



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.07.010)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nobert, S., & Pelling, M. (2017). What can adaptation to climate-related hazards tell us about the politics of time making? Exploring durations and temporal disjunctures through the 2013 London heat wave. *GEOFORUM*, 85, 122-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.07.010>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 What can adaptation to climate-related hazards tell us about the politics of time
2 making? Exploring durations and temporal disjunctures through the 2013
3 London heat wave

4
5

6 **Abstract:**

7 **Temporalities seem to have made a comeback as an object of geographical enquiries. Drawing on a set of**
8 **in-depth interviews conducted with elderly residents of London during the heat wave of 2013, this paper**
9 **explores temporal awareness through the concept of duration and its wider relevance to the geography of**
10 **risk and the social studies of disasters. It argues that the overwhelming attention given to the logics of**
11 **speed and urgency that underpin adaptation to climate change has restricted the capacity for**
12 **geographers interested in risk and disasters to recognise distinct temporal perspectives and logics of**
13 **action situated outside the open futures promoted by the concept of adaptation. The paper concludes by**
14 **emphasising that a better understanding of what temporal durations entail could also help to find**
15 **different ways to understand and experience the inherent movements and changes that are intrinsic to**
16 **time and to life more generally.**

17

18 **1 Introduction**

19 In his sociological account of the deadly 1995 Chicago heat wave, Eric Klinenberg
20 (1999: 242) defines environmental hazards as "revealers of social conditions", providing us
21 with an opportunity to see the underlying political processes involved in framing these events
22 as biophysical. Building on Klinenberg's work, Richard Keller's (2015) recent inquiry into
23 the reasons that transformed the 2003 heat wave into a devastating political and social crisis
24 in France, more specifically in Paris, has shown how a different valuation of life in French
25 society preconditioned certain categories of citizens (e.g. the poor, the elderly, the homeless)
26 to fall victim to the heat. With the exception of some yellow grass patches, the 2013 London
27 heat wave has left few traces and can hardly be compared with the heat waves in Chicago and
28 Paris. Nonetheless, its institutional politics is no less interesting than those more spectacular
29 cases. This is because the 2013 London heat wave occurred in an era of scientific consensus
30 on catastrophic climate change: a stretch of human history in which future-oriented
31 anticipatory governance has become key to the organisation of human and non-human
32 relations through concepts such as adaptation to climate change. Thus, exploring the
33 management and experience of the 2013 London heat wave offers a lens through which we
34 can investigate the tensions between the discourse and practices of climate change adaptation
35 and everyday experiences of so-called hazards such as the heat, but more importantly for this
36 paper, this new focus on heat waves provides a way to highlight the temporal tensions at the
37 heart of hazards management. This temporal interest leads the paper to argue that the 2013
38 London heat wave was more than a hot air mass that put the life of the elderly at risk. It was
39 also a heuristic capable of capturing the wider politics of time making (the differentiated
40 production, embodiment and mobilisation of movement) that animates and contests the
41 accelerated dynamics of climate change adaptation discourse and practices. Exploring the
42 politics of time involves opening up the production of various temporal modalities and
43 durations that shape time awareness and that allow temporal experiences to be recognised
44 ontologically.

45

1 Before going further in exploring the politics of time making, central concepts allowing
 2 us to identify how its formation occurs need to be unpacked. For the sake of clarity, this
 3 paper conceptualises time as pure change and differences (see Deleuze 1968), and draws on
 4 the work of Henri Bergson (1927, 1939) to define and understand durations. For Bergson,
 5 durations (although he uses the singular as *la durée* in French) become the ultimate
 6 experience of time. Thus, durations (i.e. the multiple experiences of *la durée*) do not only
 7 refer here to the contractions or extensions of intersubjective time; they also refer to the
 8 phenomenological expressions of time that make some events survive in the flow of the now
 9 and hence they acquire a certain singularity in the movement of life more generally (Bergson
 10 1939, see also Whitehead 1964, 1967). They are dynamic and should be seen as “change, [as]
 11 a passage or [as] a becoming” that are constantly actualising the now, but that are also
 12 multiple, heterogeneous and successive (see Deleuze 1966: 29- 40). What becomes
 13 interesting with Bergson’s take on duration is the fusion of the past and the present together,
 14 making the present the most contracted level of the past and leaving the future to a state of
 15 actualisation of the extended past that is seen as being in perpetual movement (Bergson 1927,
 16 Deleuze 1966). This conceptualisation of durations connects the paper with what Alfred
 17 North Whitehead (1967: 187-188) has defined as the *percipient event*, which is similar to
 18 what Bergson calls the *actual* – a reference event – which takes place “in our observational
 19 present which we distinguish as being in some peculiar way our standpoint for perception”.
 20 By adding to Whitehead’s work, we see events as the junctions where abrupt change in the
 21 rhythmic order of time takes place. Their emergence is linked to the breaking points of the
 22 unpredicted, which are reconfiguring time flow by opening up new constellations of temporal
 23 relations and experiences (see Das 1995, Maldiney 2007: 183). As we are going to see in the
 24 next sections, the ontological substance of time as forward looking, embedded in and carried
 25 by the concept of adaptation to climate change and extreme weather events has
 26 overshadowed the significance of the percipient event involved in shaping what counts as the
 27 actual and that ends up actualising what counts as *here* and *now*.

28
 29 By building on those concepts, the paper argues that intellectual and political lenses
 30 serving the analysis of adaptation to climate change-related risks and disasters have led us to
 31 a state of temporal friction whereby the belief in a need to, and the urge to, embrace the fast-
 32 forward and accelerating futures of climate change have separated us from other temporal
 33 experiences/relations that shape and affect our relationships to hazards and risks (Hassan
 34 2009; Rosa 2010, 2013). One of those experiences/relations consists of what Martin
 35 Heidegger (1986) calls “temporal disjuncture” (*Unfung* in German), which bluntly refers to
 36 the feeling of a persisting *actual* that distorts its own transitivity in time flow, a sort of
 37 everlasting moment occurring in temporal duration (Haar 1989: 128). It is further argued that
 38 these temporal disjunctures are translating the multiplicity of durations occurring in time
 39 formation that is too often ignored, and yet, so central in influencing decisions made before,
 40 during and after hazards such as heat waves, and important to recognise in thinking our
 41 relationship to risk and hazards.

42
 43 Following the introduction, this argument unfolds in four interrelated sections. The first
 44 section defines how we have we have used for this study. The second section looks at how

1 time and temporalities have been discussed by the geography of risk and disasters, which has
2 led both critics and proponents of adaptation to climate change to pay less attention to the
3 processes of time formation (such as durations) in the production of hazards and how they are
4 experienced. Drawing on the 2013 London's heat wave, the third section exposes how the
5 discourse and practices of adaptation to climate change have worked to frame heat wave
6 temporality through processes of synchronisation that have prioritised accelerated clock-time
7 futures and urgency as the dominant temporal experiences of heat waves' management. More
8 specifically, this section looks at the anticipatory logic animating the heat wave risk
9 management for London and emphasises the paradoxical effects of prioritising long-term and
10 open futures on other temporal experiences such as durations. The fourth section then
11 investigates how the experience of heat unfolds a variety of durations that take shape in the
12 percipient event of the elderly and give sense to the actual. By investigating below the surface
13 of what the elderly define as "day by day planning", "common sense" and what we call "the
14 lingering effect", the paper uncovers temporal disjunctures taking place between the elderly
15 and heat wave management advice. Fifthly, the paper concludes that both the discourse and
16 the criticism of adaptation to date have played an important role in drawing attention to the
17 processes of acceleration, rather than to uncovering how durations are manifested in the
18 formation of temporal awareness and experiences that help us to question the catastrophic
19 framings of climate change and the categories of risk, vulnerability and extreme events. By
20 bringing together the concepts of temporal disjunctures and durations, the paper contributes
21 not only to the geography of risk and hazards but also to the wider research investigating time
22 and temporality in human geography as well as in the social sciences more generally.

23

24 **2 Capturing durations and temporal disjunctures**

25

26 Methodologically, this paper draws on a set of 30 semi-structured interviews with
27 independent elderly people (68–95 years old) and carers in the London Boroughs of Islington,
28 Waltham Forest and the City of London during and after the 2013 heat wave. First-hand
29 observations and analysis of policy documents from institutions involved in framing heat
30 wave management in England and climate-related risk worldwide have been used to
31 triangulate the interview data. The interviews focussed on how the elderly population
32 experienced and dealt with the heat, whilst documenting the temporal nature of human
33 experience and time awareness: of the temporal contours and boundaries of the extreme
34 weather and how this influenced their experience of the heat wave. All interviews were
35 systematically coded and analysed.

36

37 Although the older community was not studied as an object of social gerontology or
38 medical research, and the aim of the research was not to contribute to the geography of
39 ageing (see Harper and Laws 1995, Skinner et al. 2014), some of the findings exposed in this
40 paper could contribute to an understanding of the temporalities of ageing in late modernity
41 (e.g. Paiva 2016). Rather, the aim was to document how those defined as the most vulnerable
42 to heat wave risk (see WHO 2004, IPCC 2012, 2014) challenge assumptions about adaptive
43 futures by producing a politics of time that takes place through differential durations and
44 experiences of time. This empirical focus on the politics of time allows us to diversify what

1 counts as temporal experiences of hazards and to highlight what kind of time emerges from
 2 the elderly's relationships to the heat and climate-related hazards; a time situated outside the
 3 category of the future. In turn, this qualitative interest makes it possible to open a window on
 4 the formation of durations and temporal disjunctures that re part of everyday life.

6 **3 Time and the geography of risk and hazards**

8 Unlike floods, forest fires, hurricanes or typhoons, heat waves' temporality moves us in
 9 the experience and existence of slowness and standstill, where the urgency of climate change
 10 adaptation is confronted with the sluggish movement of life that is too often overlooked by
 11 hypermodernity proponents and critics. Yet, geographers interested in risk and disasters have
 12 not commonly engaged with these temporal dimensions of hazards, reflecting the wider
 13 enthusiasm for the discipline in conceptualising 'space' in space-time (e.g. Harvey 1989,
 14 1996; Massey 2005). Most of the academic work on risk and disasters has rather sought to
 15 edify geography as *the* discipline capable of providing the political responses needed to react
 16 to the challenges posed by climate-related hazards. The result has been often to reduce time
 17 to a simple arrow at the bottom of a graph, meaning that its ontological dimension becomes
 18 less central to geographers interested in risk and disasters. Thus, the ways in which heat
 19 waves have been engaged with in human geography have been mainly influenced by what we
 20 can perhaps simplistically divide into two broad intellectual streams. The first of those
 21 streams, which is also highly influential on the ways in which geography has positioned itself
 22 as the 'champion' of climate-related questions, is linked to structural functionalism and
 23 environmental social science (ESS). This intellectual stream builds on a realist ontology of
 24 climate change and risks and is epistemologically grounded in climate science, epidemiology,
 25 social psychology and neoclassical economics. The second stream is linked to post-
 26 structuralism and thus it embraces a constructivist/relational ontology of climate change,
 27 which in turn conceptualises risk as a way of being and acting rather than an external entity
 28 that must be controlled. Central to this stream we find Foucauldian concepts such as
 29 governmentality and biopolitics, which serve to uncover the 'epistemological architecture'
 30 framing heat wave politics (e.g. Klinenberg 1999, 2002; Keller 2015), but also concepts that
 31 come from the philosophers of movement Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari (1980), such as
 32 affect, assemblages and deterritorialisation, which have helped geographers to challenge
 33 neoliberal regimes of risk-based governance involved in shaping pre- and post-disaster
 34 management (e.g. Adey and Anderson 2011; Anderson and Adey 2011; Anderson 2015;
 35 Braun and McCarthy 2005; Grove 2012, 2013, 2014). None of these broad streams is
 36 completely independent, and obviously they carry their own sets of beliefs and assumptions
 37 that have worked to frame the ways in which time is understood and reproduced in the
 38 geography of risk and hazards and the social studies of disasters.

40 Notwithstanding their intellectual roots, these two broad streams of geographers have
 41 mainly grounded their interpretation and critique of time in what the French philosopher
 42 Henri Maldiney calls 'explicated time' (see Maldiney 2012, Nobert et al. 2016). This
 43 explicated time occurs in its discursive and external dimensions, taking the shape of past,
 44 presents, futures, epochs and periods, etc. It is also an ontological dimension of time that

1 dominates physical sciences, such as meteorology and climate sciences, as well as risk
2 governance, in the shape of scenarios and predictions. It is a time that is modelled and
3 projected ahead, denuded of singularities and seen as univocal. While this is in part related to
4 the highly technicist and instrumentalist roots of risk and disaster studies (e.g. White 1958,
5 1973), geographers of risk and hazards have remained relatively reluctant to engage with a
6 conception of time that exists outside the universe of explicated time in a world that favours
7 speed and futures as the dominant temporal relations. However, there is an important
8 distinction that deserves to be made here. While geographers versed in ESS have been acting
9 as catalysts of explicated time as an object of study and as a conceptual vehicle of research,
10 much of the work conducted by geographers borrowing from the philosophy of movement
11 has helped to develop a critique on its effects through the analysis of governmental
12 rationalities. Yet, this focus has left little room to interrogate the processes shaping
13 'implicated time' outside the 'explicated' category of the present. According to Maldiney
14 (2012), implicated time refers to a time of presence that exists through the multiple variations
15 that give shape to temporal rhythms and durations and that is inherent to life; it is interrelated
16 with explicated time in the formation of the actual, but the processes that are involved in
17 producing it have been largely unlooked by critical studies of risk and disasters (Nobert et al.
18 2016).

19

20 Although the significance of explicated time in questions of climate change could also be
21 attributable to the interest in foreseeing the development of catastrophic futures through
22 supercomputing capacities, it is also linked to the philosophies of risk management that
23 underpin risk discourse and practices such as the precautionary principle, which seeks to
24 identify worst case scenarios and when those scenarios (and their consequences) are likely to
25 manifest themselves (Jonas 1984, Dupuy 2002). Thus, the modalities of urgency and
26 anticipation promoted by the stream of ESS and structural functionalists have made it
27 possible to generate a 'temporal dialogue' with climate sciences and epidemiology studies in
28 their search for practical solutions to climate-related hazards. This temporal dialogue has not
29 only allowed geographers grounded in structural functionalism and ESS to carve out for
30 themselves a special epistemological niche on the question of climate change (see Castree et
31 al. 2014), but, more importantly, it has also temporalised climate change and its related
32 hazards into an explicated time problem that requires immediate and urgent action from
33 science-based policies (e.g. Berrang-Ford et al. 2011; Wolf et al. 2010a,b; Ford et al. 2015).

34

35 Unintentionally, and purely as a result of their research interests, Foucauldian and neo-
36 Foucauldian scholars have also been involved in mobilising an accelerated and explicated
37 time that shapes and is shaped by what Frédéric Neyrat (2008) defines as immuno-politics.
38 Drawing conceptually on Jacques Derrida's (2001) concepts of the auto-immunity of the
39 unscathed – *auto-immunité de l'indemne* in French – as well as on Roberto Esposito's process
40 of immunisation (2011), Ulrich Beck's notion of reflexive modernity (1996) and, indeed, on
41 Michel Foucault's biopolitics (2004), this immuno-politics is defined as seeking to avoid
42 harm through a series of practices (e.g. structural and non-structural measures) and ways of
43 thinking (e.g. adaptation, mitigation, resilience) that promotes the total protection of valued
44 life by accelerating time to secure futures (see also Anderson 2010, Grove 2014). Most of the

1 analyses that look at the making and effects of this immuno-politics have situated their
2 critique in a conceptualisation of risk that is defined by the sociologist Nikolas Rose (2001:
3 7) as "a family of ways of thinking and acting, involving calculations about probable futures
4 in the present followed by interventions into the present in order to control that potential
5 future". This reading of risk translates an understanding of time that has been influential on
6 the ways in which human geographers interested in anticipatory governance, futurity and
7 security have connected with time by emphasising that "futures are brought into the present
8 and take on some form of presence" (Adey and Anderson 2012: 1529). Underlying these
9 descriptions of risk and futures, explicated time remains central to the shaping of our
10 understanding of temporal politics, implying a form of stability in the shaping of
11 temporalities, as if futures remain open and mysterious while the present, is concrete and
12 understandable.

13
14 When one looks at current geographical literature in the so-called Anglosphere, it
15 becomes inevitable to note the importance of the 'Deleuzian turn' to geography, with the
16 popular use of concepts such as 'assemblages' and 'affect' and the Bergsonian-rooted concepts
17 of 'becoming' and multiplicity of times that have had a vast influence on the discipline since
18 the mid-1990s (see Crang 2001). While this work has helped geographers to understand the
19 role of time as being multiple, intertwined, in continual flux and in a process of becoming,
20 most of the interventions have focussed on the production of space-time, in which time has
21 remained almost seen as a by-product of space (Massey 2005, Lorimer 2005, Merriman et al.
22 2008, Merriman 2012). Although Deleuze and Guattari's (1980) concepts (e.g. affect,
23 assemblages, deterritorialisation, etc.) have helped geographers to shape a conception of
24 power as multiple, relational and affective in the study of disasters and risk (e.g. Grove
25 2014), many have connected Deleuzian concepts with a Foucauldian reading of power
26 relations, which has the effect of reviving a historical relationship with time, founded on
27 explicated time categories. Even though some of this work suggests that we should engage
28 with the present as a way to redefine a politics of life outside the future-obsessed and insecure
29 world proposed by neoliberalism (e.g. Grove 2013:15, Evans and Reid 2014, Chollet and
30 Felli 2015), there is little guidance on how those presents should be activated and, perhaps
31 more importantly, what kind of time constitutes, or should shape, those presents.

32
33 Indeed, phenomenologists of time such as Edmund Husserl (1905/1996), Martin
34 Heidegger (1927/1996), Maurice Merleau-Ponty (1945) or Paul Ricoeur (1984) have all
35 questioned the essence of time in the formation of the self and have highlighted the role of
36 duration in accounting for the perception of what they call internal time. Their work has also
37 provided us with capacities to think about and experience the multiple times produced and
38 embodied in and between humans and non-humans, something that was also pursued by
39 radical empiricists such as William James and Alfred North Whitehead. Even though these
40 ideas have been taken by geographers to extend what counts as life and experiences in
41 geographical knowledge, this corpus of work has remained essentially in the realms of non-
42 representational theory and cultural geography, feeding reflections on sensory moments
43 shaping our world, such as weather, landscapes or affective atmospheres (e.g. Anderson
44 2009; Lorimer 2005; Rose and Wylie 2006, Stewart 2011, 2011; Wylie 2002). This work has

1 also drawn on concepts such as dwelling (Heidegger 1996, Ingold 2011: 10–12), which in
2 turn helped to reconsider the "dynamics of subjectivity and subject formation" (Harrison
3 2007: 643) that affect the production and perception of temporal events. Indeed, the
4 examination of affective atmospheres and the influence exerted by the 'affect turn' in cultural
5 theory have contributed to thinking about temporal events in geography. Affect theorists,
6 such as Brian Massumi, Kathleen Stewart and Lauren Berlant in particular, are relevant here,
7 as they insist on cutting off the explicated dimensions of time by looking at affects or how
8 certain kinds of actions, desires and emotions unfold into moods and feelings that provide
9 substance to the present. Berlant (2011) shows that through these affective moments the
10 present becomes elastic, a process she calls the 'impasse'. If this elasticity bears some
11 resemblance to temporal disjunctures, the entrapment of time flow in a typology of emotions,
12 moods or feelings might not necessarily open up completely our capacities to connect to the
13 implicated dimensions of time; it could also restrict the acknowledgement of various
14 temporal durations and experiences to very specific states of knowing and being that
15 overlook at the importance of banal and intentional everyday-life practices that have little to
16 do with emotions. Although affect and non-representational theory have contributed
17 immensely to the ways in which human geographers interrogate the constitution of internal
18 time and space-time more generally (Thrift 1977a,b, 1996, 2008, May and Thrift 2001), it is
19 still the case that what constitutes movement, positionality or mobility, as well as the
20 relations within and between multiple temporalities, has been a peripheral interest for
21 geographers interested in risk and hazards in what is defined as the pressing era of climate
22 change. Thus, recognising durations and their manifestation in daily life is opening up a
23 different window for the geography of risk and hazards in understanding temporal politics
24 shaping hazards such as heat waves and the experiences.

25
26 Yet, in spite of the centrality occupied by time in the geography of risk and hazards (e.g.
27 forecasting, the precautionary principle, preparedness actions), there has been little interest in
28 recognising the opportunity offered by durations in accounting for the internal onflow of
29 time, the time of presence, which resists univocal, explicated clock-time production. This is
30 because durations unfold a large array of temporal politics affecting risk management and
31 particularly affecting the new terminology associated with climate change, such as adaptation
32 that is going to be explored in the next section.

33

34

35 **4 Synchronising the 2013 London heat wave and the paradox of open** 36 **futures**

37

38 Although the 2013 London heat wave was far less dramatic than the heat wave that took
39 place in Paris in 2003, the epistemological framings serving the English authorities dealing
40 with the heat risks were largely inspired by the 2003 French post-disaster response to the
41 14,802 deaths resulting from the heat (Keller 2015: 127). Following the French
42 mismanagement of the 2003 crisis, the World Health Organization (WHO), with the help of
43 the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), decided to write a report to help European
44 countries to respond to future heat waves (WHO 2004: 2), in which they highlight the need

1 for “good coordination between *health and meteorological agencies* and the development of
2 appropriate targeted advice and intervention measures” (emphasis added) and stress that
3 warnings should be developed alongside policy guidance. Above all, the aim of this report
4 was to ensure that authorities and citizens alike understand the medical risks of heat waves,
5 putting the onus on the institutions in charge of weather forecasting and public health (see
6 Keller 2015). While the international dimension of the WHO provides the perfect platform to
7 frame heat waves as a threat to global health by emphasising the risk of associated heart and
8 respiratory problems, its credibility as a monitoring institution has also played an important
9 role in making epidemiology and meteorology the trusted disciplines in the organising of heat
10 wave hazards.

11 Drawing on the quantificational logic of risk, demography, epidemiology and
12 meteorology became central to the development of powerful narratives translating heat into
13 risk mapping, numbers and probabilities of casualties and deaths. These modes of
14 representation have also provided us with the means through which heat waves are
15 experienced, known and controlled (e.g. Argaud et al. 2007, Basu and Samet 2002, Kovat and
16 Hajat 2008) while ensuring the capacity to organise ourselves ahead of catastrophic futures.
17 In some sense, heat waves, like most extreme weather and climate-related hazards, become
18 events through which futures are the focal point of attention. This future-oriented logic is
19 translated into the words of Public Health England’s heat wave plan (HWP) that was meant
20 to deal with the 2013 heat wave, for which the subtitle “Making the Case: the impact of heat
21 on health now and in the future” reasserts the ideal that the future needs to be controlled
22 immediately to mitigate the deaths of those described as vulnerable (PHE 2013). On
23 exploring the HWP, it becomes clear that not only a large part of heat wave management
24 concerns providing medical advice about how to deal with the heat, but that that advice is
25 directly linked to precipitating us in a future in which the urgency to adapt to the
26 consequences of climate change is already defined by the UK Climate Change Risk
27 Assessment 2012 report (CCRA). Published by the Department for Environment, Food and
28 Rural Affairs (DEFRA 2012), the CCRA aimed at setting the target for climate change
29 adaptation for the whole of the UK, which became the backbone of the 2013 HWP as well as
30 the material evidence giving shape to dangerous futures. By putting adaptation at the
31 forefront of preparedness efforts, the HWP (PHE 2013: 10) warns the British public that a
32 warmer future climate that will lead to “rising temperatures, mainly during the summer
33 [which] may result in an increase in death and hospital admissions due to cardio-vascular and
34 respiratory illness. This may particularly affect vulnerable groups such as the elderly.”

35
36 By reproducing the CCRA’s representation of the heat, the 2013 HWP focuses on
37 preparedness actions that aim at “detecting and preventing contingencies through a network
38 of central, regional and local organisations” that will reach the elderly and lead them to
39 behavioural change (Anderson 2010: 791). Although the HWP could be seen as a risk
40 instrument resulting from an immuno-politics that deals with the elderly and those considered
41 vulnerable to heat waves, it also plays an important role in the organisation of social
42 relations. This organisation is not so much related to power relations, but it does reassert the
43 dominance of explicated clock-time futures as the ontological foundation of time awareness.

1 For example, the HWP emphasises that "unless we take steps *now* to plan for the longer term
2 changes we will not be prepared ... to meet the expected challenges posed by climate change
3 in the medium and longer term" (2013: 10, emphasis added). This kind of risk framing
4 instates the temporal modality of urgency as an adaptive capacity, which leaves social actors
5 facing a constantly expanding and catastrophic future that should be known before it unfolds
6 (Chateauraynaud 2013). While some geographers have already highlighted the strong
7 emphasis given to the future in the concept of adaptation (e.g. Brace and Geoghean 2011;
8 Fincher et al. 2014, Fincher et al. 2015), these criticisms have been formulated through an
9 understanding of time that gave priority to explicated time and the categories of past, present
10 and future and have unintentionally played a role in overshadowing the existence of multiple
11 temporal experiences and durations shaping the percipient event. This shadowing effect is
12 somehow linked to the paradox of explicated time futures: while those futures are
13 conceptualised as open, they reduce/shut down our spectrum of time perception to the
14 contours of explicated time categories.

15 As the historian of concepts Reinhart Koselleck (1985) has brilliantly exposed, the social
16 conception of time, especially of the future, witnessed an important mutation during the 19th
17 century, mainly linked to the intellectual debates emerging from the philosophy of history.
18 The move from a conception of the future as closed to a conception of openness and
19 indeterminate has transfigured the ways in which the category of action that is the future has
20 shaped collective imaginaries and framed our relations to time. In accordance with
21 Koselleck's (1985) work, it is not imprudent to say that the emergence of modern apparatus
22 enabling the proliferation of immunological measures such as adaptation to climate change
23 occurred partly through the shift between closed and opened clock-time futures (Esposito
24 2011, Grove 2014). The resulting effects of facing an open and expanding future are not only
25 the overemphasis placed on the insecurities brought by the uncertainties and indeterminacies
26 of the open (e.g. Chollet and Felli 2015); they are also about defining what counts as actual
27 and selecting which events participate in the production of the percipient event and become
28 the reference points to temporal awareness and experiences.

29 For example, the most recent IPCC report (2014) stresses that "[a]daptive management
30 places an emphasis on *taking action* and then using the lessons learned to *inform future*
31 *actions* in order to make better informed, and often incremental, decisions in the face of
32 uncertainty" (Chapter 14: 849, emphasis added). Although this statement is nothing more
33 than a translation of adaptation thinking, it illustrates what Paul Virilio (2005: 38-39) defines
34 as the synchronisation of collective actions, which results from the discourses and practices
35 involved in shaping technologies and governance regimes that embody urgency as a way of
36 being and that imposes accelerated futures as a temporal experience. The very nature of this
37 synchronisation ideal also indicates that there is another kind of temporal awareness that
38 detracts us from the somehow 'tamed' explicated time. Thus, if adaptation aims at
39 synchronising action, it also selects, or at least it is assumed that it selects, what counts as
40 temporal experience in the constitution of the percipient event. By so doing, though, both the
41 discourse and the practices of adaptation unveil that the modalities of everyday life actions
42 are also made of different temporal experiences that exist simultaneously with those
43 translated by explicated time, but that we have somehow learnt to ignore.

1 In the current context of climate change and heat wave risk management, the discourse
 2 and practices of adaptation seem to avoid engaging with the ontological difference between
 3 the phenomenon of heat and the speed at which it occurs, moves and lasts and the temporal
 4 experiences/awareness that such extreme weather events are involved in producing among
 5 those experiencing the heat. If the urgency of adapting to climate change is embodied by a
 6 discourse and practices such as those found in the HWP, paradoxically, the interest in
 7 synchronising action in the face of climate change is unable to erase the differentiated
 8 temporal experiences that collide before, during and after climate-related events and their
 9 management. These temporal collisions lead inevitably to tensions in the ways in which heat
 10 waves are framed scientifically, acted upon politically and experienced individually, which in
 11 turn is precipitating us into a series of frictions and disjunctures between multiple temporal
 12 durations involved in positioning and actualising the now, the moment – the actual in the
 13 making. The next section will explore how those disjunctures take place in the life of the
 14 elderly, making it possible to capture a rather different definition of the time that unfolds
 15 futures through the temporal durations taking place in the percipient event of the actual, the
 16 ‘here’ and the ‘now’.

17

18 **5 Experiencing heat and locating temporal disjunctures in the experience of** 19 **heat waves**

20 Reflecting on how she was feeling when the heat wave hit London in August 2013, a 90-
 21 year-old pensioner residing in Islington was translating what most elderly people felt when
 22 she talked about the heat: "I just don't like too hot, too sticky" (Interviewee 13). If the pearls
 23 of sweat on her forehead indicated her discomfort in the heat, they were not enough to deter
 24 her interest in taking part in a card game held straight after our interview, a game constituted
 25 by people fitting into the category of 'at risk' defined by the HWP (2013). As Interviewee 13
 26 mentioned just before ending the interview, heat "doesn't make me ill. It just makes me
 27 sweat." If her comment can be generalised to the majority of the elderly people interviewed
 28 for this research, the fact that this kind of heat could become pervasive as a result of a
 29 changing climate was not seen as catastrophic by most of the elderly people encountered in
 30 the research process. As Interviewee 12 summarised well, "I've seen a lot of cold and hot
 31 days in my life, if climate change means hotter [temperature], I suppose as you get older, you
 32 get acclimatised to it or whatever" (Interviewee 12, Islington). Getting used to "whatever" the
 33 weather or the climate brings not only implies a form of adaptive capacity to cope with
 34 recurrent hot spells as projected by the IPCC (2011, 2014), but also suggests a relation to
 35 time that differs from the urgency to capture what the open future holds before it even
 36 materialises, as extolled by climate adaptation proponents (Ford et. al 2015).

37 *5.1 Day-by-day planning and common sense in experiencing and producing time*

38 Although these attitudes to heat wave risk have already been well documented by research
 39 looking at the perceptions of climate- and weather-related risks among the elderly (e.g.
 40 Abrahamson 2008, Wolf et al. 2009, 2010a,b), most of the analysis has focussed on mental
 41 models of risk communication, highlighting tensions in the risk message put forward by
 42 epidemiology to prevent an increase in both morbidity and mortality rates related to heat stress

1 (e.g. HWP 2013). However, this interest in the cognitive dimension of risk communication has
 2 overlooked the fact that being relaxed about the heat is also indicative of temporal disjunctions
 3 between, firstly, the urgency to adapt and to prevent loss of life in the face of dangerous heat
 4 as promoted by the HWP and, secondly, the ways in which the elderly produce and experience
 5 time. For example, when investigating how elderly people were planning for heat waves, it was
 6 clear that the open and everlasting future of climate change was ignored and replaced by what
 7 was described as "day by day planning" (Interviewee 10, Islington). 'Day by day' planning not
 8 only suggests a temporal experience that collides with that of the urgency of future-oriented of
 9 adaptation (and heat wave management more generally), but it also shows a shift of attention
 10 from the future to the actual, which in turn suggests another perception of time flow that is
 11 open to surprises and that accepts multiple directions and ruptures (see also Shirani and
 12 Henwood 2011). In other words, what guides the elderly in the planning of their lives translates
 13 a temporal experience that does not reflect (or at least that is rather absent from) the
 14 pervasiveness of explicated time moulding the HWP. Rather, we are introduced to a temporal
 15 duration that shapes what counts as the 'here' and 'now' and that opens up an ontological
 16 dimension of time to the processes that are forging the percipient event (Bergson 1927, 1939,
 17 Whitehead 1964). This Bergsonian reading of time as pure flow rather than explicated,
 18 encountered/perceived through durations, is not only demonstrated through the 'day by day'
 19 planning, but is taking place in practices that are feeding the actual and that are in part
 20 constituted by memories. As an 85-year-old described, her capacity to cope with the heat had
 21 been drawing on what she called

22 my common sense, for example going out in the evening to go shopping, creating the
 23 draughts by leaving the doors open, and in case I don't feel like cooking, my son's
 24 girlfriend can do the cooking for me and he can go out shopping. It is about common
 25 sense really. (Interviewee 19, Islington)

26 The "common sense" that Interviewee 19 refers to was often mentioned by the vast majority
 27 of participants to describe what they did to cool themselves down during heat waves, something
 28 that was also noted in previous research on heat waves in a different context and that relates to
 29 using knowledge of the past to shape the actual (e.g. Sampson et al. 2013; Wolf et al. 2010a,b).
 30 However, unfolding this notion of common sense lays a time awareness that translates a
 31 duration that also brings us outside the realms of acceleration, urgency and speed. For example,
 32 by making use of 'common sense', Interviewee 19 looks at the current situation, sees how it
 33 develops and organises herself without needing to make major plans for the future. This
 34 connection to the *actual* also appears in the attitude of many elderly people, who say, "I always
 35 say you never know what's around the corner tomorrow. So I enjoy today ... I mean the thing
 36 is just enjoying life every day" (Interviewee 3). Instead of seeing the future extending,
 37 Interviewee 3 sees it as contracting, which is an indication that her temporal experience
 38 becomes re-centred on the actual. Re-centring attention on the actual suggests that what counts
 39 for this interviewee relates to short-term planning, to the time of presence that leads us into the
 40 experience of durations, where what constitutes the actual extends rather than contracts. This
 41 contraction brings also a different sense to anticipation and to what constitutes the future.

42 It is also the *actual* and the interest in today rather than tomorrow that make up the substance
 43 of 'common sense' and how the latter is linked to another important temporal experience

1 shaping the duration of the now that needs to be put back into the discussion about heat wave
 2 management: “I take it as it comes” (Interviewee 20, Barbican). This “as it comes” is
 3 substantiated by field notes, which also help to make it clear that during the hottest days of the
 4 2013 heat wave, most people mentioned that their main technique for dealing with the heat was
 5 to “dress lightly” and drink a bit more fluids, but few mentioned that they looked at the forecasts
 6 to check how long the heat was going to last. Although most interviewees were aware of what
 7 to do, their main reaction to the heat was to wait and see how it went. This actualisation of the
 8 now contradicts what most preventive approaches to heat emphasise, as the leitmotiv ‘people
 9 *must prepare for*’ is then replaced by ‘people *deal with the heat as it unfolds*’. This
 10 repositioning reiterates that people tend to deal with extreme weather events when they become
 11 obvious to them (Abrahamson et al. 2008; Morss and Heyden 2010; Parker et al. 2009), but it
 12 also tells us something about the significance of what constitutes the actual – it introduces the
 13 sensation of the heat as focal point to the percipient event and changes the experience of time
 14 and movement, as described by an elderly man from Waltham Forest who stresses that the heat
 15 "seems to be there forever, yet it was only here for one day" (Interviewee 5).

16 The implication of this production of time is significant in terms of defining a sense of
 17 temporal awareness, durations and foreseenness, as what makes an event last and become
 18 knowable cannot be restricted to only social psychology or body thermodynamics; it needs to
 19 address the corporeality of time duration that escapes the predefined metrics of clock-time.
 20 Thus, how different experiences of the heat wave are retained in the passage of time links to
 21 the influx and coexistence of different durations that result in temporal disjunctures. How an
 22 event survives the actualisation of the immediate past within the immediacy of the actual is
 23 linked intrinsically to its durations (Bergson 1927). Those durations become central in defining
 24 ‘common sense’ actions that conflict with the univocal accelerated clock-time embodied in and
 25 reproduced by heat wave adaptation strategies. For example, most choices reported by the
 26 interviewees about how to cope with the heat were anchored in past experiences and in
 27 ‘common sense’ occurring in the actual, that is, at the fusion of the immediate past (e.g.
 28 memory) and the immediacy of the now in the making, rather than in advice given to them by
 29 the government that is meant to prepare them for the anticipated future. This fluidity between
 30 the lived and living is providing a different connotation to the becoming, as rather open to
 31 change, than predictable.

32 *5.2 The lingering effect as durative time*

33 The elderly population’s attitude of ‘taking it as it comes’ brings us to a duration of time
 34 through which what counts as the actual seems to flow slower than the state of urgency put
 35 forward by adaptation discourse and the medical advice of the HWP. This kind of slow-motion
 36 perspective and duration was described by Interviewee 21 (Barbican), who mentioned that
 37 being older makes her more of “an observer ... because you have more time to think. Most of
 38 your life you’re so busy doing your job as I was. I was working at night till I moved away. You
 39 didn’t really have time to stop and think” (Interviewee 21, Barbican). This feeling of stopping
 40 and thinking in the position of an observer indicates a time lag that becomes the interviewee’s
 41 percipient event that concerns the difference between experiencing the actual and being
 42 exposed to the high-speed and accelerated life surrounding the interviewee. There is thus a

1 temporal disjunction taking place between the extended/elongated experience of time defining
 2 the actual and the contracted effect provoked by the urgency to adapt to future heat waves
 3 linked to the virtual. In turn, this temporal disjunction links us to what we call the lingering
 4 effect (see Liandrat-Guides 2009: 13), whereby the extended/elongated duration of time opens
 5 up a possibility to interrogate aesthetic changes in the formation of time flow and the
 6 atmospheres that are unnoticed by the contraction of the actual resulting from the
 7 overwhelming attention put on gripping dangerous futures before they develop. This lingering
 8 effect of ageing highlights another temporal disjuncture emerging from differential time
 9 durations and experiences that have been rather ignored by adaptation proponents and disaster
 10 risk reduction management more generally.

11 Another illustration of how the lingering effect becomes entangled with the percipient event
 12 for the elderly came from a discussion with an 83-year-old man about whether he looked at
 13 meteorological forecasts to improve his preparedness during the 2013 heat wave:

14 No. It is quite interesting. You'll see the weather forecast on the television ten times a
 15 day, and even when I'm going to bed, I see the half past eleven weather forecast on
 16 *Newsnight*. Yet, you ask me ten minutes later to recount what's going on . . . it goes
 17 over my head. In a sense, it's not significant. You get a 20-minute piece of news and
 18 there are 7 or 8 minutes of weather forecast. I mean it's the time you go out to make a
 19 cup of tea or something. (Interviewee 22, Barbican)

20
 21 What Interviewee 22 says in this quotation is that the daily life of an elderly person is often
 22 contingent on an extended actual. By ignoring information about the future development of
 23 the 2013 heat wave, Interviewee 22 intentionally used the time allocated to watching weather
 24 forecasts to reassert the prevalence of the actual by doing something that linked him to the
 25 lingering effect of preparing a cup of tea that was outside the rush to look for the future
 26 development of a threatening heat wave, trivialising the information about tomorrow's
 27 weather. As Interviewee 22 mentioned, the forecasted impact of the heat waves is forgotten
 28 ten minutes after the weather forecast has ended. Although many elderly people mentioned
 29 that they watch weather forecasts on the television or listen to them on the radio daily, few
 30 stressed that information about the future state of the weather would change their planning of
 31 each day in significant ways, reminding us of the extended temporal duration within which
 32 most of them define their experiences and situate themselves. Forecasted information
 33 becomes, then, a part of the temporal disjunctures occurring between the compressed future
 34 of weather predictions and the extended/elongated actual, with a result that impacts on how
 35 the elderly trust information. As Interviewee 24, a 76-year-old woman, told us:

36 My husband is totally dismissive of weather forecasts. He doesn't believe it. I don't
 37 believe it. But I see weather systems moving; probably with such a small landmass, they
 38 could accelerate or decelerate and the time that you expect to get the weather,
 39 whatever it is, changes. Maybe it's not when it's changing. But when it occurs, it's not so
 40 predictable. (Interviewee 24, Barbican)

41 What Interviewee 24 tells us in this excerpt is that while there is an acceptance that predicting
 42 the future is difficult and always contingent on the movement that constitutes the essence of

1 time, the interest in the prediction is not so much in what it says about the future, but how the
 2 moment when weather patterns occur is represented. In other words, the representation of
 3 how and when the weather develops, rather than its future scenarios, seems important,
 4 indicating in the same trend an interest and trust in information that translates the movement
 5 of weather patterns in the actual. Although none of the interviewees we spoke to during this
 6 research denied the immanence of the future in the now or the virtual in the actual, the
 7 temporal disjunctures that appeared through the study of the elderly's experiences of the 2013
 8 heat wave allow us to see tensions between different time durations that frame the
 9 experiences and the practices of managing heat waves. In turn, these durations somehow
 10 translate the phenomenological dimensions of implicated time awareness that are too often
 11 reduced and simplified by explicated time and the imposed modalities of action that are
 12 urgency and acceleration. The persistence and existence of these differentiated experiences of
 13 time and the various durations that constitute the actual contradict the belief in univocal
 14 synchronism of clock time put forward by adaptation discourse and practices in the face of
 15 climate- and weather-related risks. This contradiction deserves more attention from
 16 proponents and critics of adaptation practices and discourses, as the temporalities that are
 17 valued from the perspective of risk governance are not necessarily those defining the life of
 18 those defined as vulnerable.

19 By getting older and becoming 'observers' in the world they inhabit, the elderly experience
 20 the heat as it unfolds, which in turn translates into a time awareness that highlights the
 21 significance of the actual in their daily life. This actual is made of multiple and simultaneous
 22 durations that substitute the future as the only category of action that should prevail in
 23 defining our relationship to a changing climate. Thus, the elderly's experiences of the 2013
 24 heat wave demonstrate that a political claim for greater ontological security in the face of
 25 major social and environmental challenges such as climate change will inevitably lead to
 26 overlooking the existence of different temporal experiences that might have the potential to
 27 reorient our attention to novel possibilities of engaging with both social and biophysical
 28 processes that shape our lives.

29

30 **6 Conclusion: Heat waves as heuristics of temporal durations**

31 Although the 2013 London heat wave was eclipsed by other news about more spectacular and
 32 destructive extreme weather events, the logic of anticipation and the strong emphasis on the
 33 development of adaptive mechanisms that enable us to grasp the future before a disaster
 34 strikes remain integral to our relationship with extreme weather (e.g. UNSDR 2015). One has
 35 only to look at the recent scientific and political effervescence leading to the development of
 36 climate services to realise the appeal of apprehending and controlling unwanted futures as a
 37 way of being – and an economic opportunity. However, as this paper argues, a more careful
 38 analysis of the ways in which hazards such as the 2013 heat wave are produced, represented
 39 and experienced reveal the coexistence of simultaneous temporal durations involved in the
 40 shaping of heat wave temporalities and the politics of time. While the paper shows that time
 41 has been explored and discussed in contemporary Anglo-American geography, explicated

1 and clock-time remain the main conceptual reference for many geographers when they talk
2 about time; any consistency, such as the links between durations and time awareness, has
3 been rather under-researched by geographers interested in risk and disasters.

4 The focus on the concept of duration has made it possible to open up a potential line of
5 inquiry for the geography of risk and disasters by paying attention to the wider politics of
6 time production that underpins the current discourse and practices of adaptation to climate
7 change but also the wider communication of risks and hazards more generally. Looking at the
8 elderly's experience of the heat wave as well as at the ways in which the elderly produce time
9 in their daily life, the paper has shown that temporal disjunctures are central to
10 misconnections between adaptation framing and the elderly who are categorised as
11 vulnerable. By drawing on Whitehead's (1967) concept of the 'percipient present', we argued
12 that the ways in which the elderly position themselves in the flow of time is contiguous to a
13 specific kind of temporal relations, which allows them to reverse the temporalities of
14 anticipation and acceleration underlying the open futures of adaptation with a time of
15 presence, an implicated time in which an elongated experience of time flow is given priority.
16 This in turn enables the elderly to pay attention to the processes of temporal durations in
17 which the *now/actual* is valued, highlighting the significance of the immediate past and of the
18 immediacy of actual as opposed to the future in expansion proposed by adaptation policy.
19 These reversed contractions and expansions of time indicate that time awareness is central to
20 the collision between explicated and implicated time, with the dominant explicated clock-
21 time serving the wider discourse and practices of adaptation put in place in the framing of
22 heat wave risk such as see in the HWP. These temporal relations challenge the temporal
23 framing of adaptation to heat wave risk, since by propelling us into the forward action of the
24 future and the virtual, adaptive strategies become strategies of diversion: they keep us away
25 from the events that constitute the now and thus we become less aware of the other
26 possibilities generated by the influx of simultaneous times. In other words, by looking
27 constantly ahead, we have forgotten to question why we are looking ahead and what is
28 surrounding us.

29 Durations not only give us an indication that there is another ontological substance to the
30 simultaneous movement that is shaping the time of our life, but also that there is a real mix of
31 time production and experiences that ought to be felt and understood. Yet, most attention has
32 been focussed on finding ways to maintain or criticising neoliberal ontological security and
33 continuity rather than questioning its modalities of action and the resulting consequences for
34 the capacity to think in a way that is different to thinking with reference to the future and
35 other explicated time categories. Although there are no perfect solutions to the political and
36 subsequent climate change crisis we are currently facing at the global and local scales,
37 exploring the formation and maintenance of durations in social practices also means
38 acknowledging other forms of temporal dimensions that are outside those proposed by
39 explicated clock-time and that have served to edify neoliberal futures. Exploring the
40 qualitative dimensions of life through concepts such as duration means that it is also possible
41 to realise that climate change adaptation needs to account for temporalities other than
42 explicated time and its futures if it is meant to survive (Serres 2005, 2009). Thus, by
43 focussing on temporal disjunctures and what constitutes the *actual*, we open up the ability to

1 recognise the forgotten capacities to think about life outside the dream of a hyper-accelerated
 2 modern world. Paying attention to what kind of time durations entail could also help to find
 3 different ways to live together and to live with the inherent movement and changes that are
 4 intrinsic to time and to life more generally.

5 **Acknowledgements:** Withheld for reviews

6

7 **References**

- 8 Abrahamson V, Wolf J, Lorenzoni I, Fenn B, Kovats S, Wilkinson P, Adger W N, and Raine
 9 R, 2008, Perceptions of heat wave risks to health: interview-based study of older people in
 10 London and Norwich, UK. *Journal of Public Health*, **31**:119–126.
- 11 Anderson B, 2009, "Affective Atmospheres" *Emotion, Space and Society*. 2009, **2**:77-81
- 12 Anderson B, 2010, "Preemption, Precaution, Preparedness: Anticipatory Action and Future
 13 Geographies" *Progress in Human Geography*, **34**:777-798
- 14 Anderson B, 2015, "Governing Emergencies: The Politics of Delay and the Logic of
 15 Response" *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, **41**:14-26
- 16 Anderson B, and Adey, P, 2011, "Affect and Security: Exercising Emergency in UK Civil
 17 Contingencies" *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* **29**:1092-1109
- 18 Anderson B, and Adey, P, 2012, "Future Geographies" *Environment and Planning A*
 19 **44**:1529-1535
- 20 Adey P, and Anderson B, 2011, "Event and Anticipation: UK Civil Contingencies and the
 21 Space-Times of Decision" *Environment and Planning A* **43**:2878-2899
- 22 Argaud L, Ferry T, Le Q H, Marfisi A, Ciorba D, Achache P, Ducluzeau R and Robert D,
 23 2007, "Short-and long-term outcomes of heatstroke following the 2003 heatwave in Lyon,
 24 France" *Archives of internal medicine* **167**:2177–2183.
- 25 Basu R, and Samet J M, 2002 "Relation between elevated ambient temperature and mortality:
 26 a review of the epidemiologic evidence" *Epidemiologic reviews* **24**: 190–202
- 27 Beck U, 1992, *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity* (Sage, London)
- 28 Berrang-Ford L, Ford J D, Paterson J 2011, "Are we adapting to climate change?" *Global*
 29 *Environmental Change* **21**: 25-33
- 30 Bergson H, 1927, *Essai sur les données immédiates de la conscience* (Presses universitaires
 31 de France, Paris)
- 32 Bergson H, 1939, *Matière et Mémoire: Essai sur la relation du corps à l'esprit*. (Presses
 33 universitaires de France, Paris)

- 1 Bergson H, 1941, *La pensée et le mouvant* (Presses universitaires de France, Paris)
- 2 Brace C, and Geoghegan H, 2011 “Human geographies of climate change: landscape,
3 temporality and lay knowledges” *Progress in Human Geography* **35**: 284-302
- 4 Braun B, and McCarthy J, 2005 "Hurricane Katrina and abandoned being" *Environment &*
5 *Planning D: Society & Space*, **23**, 802–809.
- 6 Castree N, Adams W M, Barry J, Brockington D, Büscher B, Corbera E, Demeritt D, Duffy
7 R, Felt U, Neves K, Newell P Pellizzoni L, Rigby K, Robbins P, Robin L, Bird Rose D, Ross
8 A, Schlosberg D, Sörlin S, West P, Whitehead M & Wynne B, 2014, "Changing the
9 intellectual climate" *Nature Climate Change* **4**: 763-768
- 10 Chateauraynaud F, 2013 “Regard Analytique sur l'Activité Visionnaire” in Bourg D., Joly P-
11 B and Kaufmann A. (eds), *Du risque à la menace: Penser la catastrophe* (Presse universitaire
12 de France, Paris: 287-306)
- 13 Chollet A and Felli, 2015, "Le catastrophisme écologique contre la démocratie" *Vertigo - la*
14 *revue électronique en sciences de l'environnement* [En ligne], Volume 15 Numéro
15 2 | Septembre 2015, mis en ligne le 05 octobre 2015, consulté le 01 février 2016. URL :
16 <http://vertigo.revues.org/16427> ; DOI : 10.4000/vertigo.1642
- 17 Crang M, 2001 Rhythms of the city: temporalised space and motion in May, J. and Thrift, N.
18 (eds) *Timespace: geographies of temporality* (Routledge, London: 187-207)
- 19 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), 2012, UK Climate Change
20 Assesment Report
21 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69487/pb1369
22 [8-climate-risk-assessment.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69487/pb1369)
- 23 Deleuze G, 1966 *Le Bergsonisme* (Presses universitaires de France, Paris)
- 24 Deleuze G, 1968 *Différence et Répétition* (Presses universitaires de France, Paris)
- 25 Deleuze G, and Guattari F, 1980, *Capitalisme et schizophrénie, Tome 2: Mille plateaux*
26 (Minuit, Paris)
- 27 Derrida J, 2001, *Papier machine* (Gallilée, Paris)
- 28 Dupuy J-P, 2002, *Pour un catastrophisme éclairé: quand l'impossible est certain* (Seuil,
29 Paris)
- 30 Esposito R, 2011, *Immunitas: The Protection and Negation of Life* (Polity Press, Cambridge)
- 31 Evans B, and Reid J, 2014, ‘*Resilient Life: The Art of Living Dangerously*’ (Polity Press,
32 Cambridge).
- 33 Fincher R, Barnett J, and Graham S, and Hurlimann, A. (2014) “Time stories: Making sense

- 1 of futures in anticipation of sea-level rise” *Geoforum* **56**: 201–210
- 2 Fincher R, 2015, “Temporalities in Adaptation to Sea-Level Rise” *Annals of the American*
3 *Association of Geographers* **105**: 263-273
- 4 Ford J D, Berrang-Ford L, Biesbroek R, Araos M, Austin S E, and Lesnikowski A, 2015
5 "Adaptation tracking for post-2015 climate agreement" *Nature Climate Change* **5**: 967-968.
- 6 Foucault M, 2004. *La naissance du biopolitique. Cours au Collège de France (1978-1979)*
7 (Seuil, Paris)
- 8 Game A, 1997, 'Time Unhinged' *Time and Society* **6**: 115-129
- 9 Grove K, 2012, “Preempting the next disaster: catastrophe insurance and the financialization
10 of disaster management” *Security Dialogue* **43** 139–155
- 11 Grove K, 2013, “From emergency management to managing emergence: a genealogy of
12 disaster-management in Jamaica” *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* **103**
13 570–588
- 14 Grove K, 2014, "Agency, affect and the immunological politics of disaster resilience"
15 *Environmental and Planning D: Society and Space* **32**: 240-256
- 16 Hamilton C, Bonneuil C, and Gemenne F, 2015 "Thinking the Anthropocene" in Hamilton C,
17 Bonneuil C, and Gemenne Fm (Eds) *The Anthropocene and the Global Environmental*
18 *Crisis: Rethinking modernity in a new epoch* (Routledge Environmental Series, Routledge,
19 London) pp 1-14
- 20 Haar M, 1989 “Temporalité “originaire” et temps “vulgaire” chez Heidegger” in
21 Couloubaratis L, Legros R, Richir M, Roviello A-M (Eds), *L'Expérience du Temps:*
22 *Mélanges offerts à Jean Paumen* (Ousia, Bruxelles)
- 23 Harper L and Laws G, 1995, “Rethinking the geography of ageing” *Progress in Human*
24 *Geography* **19**: 199–221
- 25 Harrison P, 2007, "The space between us: Opening remarks on the concept of dwelling"
26 *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* **25**:625-647
- 27 Harvey D, 1989, *The Condition of Postmodernity* (Blackwell, Oxford)
- 28 Harvey D, 1996, *Justice, Nature and the Geography of Difference* (Blackwell, Oxford)
- 29 Hassan R, 2009, *Empires of Speed: Time and the Acceleration of Politics and Society* (Brill,
30 Boston)
- 31 Heidegger M, 1986, *Les chemins qui ne mènent nulle part, first published in 1950*, translation
32 W Brokmeier (Gallimard, Paris)

- 1 Heidegger M, 1996, *Being and Time*, first published in 1927, translation J Stanaugh (SUNY
2 Press, Albany)
- 3 Husserl E, 1905/1996, *Leçon pour une phénoménologie de la conscience du temps*, edition
4 française (Presses universitaires de France, Paris)
- 5 Ingold T, 2011, *Being Alive: Essay on Movement, Knowledge and Description* (Routledge,
6 London)
- 7 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
8 2012, *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change
9 Adaptation-Summary for Policymakers. A Special Report for Working Groups I and II of
10 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (World Meteorological Organization,
11 Geneva, Switzerland)
- 12 2014, *Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the
13 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, (Cambridge
14 University Press, Cambridge, UK).
- 15 Jonas H, 1984, *The Imperative of responsibility: In search of an ethics for the technological
16 age* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago)
- 17 Keller R C, 2015 *Fatal Isolation: The Devastating Paris Heat Wave of 2003* (Chicago
18 University Press, Chicago)
- 19 Klinenberg E, 1999 "Denaturalizing disaster: A social autopsy of the 1995 Chicago
20 heatwave" *Theory and Society* **28**: 239–295.
21
- 22 Klinenberg E, 2002 *Heat Wave: A Social Autopsy of Disaster in Chicago* (University of
23 Chicago Press, Chicago)
24
- 25 Koselleck R, 1985 *Futures Past: On semantics of historical time* (MIT Press, Cambridge MA)
- 26 Kovats R S., and Hajat S, 2008, "Heat stress and public health: a critical review" *Annual
27 Review of Public Health* **29**: 41–55.
28
- 29 Liandrat-Guides S, 2009, "Une culture appaillée" in Liandrat-Guides S, (ed.) *Propos sur la
30 flânerie* (l'Harmanttan, Paris) pp 11-20
- 31 Lorimer H, 2006, "Herding memories of humans and animals" *Environment and Planning D:
32 Society and Space*, **24**: 497-518
- 33 May J and Thrift N, 2001, Introduction in May, J. and Thrift, N. (eds) *Timespace:
34 geographies of temporality* (Routledge, London)
- 35 Maldiney H, 2012 *Regard, Parole, Espace: Œuvres philosophiques* [Gaze, Speech, Space:
36 Philosophical Writings] (Les éditions du cerf, Paris)

- 1 Massey D, 2005, *For Space* (Sage London)
- 2 Merleau-Ponty M, 1945, *La phénoménologie de la perception* (Gallimard, Paris)
- 3 Merriman P, 2012, "Human geography without time-space" *Transactions of the Institutes of*
4 *British Geographers*, **37**: 13-27.
- 5 Merriman P, Reville G, Cresswell T, Lorimer H, Matless D, Rose G, and Wylie J, 2008,
6 "Landscape, mobility, practice" *Social & Cultural Geography* **9**:191-212.
- 7 Morss R, and Hayden M R, 2010, "Storm Surge and "Certain Deaths": Interviews with Texas
8 Coastal Residents following Hurricane Ike" *Weather, Climate and Society* **2**: 174-189
- 9 Neyrat F, 2008, *Biopolitiques des catastrophes* (MF, Collection Dehorsm Paris)
- 10 Nobert S, Rebotier J, Vallette C, Bouisset C, and Clarimont S, 2016 "Resilience for the
11 Anthropocene? Shedding light on the forgotten temporalities shaping post-crisis management
12 in the French *Sud Ouest*" *Resilience: International Policies, Practices and Discourses*
13 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21693293.2016.1241479>
- 14 Paiva D "Collapsed Rhythms: The Impact of Urban Change in the Everyday Life of Elders"
15 *Space and Culture* **19**: 345-360.
- 16
17 Parker D J, Priest S J, and Tapsell S M, 2009 "Understanding and enhancing the public's
18 behavioural response to flood warning information" *Meteorological Applications* **16**:103–
19 114.
- 20 Public Health England (PHE), 2013 *Heatwave Plan for England 2013. Making the case: the*
21 *impact of the heat on health now and in the future* (PHE, London)
- 22 Ricoeur P, 1984, *Temps et récit 2. La configuration du temps dans le récit de fiction* (Seuil,
23 Paris)
- 24 Rosa H, 2010, *Alienation and Acceleration: Towards a Critical Theory of Late-Modern*
25 *Temporality* (NSU, Summertalk)
- 26 Rosa H, 2013 *Social Acceleration: A new theory of modernity* (Columbia University Press,
27 New York)
- 28 Rose N, 2001, "The Politics of Life Itself" *Theory, Culture & Society* **18**: 1-30
- 29 Rose M, and Wylie J, 2006, "Animating landscape: editorial introduction" *Environment and*
30 *Planning D: Society and Space* **24**: 475-479
- 31 Sampson, N R, Gronlund, C J, Buxton M A, Catalano L, White-Newsome J L, Conlon K C,
32 O'Neill M, McCormick S, and Parker E A, 2013, "Staying cool in a changing climate:
33 Reaching vulnerable populations during heat events" *Global Environmental Change* **23**: 475–
34 484.
- 35
36 Serres M, 2005, *L'Incandescent* (Le Livre de Poche, Paris)

- 1 Serres M, 2009, *Le temps des crises* (Le Pommier, Paris)
- 2 Shirani F, and Henwood K, 2011, 'Taking one day at a time: temporal experiences in the
3 context of unexpected life course transitions' *Time and Society* **20**: 49-68.
- 4 Skinner M K, Cloutier D, Andrews G J, 2015 "Geographies of ageing: Progress and
5 possibilities after two decades of change" *Progress in Human Geography* **39**: 776-799.
- 6 Stewart K, 2011, "Atmospheric attunements" *Environmental and Planning D: Society and
7 Space* **29**: 445-453
- 8 Thrift N, 1977a, "Time and theory in human geography, Part 1" *Progress in Human
9 Geography* **1**: 65-101
- 10 Thrift N, 1977b, Time and theory in human geography, Part 2" *Progress in Human
11 Geography* **1**: 415-457
- 12 Thrift N, 2008, *Non-representational Theory. Space, Place, Politics, Affect* (Routledge.
13 London)
- 14 UNISDR, 2015, *Global Assessment Report on disaster risk reduction. Making development
15 sustainable: The future of disaster risk management*, UNISDR, Genève, accessed on line on
16 September 13th, 2016, <https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/42809>
- 17 UK Met Office, 2013, What is a heat wave ? [http://blog.metoffice.gov.uk/2013/07/04/what-
18 is-a-heat-wave/](http://blog.metoffice.gov.uk/2013/07/04/what-is-a-heat-wave/)
- 19 Virilio P, 2005, *L'Accident originel* (Galilée, Paris)
- 20 White G. F., et al., 1958, *Changes in the urban occupance of flood plains in the United States*.
21 Chicago: University of Chicago, Department of Geography, Research Paper n°57.
- 22 White G F, 1973, "Natural Hazards Research", in Chorley R J, (ed), *Directions in Geography*
23 (London: Methuen)
- 24 Whitehead A N, 1964, *The Concept of Nature* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- 25 Whitehead A N, 1967, *The Adventures of Ideas* (Free Press, New York)
- 26 Wolf J, Lorenzoni I, Few R, Abrahamson V, Raine R, 2009, "Conceptual and practical
27 barriers to adaptation: an interdisciplinary analysis of vulnerability and adaptation to heat
28 waves in the UK", in *Adapting to Climate Change: Thresholds, Values, Governance* Eds W N
29 Adger, I Lorenzoni, K L O'Brien (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press) pp 181-196
- 30 Wolf J, Adger W N, Lorenzoni I, Abrahamson V, Raine R, 2010a, "Social capital, individual
31 responses to heat waves and climate change adaptation: an empirical study of two UK cities"
32 *Global Environmental Change* **20**: 44-52
- 33 Wolf J, Adger W N, Lorenzoni I, 2010b "Heat waves and cold spells: an analysis of policy
34 response and perceptions of vulnerable population in the UK

- 1 World Health Organization (WHO), 2004, *Heat-Waves: Risks and Responses. Health and*
- 2 *Global Environment Change Series, No. 2. Copenhagen , Denmark : World Health*
- 3 *Organization Regional Office for Europe. Available at*
- 4 <http://www.euro.who.int/document/E82629.pdf>.
- 5 Wylie J, 2002, “An essay on ascending Glastonbury Tor” *Geoforum* **33**: 441-4