A Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies a Candidate Gene Associated With Atazanavir Exposure Measured in Hair
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Hair provides a direct measure of long-term exposure of atazanavir (ATV). We report the results of the first genome-wide association study (GWAS) of ATV exposure measured in hair in an observational cohort representative of US women living with HIV; the Women’s Interagency HIV Study. Approximately 14.1 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed in linear regression-based GWAS, with replication, adjusted for nongenetic predictors collected under conditions of actual use of ATV in 398 participants. Lastly, the PharmGKB database was used to identify pharmacogene associations with ATV exposure. The rs73208473, within intron 1 of SORCS2, resulted in a 0.46-fold decrease in ATV exposure, with the strongest association ($P = 1.71 \times 10^{-8}$) in GWAS. A priori pharmacogene screening did not identify additional variants statistically significantly associated with ATV exposure, including those previously published in ATV plasma candidate pharmacogene studies. The findings demonstrate the potential value of pharmacogenomic GWAS in ethnically diverse populations under conditions of actual use.

Study Highlights

WHAT IS THE CURRENT KNOWLEDGE ON THE TOPIC?
- Candidate gene studies have identified pharmacogenetic variants that influence the pharmacokinetics of atazanavir (ATV) in plasma. However, these findings are derived from study samples poorly representing conditions and populations actually using ATV.

WHAT QUESTION DID THIS STUDY ADDRESS?
- This study evaluated women and treatment-related variables collected under conditions of actual use of ATV to identify genetic associations with ATV concentration in hair, a measure of long-term exposure.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS TO OUR KNOWLEDGE
- The association of SORCS2 rs73208473 with reduced ATV exposure reached genome-wide significance. The results suggest that specific genetic polymorphisms associated with ATV exposure may differ when assessed in hair among phenotypically and behaviorally complex HIV-positive women under conditions of actual use.

HOW THIS MIGHT CHANGE CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY OR TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE
- The gap between clinical trials participants and clinical populations limits generalizability of clinical trial-based pharmacogenetic studies and may bias studies against detection of significant predictors of response. A better understanding of factors that contribute to medication outcomes is critical for optimizing treatment for all patients.

Twenty to forty percent of patients using combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) fail to achieve sustained virological suppression.1-5 With over one million individuals currently living with HIV in the US,6 this implies 200,000–400,000 Americans are at risk for suboptimal HIV treatment outcomes. Therapeutic and toxic effects of most drugs, including antiretrovirals (ARVs), are directly related to drug exposure.7-8 In addition to host genetics, drug exposure and response are influenced by a variety of nongenetic factors including adherence, comorbidities, and concomitant medications.9 Most studies that have measured pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) of
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Table 1  Demographics and clinical characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Hair data group&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (n = 398)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.9 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>28.5 ± 7.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>64 (16.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>194 (48.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>130 (32.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asians, Pacific Islanders</td>
<td>10(2.51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrCl (n = 392), n (%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&gt;90 ml/min)</td>
<td>89 (22.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild impairment (60-90 ml/min)</td>
<td>216 (55.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate impairment (30-60 ml/min)</td>
<td>79 (20.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe impairment (&lt;30 ml/min)</td>
<td>8 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (mL/min/1.73 m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>91.7 ± 26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported adherence, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = 95%</td>
<td>398 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-94%</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 75%</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV antigen positive (n = 394), n (%)</td>
<td>6 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV RNA positive, n (%)</td>
<td>69 (17.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver enzymes (IU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>26.9 ± 29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>29.4 ± 20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45.3 ± 64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin, total (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack use (n = 394), n (%)</td>
<td>18 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine use (n = 394), n (%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3 (0.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin use (n = 394), n (%)</td>
<td>5 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker, n (n = 394) (%)</td>
<td>160 (40.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (n = 394), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain</td>
<td>259 (65.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0-7 drinks/week</td>
<td>121(30.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7-12 drinks/week</td>
<td>9 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 drinks/week</td>
<td>5 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug interaction variables, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications that decrease ATV exposure</td>
<td>170 (42.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antacids</td>
<td>21 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>339 (85.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Continued on next column

Table 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Hair data group&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (n = 398)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant (n = 397), n (%)</td>
<td>394 (99.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ATZ, atazanavir; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CrCl, creatinine clearance; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CKD-EPI, chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration equation; GTT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HBV, Hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IU, international units.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation except if specified otherwise (i.e., n (%)).&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;eGFR was calculated using the CKD-EPI equation. &lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;GWAS analysis adjusted for the following nongenetic predictors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS**

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of women who contributed data to these analyses. Self-reported adherence for all participants at the visit with the highest measured concentration of ATV in hair was ≥95%. Figure 1a depicts ATV concentrations...
measured in hair for all participants over all visits. The mean ATV level was $4.34 \pm 4.41$ ng/mg of hair. Participants reported concurrent use of ritonavir with ATV in the majority of visits (85%). WIHS has previously published on the existence of a relationship between ATV level in hair and virologic suppression, and a similar trend exists in the current sample (Figure 1b). Although ATV dose was not recorded, we assumed that any participant who is on ritonavir is on a dose of 300 mg of ATV, boosted with 100 mg ritonavir (a standard dose). The percent of participants on an ATV/ritonavir regiment in each hair quintile with undetectable viral load (i.e., <80 copies/mL) is comparable to values calculated for all participants in this study (Figure 1b).

Of 398 individuals providing ATV measures, 379 participants passed all quality control (QC) criteria for inclusion in the genome-wide association study (GWAS): 190 African-Americans (AA), 57 Caucasians (WT), and 53 Hispanic (HIS). The additional 79 subjects who were either ethnically admixed or did not fit in any particular ethnic cluster were retained for replication analysis. Three strata were sufficiently large for GWAS analysis (i.e., AA, WT, HIS). The genomic control factor inflation was low for each group (1.004, 1.052, and 1.005 respectively), suggesting that there was no major admixture or stratification effects left uncontrolled in the samples.

Combining the three strata identified a strong association between ATV level and a cluster of 16 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on chromosome 4p16.1 (Figure 2). The most statistically significant SNP in this cluster (rs73208473, $P = 1.71 \times 10^{-8}$, Table 2), is a noncoding SNP located within a linkage disequilibrium (LD) block that contains intron 1 of the SORCS2 gene (Table 2, Figures 2, 3). On average, each copy of the rs73208473 minor “A” allele was associated with a 46% decrease in ATV levels (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.35–0.61, Figure 3). Although an imputed SNP, rs73208473 had an excellent imputation score (RSQ = 0.973) and was in high LD with other directly measured SNPs showing strong association with ATV levels ($r^2 = 0.86$ across our entire multiethnic sample with rs73208470, association with ATV level $P = 8.04 \times 10^{-88}$). SNP rs73208473 is relatively common, with allele frequencies of 0.118, 0.319, and 0.119 in the AA, WT, and HIS participants, respectively.

Replication in independent samples is standard practice for GWAS. Given the unavailability of additional ATV measurements
Table 2  Results from GWAS, meta-analysis, and replication for association with ATV hair level in WIHS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rsID</th>
<th>Genotyped or imputed</th>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>Positiona</th>
<th>A1/A2 allele</th>
<th>MAF</th>
<th>Ethnic group (n)</th>
<th>Fold difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs73208473</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7324596</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>AA (194)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.37-0.80)</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT (57)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.24-0.51)</td>
<td>3.09×10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HIS (53)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.34-2.37)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meta-analysis (300)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.46 (0.35-0.61)</td>
<td>1.71×10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs73208470</td>
<td>Genotypes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7323913</td>
<td>G/C</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>AA (194)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.44-0.86)</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT (57)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.24-0.51)</td>
<td>3.09×10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HIS (53)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.34-2.37)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meta-analysis (300)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50 (0.39-0.65)</td>
<td>8.04×10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AA, African American; β, linear regression coefficient for the ATV concentration in nanograms of ATV per milligram of hair; Chr, chromosome; CI, confidence interval; HIS, hispanic; WT, white.

*aPosition is based on GRCh37/hg19 assembly. Minor/major alleles (A1/A2).

in hair, we fit a linear regression model in the 79 remaining participants who were not included in the initial stratified analysis. While the effect was in the same direction as with those observed in the stratified discovery analysis: Each additional copy of “A” allele was associated with a 0.87-fold reduction of ATV hair levels (95% CI 0.50–1.49, Figure 4), this finding was not statistically significant (P = 0.31).

We evaluated SNPs listed in the PharmGKB database to assess for associations of any of the variants previously cataloged for any pharmacogenomic effects with ATV exposure in the current study. Supplemental Table S3 describes the associations of the 136 variants that were below the nominal statistical threshold of significance (P < 0.05). While variants in numerous genes previously unknown to impact ATV concentration were associated with a decrease or increase in ATV level in hair (i.e., RRM1, rs12806698, fold difference = 0.57, 95% CI, 0.42–0.78; ABCG2, fold difference = 1.4, 95% CI, 1.11–1.78), none exceeded the Bonferroni threshold (P < 1.29×10⁻⁶) and must be viewed as preliminary.

We also evaluated variants in the ABCB1,15–18 NR1I2,19,20 and CYP3A51,22 genes reported in previous ATV pharmacogenetic literature (Table S4). None of those variants substantially influenced the ATV concentration in hair, but confidence intervals were too wide to rule out the possibility of an effect (Table S4). While other variants in these genes (i.e., ABCB1, rs2373586, fold difference = 1.36, 95% CI, 0.94–1.98; CYP3A4/4/5, rs2246709, fold difference = 1.26, 95% CI, 0.99–1.59; NR1I2, rs3732356, fold difference = 1.22, 95% CI, 0.93–1.61) were associated with ATV concentration in hair, the confidence intervals were too wide to rule out the possibility of no effect. The associations among these three gene variants and hair ATV levels had large P values (P > 0.05) in the sample after adjusting for multiple testing.

**DISCUSSION**

We carried out what is, to our knowledge, the first GWAS of ATV concentration measured in hair. The WIHS cohort is composed of participants who are representative of female HIV patients in the United States. These participants were assessed under the condition of actual ATV use and we adjusted for potential confounders. We built on the diversity of this cohort to test genome-wide in each ancestral group independently and then applied meta-analysis methods, demonstrating the value of GWAS methods applied to diverse populations in identifying new genetic factors that influence drug exposure. ARV exposure in hair is of interest because it is a readily accessible biomatrix representing longer duration of exposures and arguably longer-term ATV exposure (~6 weeks) as compared with plasma. Furthermore, while hair is not the site of action for HIV medication,
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Figure 3 The Sortilin-related VPS10 domain containing receptor 2

The Sortilin-related VPS10 domain containing receptor 2 (SORCS2) is a transmembrane glycoprotein receptor and a mem-

ber of vacuolar protein sorting 10 family proteins (VPS10Ps) that play roles in protein trafficking and intracellular and inter-

cellular signaling.25 It has been implicated in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)26 and bipolar disease.27,28 More interestingly, in line with our findings, previous work reported that three variants in this gene mediated CYP3A4 induction by St. John’s Wort, but they found no evidence for NR1I2 association with CYP3A4 overexpression.29 Given that ATV is primarily metabolized through CYP3A4/3A5 enzymes,30 our finding of SORCS2 reducing ATV hair concentration would be consistent with over-

expression of the CYP3A4 enzyme. However, the functional properties of the SORCS2 gene, its protein product, and their eventual connection to CYP3A4/3A5 or ATV metabolism war-

rants further investigation.

Additionally, within the same region of our finding (i.e., the

intron 1 of SORCS2) there lies the microRNA MIR4798 (Figure 3). In general, these short noncoding RNAs are involved in postranscriptional regulation of gene expression that normally results in silencing mRNA expression. Although the functional properties of MIR4798 within the context of ATV expression or otherwise are unknown, the NR1I2 mRNA is one of the predicted targets of this particular miRNA (http://www.targetscan.org/ cgi-bin/targetscan/vert_71/targetscan.cgi?mir=hsa-miR-4798-3p).

This finding is intriguing because the encoded protein from NR1I2 (a.k.a. PXR) is a transcriptional regulator of CYP3A431,32 and ABCB1,32 which are involved in metabolism of ATV.

While there are no directly comparable quantitative values reported in ATV pharmacogenetic literature, we can use plasma reporting as examples for such comparison, recognizing that plasma concentrations may not be directly and reliably related to hair levels. For example, carrying either one or two copies of the rs1045642 (C3435T) minor allele “T” (“CT” or “TT” geno-
types), a coding SNP of ABCB1, was associated with decreased ATV minimum concentration in plasma (“CT”/“TT” genotypes: 376 ng/mL (interquartile range (IQR), 221–722) vs. “CC” genotype: 939 ng/mL (IQR, 492–1,266), P = 0.001).31 In our study, presence of the “T” allele had a modest and statistically nonsignificant (P = 0.51) association with increased ATV hair level. While the confidence interval was too wide to rule out the possibility of substantial effect (fold difference: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.85–1.41) in hair, it does provide evidence against an effect as large as 0.40-fold observed in plasma.

Remarkably, genetic variants previously reported in ATV phar-

macogenetic studies, such as those in ABCB1,15–18 NR1I2,19,20 and CYP3A4/45,21,22 were not substantially and statistically sig-

nificantly associated with ATV level in hair, but confidence inter-

vals for some were too wide to rule out the possibility of a substantial effect. Possible explanations may relate largely to dif-

ferences in what was measured (i.e., oral clearance,21 minimum plasma concentration)32 and study compartments (i.e., hair vs. plasma), as well as clinical and demographic differences. Another explanation could be due to unaccounted factors other than long-term ATV exposure that could impact ATV hair level. Our measured phenotypic and environmental covariates, while broad, may not completely control for variation in long-term ATV exposure in hair. Notably, actual adherence may have varied despite uniformly high self-reported adherence.

There are potential limitations to the study that need to be con-

sidered. First, the observed association should be treated as pre-

liminary until they can be replicated in additional indepen-

dent studies, as is common practice for all GWAS results. While

the effect size in the replication sample is in the same direction as discovery analysis and interestingly similar to the HIS group used in the stratified discovery stage (Figure 4), the replication is not formally statistically significant (P = 0.31), and therefore incon-

clusive. In addition to the obvious precision limitation of the rep-

lication sample, the ethnic heterogeneity may also be a further

limiting factor behind this result. Second, our findings were obtained from a relatively small initial sample, a situation which has in the past produced spurious results.33 Finally, the consider-

able diversity in ethnic origin and the corresponding differences in allele frequencies and LD patterns across multiethnic samples could present a challenge with having sufficient power to detect some variants and overlook true genetic associations.
In summary, this work represents the first genome-wide study to elucidate genetic bases of ATV level in hair. Our findings suggest a complex combination between genetic and nongenetic factors. We have also identified a new candidate gene associated with ATV hair levels with a reasonable mechanistic basis. The results also suggest that specific genetic polymorphisms associated with ATV exposure may differ when assessed in hair among phenotypically and behaviorally complex HIV-positive women (e.g., those with HCV infection, concurrent alcohol consumption, smoking, and users of illicit and other prescribed medication) under conditions of actual use compared to those in plasma. Our findings in hair should not be interpreted as a refutation of existing literature on ATV pharmacogenetics conducted in plasma, because of the differences in patient population characteristics and other differences in effect sizes of risk factors that may need larger sample sizes for replication. To truly translate genetics into a change in clinical practice, our findings support the importance of conducting pharmacogenetic studies under conditions that best represent the actual use of a therapy and that consider the underlying ethnic background of the population, which represents not only genetic differences but unmeasured cultural factors.

METHODS

Study population
The WIHS is a multicenter observational cohort study of women with and at risk for HIV infection with follow-up visits every 6 months. Informed consent was provided by all participants via protocols approved by Institutional Review Committees at each affiliated institution. Consent to studies of host genetics was specifically obtained for women who contributed to this study. Detailed methods have been published previously.34,35 WIHS participants are representative of women with HIV infection in the US, a group that is not well represented in antiretroviral drug clinical trials.36 Over a period of 5 years (2003–2008), 466 WIHS participants reported at least 6 weeks of taking an ATV containing cART regimen and provided 1,575 hair samples for measurement of ATV concentration. For individuals with more than one measurement, the visit with highest level in hair was selected for this analysis. A subset of 398 individuals had available covariate and GWAS data for this analysis (Table 1). The only exclusion criteria for this study were not receiving ATV for at least 6 weeks, incomplete covariate and genotyping data.

Concentration of ATV in hair
The method for extraction and analysis of ATV in hair using high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC–MS) has been described previously.37

Genetic and statistical analyses
This study is a linear regression-based GWAS of ATV hair concentration. Given the need to control for potential bias arising from population structure due to WIHS participants’ ethnic diversity, a stratified GWAS was conducted in two stages. In the first stage, association analyses were performed in each strata of samples representing the most numerically large homogenous ethnic groups, using within-group correction for the main Principal Components, as suggested elsewhere.38 In the second phase, results from separate strata were combined. Validation was sought through analyzing samples that were not included in the first step (i.e., WIHS participants who could not be assigned to an ethnic group stratum), after PC-based adjustment for genetic admixture.

Outcome
The main outcome was ATV level in hair, evaluated as a biomarker of ATV exposure, which provides a direct measure of long-term ATV exposure. To control for nongenetic factors that can cause significant variability in blood ATV, and thus hair, over multiple visits for the same patients, we selected as primary outcome of our analyses the highest ATV concentration in hair (in ng/mg) for a given participant. The rationale for this choice is that the genetic effects remain constant over time, while the confounding from variable factors (e.g., renal function, self-reported adherence, hepatic function) was at their minimum of their fluctuating influence.

Levels in hair below the limit of detection (i.e., <0.05 ng/mg of hair) were assigned a value of 0.05 ng/mL. Of 1,593 hair samples, 58 had concentrations below limit of quantification (BLOQ), but only eight participants in the final analysis had ATZ concentrations that were BLOQ. The empirical distribution of the ATV concentration in hair is not normally distributed. Thus, for the purpose of statistical analyses, this outcome was normalized employing transformation (i.e., natural logarithm) prior to inclusion in regression models.

Independent variables
For the GWAS, the main predictor was the number of the rarer allele carried at each locus, ranging from 0 to 2. In addition to genotypes, we assessed a variety of nongenetic factors (Table 1) that may exert nonspecific influences over ATV pharmacology, including age, body mass index (BMI), alcohol use, pregnancy status, self-reported race/ethnicity, self-reported adherence to cART, self-reported use of other medications, self-reported use of cocaine, heroin, or other injectable drugs, and current smoking. To better control for variation of ATV levels in hair associated with nongenetic factors, we additionally assessed clinical laboratory measures including estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, calculated using the CKD-EPI equation),39 estimated creatinine clearance (calculated using the Cockcroft–Gault equation) serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), total bilirubin, laboratory evidence of chronic hepatitis B and/or C. Concurrently used medications were assessed for known impact on ATV pharmacokinetics (e.g., ritonavir, tenofovir, antacids) (Table S1) and drugs that had these effects were included as covariates in GWAS analysis. The strongest among these factors, which could increase error in the genetic analyses, were identified and then included as GWAS covariates. In brief, nongenetic predictors were selected following a stepwise backward regression that retained only variables showing a nominally statistically significant association (P < 0.05) in the final multivariable model. As a result, the following nongenetic predictors were included as covariates in the GWAS: age, creatinine clearance category (as an ordinal variable), GGT, EGFR, cocaine use, body height, and concurrent ritonavir use (Table S2).

Genotyping and imputation
Genomic DNA isolated from blood was genotyped on the Illumina Omni2.5 Array (San Diego, CA) platform (n ~2.5 million SNPs). Rigorous individual level and SNP level quality control were applied.39 Participants were excluded if they exhibited array-wide call rates <95%, excess heterozygosity, or relatedness to other WIHS participants. Phase information from the 1000 Genomes Project (Phase 3 v. 5) panel was used to impute these genotypic data (n = 14 million SNPs). Among others, phasing was performed using the ShapeIT software40 and imputation using minimac.41 Only individuals with more than 90% of the genotypes available were included and hard calls from only SNPs with sufficient imputation quality scores (RSQ >0.5) and with minor allele frequencies (MAF) >0.05 and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) P > 0.001 within each ethnic group were used for the analyses (n ~11.4 million SNPs). In total, ~14 million SNPs were available for analysis.
Linear regression
In the stratified analysis, only ethnic groups comprised of more than 50 subjects among our genotyped samples were considered as a stratum, which were analyzed separately for association with ATV exposure in hair using PLINK 1.9 (https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink2). This threshold of inclusion ($n = 50$) corresponds to a minimum of five heterozygous participants for the lowest MAF analyzed (MAF = 0.05). To further control for potential residual population structure within these ethnically homogeneous strata, we adjusted for the first three principal covariates selected as described above.

Results from each stratum were combined using GWAMA, using a fixed-effect inverse-variance model on results adjusted for the genomic control inflation factor (GC, or $λ$) observed in each stratum. In addition to prior within-ethnic group inclusion thresholds, only results observed from sufficiently large samples (defined as > 240 participants, or 80% of the original sample) were considered further. Cochran’s $I^2$ test and $I^2$ were used to assess heterogeneity across strata and results with large effect heterogeneity (defined as $I^2 > 75\%$) were removed from further consideration. For the GWAS, the conventional significance threshold of 5 × 10⁻⁵ was adopted. Results were annotated to the nearest gene using SNPexus.

**Pharmacogenomics database lookup**
The pharmacogenomics knowledgebase (pharmGKB) database is a curated database of pharmacogenomically important variants and their impact on any known drug response. The reference sequence identifiers (rsID) are downloaded from the PharmGKB at the time of the query, 3,877 were sufficiently common and passed quality controls to be assessed in the current study. For evaluation of associations among these loci, a Bonferroni adjusted significance threshold of 0.05/3,877 = 1.29 × 10⁻⁸ was considered, while noting that SNPs are not always independent and this threshold may be too conservative.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.
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