follows from (16) and (17) since the exponential dilaton factors cancel out in the relevant expressions.) Only the spatial components of the torsion are nonzero in this case,

$$T_{ijk} \sim \epsilon_{ijk} \dot{b} = \epsilon_{ijk} \sqrt{2Q^2} e^{-\phi_0} \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where the overdot denotes derivative with respect to $t$. As discussed in [35], in the framework of the target-space effective theory, the relevant Lagrangian terms for fermions (to lowest order in $\alpha'$) will be of the form (10), with the vector $B^0$ being associated with the spatial components of the constant torsion part

$$B^0 \sim \epsilon^{ijk} T_{ijk},$$

where From (16), (17) and (10), we also observe that only the temporal component $B^0$ of the $B^d$ vector is nonzero. Note that the torsion-free gravitational part of the connection (for the
FRW or flat case) yields a vanishing contribution to $B^0$. From (10) and (20) then we obtain a constant $B^0$ of order

$$B^0 \sim \sqrt{2Q} e^{-\phi_0} \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{ GeV} > 0. \quad (22)$$

We follow the conventions of string theory for the sign of $B^0$. From phenomenological considerations $M_s$ and $g_s^2/4\pi$ are taken to be larger than $O(10^4)$ GeV and about 1/20 respectively.

The particle-antiparticle asymmetry occurs already in thermal equilibrium, due to the background-induced difference in the dispersion relations between particles and antiparticles. Since the coupling of fermions to torsion is universal, the axion background would also couple to quarks and charged leptons. However, it is the right-handed neutrinos that play a crucial rôle and induce a phenomenologically viable leptogenesis, and then baryogenesis through sphaleron processes in the standard model or other B-L conserving processes. This is due to the fact that, as argued in [17], the right-handed Majorana neutrinos can oscillate between themselves and their antiparticles, unlike the charged fermions of the standard model. Such $B_0$-background-induced neutrino-antineutrino oscillations, which have been envisaged initially by Pontecorvo [21, 22], are induced by the mixing of neutrino and antineutrino states to produce mass eigenstates due to the constant ‘environmental’ field $B^0$ [32, 33]. To see this, we consider the Lagrangian for Majorana neutrinos in the presence of $B_0$, written in terms of two-component (Weyl) spinor fields $\psi, \psi^c$ (since a generic four-component right-handed Majorana spinor $\Psi$ may be written in our notation as $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_R^c \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}$, where from now on we omit the left-handed suffix $R$):

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu = \sqrt{-g} \left[ \left( \psi^c \right)^\dagger \left( \psi^c \right) \right] \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma^\mu D_\mu \left( \psi^c \right) - \left( \psi^c \right)^\dagger \left( -B_0 \right) \left( -m \right) \left( \psi^c \right), \quad (23)$$

where $D_\mu$ is the gravitational covariant derivative with respect to the torsion-free spin connection, and we assume for brevity that the neutrino has only lepton-number-violating Majorana-type masses. We shall discuss later on (cf. section 4) a way of generating dynamically such masses using quantum fluctuations of the KR torsion. For the moment we are interested in this “free” lagrangian, ignoring coupling it to the Lepton sector of the Standard Model. This is to be understood in the complete theory, e.g. in the $\nu$MSM model of [5].

At this stage we note that the energy eigenstates are appropriate linear combinations of the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi^c\rangle$. We observe from (23) that, in the presence of torsion, there are non-trivial and unequal diagonal lepton-number-conserving entries in the “mass” matrix $\mathcal{M}$ for $\psi$ and $\psi^c$:

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -B_0 & -m \\ -m & B_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

This matrix is hermitean, so can be diagonalised by a unitary matrix, leading to two-component mass eigenstates $|\chi_{i,j}\rangle$ that are mixtures of the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi^c\rangle$ (and hence of the energy eigenstates):

$$|\chi_1\rangle = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \left\{ B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right\} |\psi^c\rangle + m |\psi\rangle, \quad (25)$$

$$|\chi_2\rangle = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \left\{ -m |\psi^c\rangle + \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) |\psi\rangle \right\}, \quad (26)$$

where $\mathcal{N} \equiv \left[ 2 \left( B_0^2 + m^2 + B_0 \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) \right]^{1/2}$, with eigenvalues $m_{1,2} = \mp \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}$. The reader should have already noticed that using this Weyl notation we treat the “antiparticle” $|\psi^c\rangle$ and “particle” $|\psi\rangle$ states as different “species”. In this way, the energy differences between them
induced by the KR background $B^0$ (13) for fixed momenta, may lead to oscillations among such
states.

The above mixing can be expressed by writing the four-component mass-eigenstate neutrino
spinor in terms of $\psi$ and $\psi^c$ using an angle $\theta$ [33]:

$$\nu \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} : \tan \theta \equiv \frac{m}{B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}}. \quad (25)$$

It is readily seen that the four-component spinor $\nu$ is also Majorana, as it satisfies the Majorana
condition $\nu^c = \nu$. We note that in the absence of torsion, $B_0 \to 0$, the mixing angle between
the two-component spinors $\psi$ and $\psi^c$ is maximal: $\theta = \pi/4$, thereby reproducing the usual situation
for Majorana spinors (which are mass eigenstates and thus of mixed chirality [22]), whereas it
is non-maximal when $B_0 \neq 0$.

The mixing (24) enables us to understand the difference between the densities of fermions
and antifermions mentioned earlier (15). The expectation values of the number operators of $\chi_i, i = 1, 2$ in the basis $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi^c\rangle$ are given by:

$$N_{\chi_1} = \langle \chi_1^\dagger \chi_1 \rangle := \cos^2 \theta \langle \psi^c \psi^c \rangle + \sin^2 \theta \langle \psi \psi \rangle,$$

$$N_{\chi_2} = \langle \chi_2^\dagger \chi_2 \rangle := \sin^2 \theta \langle \psi^c \psi^c \rangle + \cos^2 \theta \langle \psi \psi \rangle,$$

where cross-terms do not contribute. We observe that, for general $\theta \neq \pi/4$, i.e., $B_0 \neq 0$, as seen
in (25), there is a difference between the populations of $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$:

$$N_{\chi_1} - N_{\chi_2} = \cos 2\theta \left( \langle n_{\psi^c} \rangle - \langle n_{\psi} \rangle \right), \quad (26)$$

where $\langle n_{\psi^c} \rangle = \langle \psi^c | \psi^c \rangle \neq \langle n_{\psi} \rangle = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$ are the corresponding number operators for
the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi^c\rangle$.

This difference in the neutrino and antineutrino populations (15) is made possible by the
presence of fermion-number-violating fermion-antifermion oscillations, whose probability was calculated in [33]:

$$P(t) = |\langle \nu_1(t) | \nu_2(0) \rangle|^2 = \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \left( \frac{E_\nu - E_{\nu^c}}{2} t \right) = \frac{m^2}{B_0^2 + m^2} \sin^2 (B_0 t), \quad (28)$$

where we used (13) with $\tilde{B} = 0$, as in our specific background (22) and the definition of the
mixing angle (25).

The time evolution of the system is calculated by expressing $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi^c\rangle$ as appropriate linear combinations of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. Using appropriate creation and annihilation operators acting on the vacuum state $|0\rangle$, we can then restrict first our attention only
to positive-frequency (energy) states created from the vacuum. This determines the argument
of the sinusoidal oscillation term $\sin^2 \left( \frac{E_\nu - E_{\nu^c}}{2} t \right)$. In the case of relativistic neutrinos moving
close to the speed of light, the oscillation length obtained from (28) is

$$L = \frac{\pi \hbar c}{|B_0|} = \frac{6.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{GeV}}{B_0} \text{ cm.} \quad (29)$$

where we have reinstated $\hbar$ and $c$, and $B_0$ is measured in GeV.

Such oscillations among “particle/antiparticle” quantum states with positive energy thermalise the corresponding populations (2), (3). Similar asymmetries are created in the negative-frequency states, which contribute, together with the positive-frequency ones in the
physical Majorana neutrino states. In this way thermalization of the physical states with different populations between particles and antiparticles, due to the background $B^0$, is obtained.

For oscillations to be effective at any given epoch in the early Universe, this length has to be less than the size of the Hubble horizon. We assume that a cosmological solution of the form discussed in [37], with a scale factor increasing linearly with time, is applicable some time after any earlier inflationary epoch. For a temperature

$$T_d \sim 10^9 \text{ GeV},$$

(30)

the relevant Hubble horizon size $\sim 10^{-12} \text{ cm}$. On the other hand, we see from (15) that the correct order of magnitude for the lepton asymmetry $\sim 10^{-10}$ is obtained if

$$B_0 \sim 10^{-1} \text{ GeV}.$$  

(31)

For this value of $B_0$, the oscillation length (29) $10^{-13} \text{ cm}$, which is within the Hubble horizon size $10^{-12} \text{ cm}$. This implies that Majorana neutrino/antineutrino oscillations occur sufficiently rapidly to establish chemical equilibrium and hence a lepton asymmetry. On the other hand, as already mentioned, charged leptons and quarks, although coupled to the KR torsion $H$, nevertheless do not exhibit such oscillations due to charge conservation.

At the temperature $T_d \sim 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ the universe is assumed to undergo a phase transition [17] towards either a vanishing $B_0$ or at least a very small $B_0$ compatible with the current limits, $B_0 < 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$, of the relevant parameter of the standard model extension [38, 39, 40, 41]. In this scenario for leptogenesis no fine tuning for the width of the pertinent CP violating processes in the lepton sector is required, in contrast to the case of conventional leptogenesis [42, 43, 44, 5, 18]. However, the presence of right-handed neutrinos was essential, and this is consistent with the need for explaining the smallness of the active neutrino masses through see-saw mechanisms, or the rôle of sterile neutrinos as dark matter [5, 18].) The reader should note that the range of neutrino masses (Gev and keV) invoked in the latter works is consistent with the approximations leading to (29).

4. Kalb-Ramond Torsion Fluctuations, Anomalies and Majorana Mass Generation

Before concluding we would like to discuss another interesting aspect of the KR torsion: the generation of the masses of the right-handed Majorana neutrinos used above, e.g. in the range of GeV and keV as required in the $\nu$MSM model [5]. So far we have discussed the rôle of background KR torsion. However, as we discussed above, at the temperature $T_d$ the universe may undergo a phase transition to a vanishing $B_0$. The quantum fluctuations of the torsion, however, survive. In this section we would like to make a suggestion [20] that links these fluctuations to a mechanism for dynamical generation of (chirality changing) Majorana mass terms for neutrinos.

To discuss quantum aspects of torsion we first notice that the KR H-torsion is a totally antisymmetric type of torsion coupled to fermions as (using for brevity differential form language):

$$S_\psi \equiv -\frac{3}{4} \int S \wedge^* J^5,$$

where $J^5_{\mu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^5 \psi$ is the axial fermion current. Here the fermions $\psi$ are generic and represent all sermonic excitations of the Standard Model plus right handed Majorana neutrinos. The totally antisymmetric part of the torsion $S = ^* T$, that is $S_d = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abc} T_{abc}$, where $T_{abc}$ is the contorsion which is proportional to $H_{abc} = \epsilon_{abc} \partial^b \phi(x)$ in our case, with $b$ the KR axion field. Classically one has the Bianchi identity $d^* S = 0$.

To discuss quantum corrections [20] we impose the constrain that quantum corrections should not affect this Bianchi identity, which allows for a definition of a conserved torsion charge
\( Q = \int^* S \). Implementing this constraint via a delta function in the relevant path integral \( \delta(d^*S) \) leads to the introduction of a Lagrange multiplier field \( b \)

\[
\mathcal{Z} \equiv \int DS \, Db \, \exp \left[ i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} S \wedge \ast S - \frac{3}{4} S \wedge \ast J^5 + \left( \frac{3}{2\kappa^2} \right)^{1/2} b \, d^*S \right] = \int Db \, \exp \left[ -i \int \frac{1}{2} db \wedge \ast db + \frac{1}{f_b} db \wedge \ast J^5 + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} J^5 \wedge J^5 \right],
\]

where \( f_b = (3\kappa^2/8)^{-1/2} = \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \) and the non-propagating \( S \) field has been integrated out. Here we have used the same notation \( b \) for the Lagrange multiplier field as the background KR axion field. This is for reasons of economy. The field \( b \) in (32) denotes quantum fluctuations of the KR axion, and we assume a vanishing background for this field today. If one considers the quantum fluctuations about the background then the background terms are understood (but not explicitly given) in (32). The reader should notice that, as a result of this integration, the corresponding effective field theory contains a non-renormalizable repulsive four-fermion axial-current-current interaction. By partially integrating the term \( db \wedge \ast J^5 \) and using the (one-loop exact) chiral anomaly equation

\[
\nabla_\mu J_5^\mu = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{192\pi^2} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},
\]

where \( F \) denotes field strength of gauge fields, and \( R \) is the four-dimensional space time gravitational curvature, we obtain an effective “axion-like” coupling for the KR axion with the gauge sector

\[
S_{\text{eff}} \equiv -\frac{e^2}{8\pi^2 f_b} \int b(x) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{192\pi^2 f_b} \int b(x) R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},
\]

where the \((\tilde{A}_\mu...\)) notation denotes a tensor dual to \( A_{\mu...}\). The important point to notice is that the \( b \) axion field is massless, unlike the ordinary axion field.

We notice at this stage, that for the case of the electromagnetic field, the term \( bF_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \) becomes (up to total derivative terms) a Chern-Simons (CS) form in four space-time dimensions

\[
\int bF_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \propto S_{\text{CS}} = \int B_\mu A_\nu F_{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad B_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} H^{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\delta b(x).
\]

Notice that \( B_\mu \) is nothing but our axial vector coupled to the fermions in (10), but here is not a background but a full fledged quantum field. In fact, when considering the coupling of charged fermions (\( e.g. \) electrons or quarks) with the electromagnetic field \( A_\mu \), the presence of such CS terms may affect the quantum photon propagator. This subject is still controversial, and we postpone a detailed discussion for a forthcoming publication [34].

For the purposes of the current work, we notice that, following ref. [20], we may couple (via appropriate Yukawa interactions of strength \( y_a \)) the (right-handed) Majorana fermions to an ordinary axion field, \( a(x) \), which is allowed to mix (via the corresponding kinetic terms \( \gamma \int \partial^\mu b \partial^\mu a, \) with \( |\gamma| < 1 \)) with the KR axion \( b(x) \). It is convenient to diagonalize the axion kinetic terms by redefining the KR axion field

\[
b(x) \rightarrow b'(x) \equiv b(x) + \gamma a(x)
\]

and canonically normalise the axion field \( a \). The \( b' \) field decouples, then, leaving an effective axion-fermion action [20]:

\[
\text{(35)}
\]
Figure 1. Feynman graph giving rise to anomalous fermion mass generation. The black circle denotes the operator \( a(x) R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \) induced by torsion. Wavy lines are gravitons, dashed lines pertain to axion \( a(x) \) propagators, while continuous lines denote Majorana spinors.

\[
S_a = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} a \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma a(x)}{192\pi^2 f_b \sqrt{1-\gamma^2}} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{iy_a}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}} a(x) \left( \bar{\psi}_R^C \psi_R - \bar{\psi}_R \psi_R^C \right) + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} J^{5\mu} J^{5\mu} \right].
\]  

(36)

The mechanism for the anomalous Majorana mass generation is shown in Fig. 1. We may now estimate the two-loop Majorana neutrino mass in quantum gravity with an effective UV energy cut-off \( \Lambda \) by adopting the effective field-theory framework of [45]. This leads to a gravitationally induced Majorana mass \( M_R \):

\[
M_R \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} y_a \gamma \kappa^5 \Lambda^6}{49152 \sqrt{8} \pi^4 (1-\gamma^2)}.
\]  

(37)

In a UV complete theory such as strings, \( \Lambda \) and \( M_P \) are related, since \( \Lambda \) is proportional to \( M_s \) and the latter is related to \( M_P \) (or \( \kappa \)) via the string coupling and the compactification volume. Obviously, the generation of \( M_R \) is highly model dependent. Taking, for example, the quantum gravity scale to be \( \Lambda = 10^{17} \) GeV, we find that \( M_R \) is at the TeV scale, for \( y_a = 10^{-3} \) and \( \gamma = 0.1 \). However, if we take the quantum gravity scale to be close to the GUT scale, i.e. \( \Lambda = 10^{16} \) GeV, we obtain a right-handed neutrino mass \( M_R \sim 16 \) keV, for the choice \( y_a = \gamma = 10^{-3} \). This is in the preferred ballpark region for the sterile neutrino \( \psi_R \) to qualify as a warm dark matter [18].

In a string-theoretic framework, many axions might exist that could mix with each other. Such a mixing can give rise to reduced UV sensitivity of the two-loop graph shown in Fig. 1. To make this point explicit, let us therefore consider a scenario with a number \( n \) axion fields, \( a_{1,2,...,n} \). Of this collection of \( n \) pseudoscalars, only \( a_1 \) has a kinetic mixing term \( \gamma \) with the KR axion \( b \) and only \( a_n \) has a Yukawa coupling \( y_a \) to right-handed neutrinos \( \psi_R \). The other axions \( a_{2,3,...,n} \) have a next-to-neighbour mixing pattern. In such a model, the anomalously generated Majorana mass may be estimated to be [20]

\[
M_R \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} y_a \gamma \kappa^5 \Lambda^{6-2n}(\delta M_a^2)^n}{49152 \sqrt{8} \pi^4 (1-\gamma^2)}.
\]  

(38)

for \( n \leq 3 \), and thus independent of \( \Lambda \) for \( n = 3 \). Of course, beyond the two loops, \( M_R \) will depend on higher powers of the energy cut-off \( \Lambda \), i.e. \( \Lambda^{n>3} \), but if \( k\Lambda \ll 1 \), these higher-order effects are expected to be subdominant. In the above \( n \)-axion-mixing scenarios, the anomalously generated Majorana mass term will only depend on the mass-mixing parameters \( \delta M_a^2 \) of the axion fields and not on their masses themselves, as long as \( n \leq 3 \).
5. Conclusions and Outlook
In this note we have discussed ways of obtaining leptogenesis/baryogenesis, which do not follow the Sakharov paradigm and involve non-trivial background geometries of the early universe that violate Lorentz symmetry. As a specific example we considered a string-inspired theory involving anstisymmetric Kalb-Ramond (KR) tensor fields of spin 1, which in four space-time dimensions are equivalent to a pseudoscalar degree of freedom (the KR axion). The KR field provides the geometry with an appropriate totally antisymmetric torsion. The latter couples to all matter fermions both charged and neutral, but it is the coupling to right-handed Majorana neutrinos that plays a crucial rôle in providing microscopic processes of neutrino/antineutrino oscillations underlying the generation of matter-antimatter asymmetry in the lepton sector at high temperatures. The latter is then communicated to the baryon sector via the standard baryon-minus-lepton-number conserving sphaleron processes. The string universe is assumed to undergo a phase transition at a given temperature, at which the background KR axion field vanishes (or is diminished significantly, in agreement with the stringent bounds today on the Lorentz-symmetry-violating parameter of the standard model extension that corresponds to this background).

We have also shown how quantum fluctuations of the KR torsion can generate an effective (right-handed) Majorana neutrino mass $M_R$ at two loops by gravitational interactions that involve global anomalies. The KR axion $b$ couples to both matter and gravitation and radiation gauge fields. In perturbation theory, this axion field $b(x)$ derived from torsion has derivative couplings, leading to an axion shift symmetry: $b(x) \rightarrow b(x) + c$, where $c$ is an arbitrary constant. If another axion field $a(x)$ or fields are present in the theory, the shift symmetry may be broken, giving rise to axion masses and chirality changing Yukawa couplings to massless fermions, such as right-handed Majorana neutrinos $\psi_R$. In this latter scenarios, two potential candidates for (non-supersymmetric) dark matter, right-handed neutrinos and axions, play a non-trivial rôle in generating neutrino masses in minimal extensions of the standard model beyond the standard seesaw. The cosmology of such axion/right-handed neutrino models is still to be investigated.

As a final remark, in connection with what was also discussed in this meeting by A. Aranda [46], it could be interesting to try and embed this simplified Kalb-Ramond-string model in realistic brane theories, where the Yukawa couplings of the ordinary axion fields to the Majorana neutrinos, which from a field theory point of view appear as arbitrary parameters, may be determined by means of appropriate compactifications of the underlying microscopic brane model. This is a long shot but in my opinion worths a try.
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